

DoD Base Realignment and Closure Part III (BRAC 93)

Department of the Air Force

This document has been approved for public release and sale; its distribution is unlimited.

FY 1995 Budget Estimates

DTIC QUALITY INSPECTED 1

94-09378

94 3 24 034

Justification Data Submitted to Congress: February 1994

1993 Commission

I.	Base	Realignment and Closure	1
п.	Finai	ncial Summary 1993 Commission	6
ш.	Base	Realignment and Closure Detail - By Package	
	1.	Griffiss AFB, New York	8
	2.	" K. I. Sawyer AFB, Michigan	15
	3.	March AFB, California	74
	4.	Plattsburgh AFB, NY	
	5.	Homestead AFB, FL	120
	6.	O'Hare International ARS, IL	137
	7.	Gentile Air Force Station, Dayton, Ohio	138
	8.	Newark Air Force Base, Ohio	141
	9.	Program Management	144

Acces	sion For	
NTIS	GRA&I	
DTIC		
	ownced.	
Justi	fication_	
1	ibutions lebility (
Dist	Evell and Special	/or
h.		No.

FY 1995 BASE REALIGNMENT AND CLOSURE DATA 1993 COMMISSION

BASE CLOSURE AND REALIGNMENT

1993 COMMISSION U.S. Air Force Overview

Schedule/Mission Impact: The following schedule was developed to minimize the impact on Air Force mission capability while placing priority on closing or realigning the bases as recommended by the 1993 Base Closure Commission and directed by the Defense Base Closure and Realignment Act, P.L. 101-510. It is the Air Force's objective to close and realign the bases as recommended at the earliest opportunity to take advantage of savings provided by reductions to Air Force end strength, reductions to base operating support costs, and, where applicable, proceeds from sale of real property at closure bases. The savings associated with these closure actions are for display purposes only and will accrue in other Air Force accounts. The funding profiles associated with the schedule have been programmed to accomplish this objective. Those requirements generated by the 1993 Base Closure Commission changes to previous Base Closure Commission recommendations are included in the 1988 and 1991 sections of the budget estimates. Environmental costs for bases addressed in the 1993 Commission are limited to their existing DERA programs and preliminary environmental studies to determine the full extent of necessary clean up and restoration efforts in future years. The Defense Electronics Supply Center (DESC), Gentile Air Force Station, Dayton, Ohio, will close and relocate to Columbus, Ohio. Although located at an Air Force station, the DESC belongs to the Defense Logistics Agency, who will be responsible for the programming of closure and realignment actions. The Air Force will manage programming for disposal, environmental, and cleanup actions.

FY 1994: Base Closure Account requirement: \$203.0 Million. Air Force requirements for FY 1994 consist of preliminary planning, designing and initiating military construction projects to accommodate the movement of units into gaining locations. Funding was also necessary for environmental compliance and restoration actions to meet requirements of the National Environmental Policy Act at each closure/realignment location, for family housing, operation and maintenance, and military personnel PCS.

Griffiss: Base Closure Account requirement: \$2.4 Million.

The funding is primarily related to environmental, operation and maintenance, and military personnel PCS.

KI Sawyer: Base Closure Account requirement: \$54.1 Million

The funding is due to environmental and restoration requirements, family housing and military construction, operation and maintenance, and military personnel PCS.

March: Base Closure Account requirement: \$59.8 Million

The funding is primarily related to construction, environmental, and operation and maintenance.

Plattsburgh: Base Closure Account requirement: \$5.9 Million

The funding consists of operations and maintenance requirements, and environmental.

Homestead: Base Closure Account requirement: \$35.2 Million.

The funding is a result of military construction, environmental, operation and maintenance, and military personnel PCS.

O'Hare: Base Closure Account requirement: \$0

Gentile: Base Closure Account requirement: \$0.2 Million The funding is for operation and maintenance requirements.

Newark: Base Closure Account requirement: \$5.2 Million The funding is for operation and maintenance requirements.

Program Management: \$40.2 Million.

Funding is for planning and design for military construction and military family housing, environmental, and operation and maintenance.

FY 1995: Base Closure Account requirement: \$107.4 Million. The \$107.4 Million is a result of Program Budget Decision 093 which transfers funds from the Defense Environmental Restoration Account (DERA) that would have been required and used in DERA for the base closure account. However, the statutory requirement to fund environmental restoration at closure bases necessitated aligning restoration funds under the base closure umbrella. Griffiss and KI Sawyer are scheduled to close in FY 1995. Active duty force structure at these bases will be relocated or retired, and active duty military and civilian personnel will relocate or be eliminated from Air Force end strength. Disposition of Reserve forces is specified in individual closure packages. Numerous units will be relocated or inactivated. Funding is primarily related to construction projects required at gaining locations and work around projects for units to operate until their receiving facilities are completed. Environmental studies will be completed or continued as necessary and environmental restoration will be initiated. Numerous units will be relocated or inactivated.

Griffiss: Base Closure Account requirement: \$ 14.6 Million

The funding is related to construction at receiving units, civilian personnel costs, transportation of equipment, and environmental restoration. The engineering facility at Westover will be expanded to accommodate the 933 CES currently at Griffiss. A building will be expanded at Westover to accommodate the Aeromedical unit being relocated from Griffiss.

KI Sawyer: Base Closure Account requirement: \$22.7 Million

The funding is primarily related to construction, civilian personnel costs, transportation of equipment, and environmental. The closure of KI Sawyer ultimately results in the movement of KC-10 aircraft to McGuire, necessitating extensive construction costs.

March: Base Closure Account requirement: \$45.0 Million

The funding is due to military construction, family housing construction, environmental, and operation and maintenance.

Plattsburgh: Base Closure Account requirement: \$0 Million Funding is due to operation and maintenance requirements.

Homestead: Base Closure Account requirement: \$9.3 Million
The funding consists of military construction costs, environmental, and operation and maintenance.

O'Hare: Base Closure Account requirement: \$0

Gentile: Base Closure Account requirement: \$0

Newark: Base Closure Account requirement: \$0

Program Management: Base Closure Account requirement: \$15.8 Million

FY 1996. Base Closure Account requirement: \$228.8 Million. The 1993 Commission recommendations for Plattsburgh, Newark, and March are scheduled for completion this year. Funding is due to military construction, environmental, operation and maintenance, and military personnel PCS.

Griffiss: Base Closure Account requirement: \$51.5 Million
Realignment actions are expected to be completed. Caretaker and disposal management team requirements continue. The few active duty personnel assigned to the transition team for the conversion of the Northeast Air Defense Sector to the Air National Guard will depart. Military construction at gaining base is for simulator facility.

KI Sawyer: Base Closure Account requirement: \$26.7 Million Funding is due to environmental, and caretaker and disposal management team requirements.

<u>March:</u> Base Closure Account requirement: \$48.8 Million Funding is due to environmental, operation and maintenance, and military personnel PCS.

<u>Plattsburgh:</u> Base Closure Account requirement: \$20.7 Funding is due to caretaker and disposal management team requirements.

Homestead: Base Closure Account requirement: \$21.7 Million Funding is due to military construction at receiving bases, and caretaker and disposal management team requirements.

O'Hare: Base Closure Account requirement: \$0

Gentile: Base Closure Account requirement: \$0

<u>Newark:</u> Base Closure Account requirement: \$31.6 Million Funding is due to environmental, operation and maintenance, and military personnel PCS requirements.

Program Manangement: Base Closure Account requirement: \$27.8 Million Funding due to environmental and operation and maintenance requirements.

FY 1997. Base Closure Account requirement: \$305.3 Million. All of the closure and realignment recommendations of the 1993 Commission are scheduled to be completed. Environmental restoration will continue until completion.

Griffiss: Base Closure Account requirement: \$66.9 Million Funding is due to caretaker and disposal management team requirements.

KI Sawyer: Base Closure Account requirement: \$58.6 Million Funding is due to caretaker and disposal management team requirements...

<u>March:</u> Base Closure Account requirement: \$56.4 Million Funding is due to caretaker and disposal management team requirements.

Plattsburgh: Base Closure Account requirement: \$56.1 Million Funding is due to caretaker and disposal management team requirements.

Homestead: Base Closure Account requirement: \$11.3 Million Funding is due to caretaker and disposal management team requirements.

O'Hare: Base Closure Account requirement: \$0

Gentile: Base Closure Account requirement: \$0

Newark: Base Closure Account requirement: \$0.3 Million

Funding is due to caretaker and disposal management team requirements.

Program Management: Base Closure Account requirement: \$55.7 Million

Funding is due to one time implementation costs which are not categorized by closure base.

FY 1998. Base Closure Account requirement: \$298.5 Million. Realigned and closed bases continue in caretaker status until property ownership is transferred.

Griffiss: Base Closure Account requirement: \$62.0 Million.

Funding is due to environmental requirements.

KI Sawver: Base Closure Account requirement: \$55.5 Million.

Funding is due to environmental requirements.

March: Base Closure Account requirement: \$55.4 Million.

Funding is due to environmental requirements.

Plattsburgh: Base Closure Account requirement: \$55.5 Million.

Funding is due to environmental requirements.

Homestead: Base Closure Account requirement: \$0

O'Hare: Base Closure Account requirement: \$0

Gentile: Base Closure Account requirement: \$0

Newark: Base Closure Account requirement: \$55.3 Million.

Funding is due to environmental requirements.

Program Management: Base Closure Account requirement: \$14.8 Million

Funding is due to one time operation costs which are not categorized by closure base.

FY 1999. Base Closure Account requirement: \$321.1 Million. Environmental restoration and cleanup continue.

Griffiss: Base Closure Account requirement: \$66.5 Million.

Funding is due to environmental requirements.

KI Sawver: Base Closure Account requirement: \$61.1Million.

Funding is due to environmental requirements.

March: Base Closure Account requirement: \$61.4 Million.

Funding is due to environmental requirements.

Plattsburgh: Base Closure Account requirement: \$61.1 Million.

Funding is due to environmental requirements.

Homestead: Base Closure Account requirement: \$0

O'Hare: Base Closure Account requirement: \$0

Gentile: Base Closure Account requirement: \$0

Newark: Base Closure Account requirement: \$61.3 Funding is due to environmental requirements.

Program Management: Base Closure Account requirement: \$9.6 Million Funding is due to operation and maintenance requirements.

BASE REALIGNMENT AND CLOSURE ACCOUNT - 1993 COMMITSION USAF FINANCIAL SUMMARY (DOLLARS IN MILLIONS)

ONE TIME IMPLEMENTATION COSTS:	FY 1994	FY 1995	FY 1996
Military Construction	110.8	113.1	19.7
Family Housing - Construction	58.8	54.5	0.0
- Operations	0.0	0.0	0.0
Environmental	62.3	107.4	48.3
Operations & Maintenance	66.1	100.9	142.1
Military Personnel - PCS	3.3	0.0	17.6
Other	0.3	0.0	1.1
Homeowners Assistance Program	0.0	0.0	0.0
TOTAL ONE-TIME COSTS	301.6	376.0	228.8
Revenues from Land Sales (-)	0.0	0.0	0.0
Funded from Prior Year Balances	-98.6	-268.6	0.0
BUDGET REQUEST	203.0	107.4	228.8
FUNDED OUTSIDE OF THE ACCOUNT:			
Military Construction	0.0	0.0	0.0
Family Housing - Operations	0.0	0.0	0.0
Environmental	0.0	0.0	0.0
Operations & Maintenance	0.0	0.0	0.0
Other	0.0	0.0	0.0
Homeowners Assistance Program	0.0	0.0	0.0
TOTAL FUNDED OUTSIDE THE ACCOUNT	0.0	0.0	0.0
SAVINGS:			
Military Construction	3.9	14.9	5.9
Family Housing - Construction	0.0	0.0	0.0
- Operations	0.0	0.0	0.0
Operations & Maintenance	0.0	0.0	0.0
Military Personnel	0.0	24.8	113.4
Other	8.6	19.6	59.8
Civilian ES	0.0	-770.0	-1,030.0
Military ES	-913.0	-2,072.0	-3,842.0
TOTAL SAVINGS	12.5	59.3	179.1
NET IMPLEMENTATION COSTS:			
Military Construction	107.0	98.3	13.8
Family Housing - Construction	58.8	54.5	0.0
- Operations	0.0	0.0	0.0
Environmental	62.3	107.4	48.3
Operations & Maintenance	66.1	100.9	142.1
Military Personnel - PCS	3.3	-24.8	-95.8
Other	-8.4	-19.6	-58.7
Homeowners Assistance Program	0.0	0.0	0.0
Revenues from Land Sales (-)	0.0	0.0	0.0
NET IMPLEMENTATION COSTS	289.1	316.7	49.7

BASE REALIGNMENT AND CLOSURE ACCOUNT - 1993 COMMISSION USAF FINANCIAL SUMMARY (DOLLARS IN MILLIONS)

				TOTAL
ONE TIME IMPLEMENTATION COSTS:	FY 1997	FY 1998	FY 1999	FY 94 - 99
Military Construction	0.0	0.0	0.0	243.6
Family Housing - Construction	0.0	0.0	0.0	113.4
- Operations	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
Environmental	16.1	283.8	311.4	829.3
Operations & Maintenance	289.2	14.8	9.6	622.7
Military Personnel - PCS	0.0	0.0	0.0	20.9
Other	0.0	0.0	0.0	1.3
Homeowners Assistance Program	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
TOTAL ONE-TIME COSTS	305.3	298.5	321.1	1,831.3
Revenues from Land Sales (-)	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
Funded from Prior Year Balances	0.0	0.0	0.0	-367.2
BUDGET REQUEST	305.3	298.5	321.1	1,464.1
FUNDED OUTSIDE OF THE ACCOUNT:				
Military Construction	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
Family Housing - Operations	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
Environmental	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
Operations & Maintenance	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
Other	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
Homeowners Assistance Program	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
TOTAL FUNDED OUTSIDE THE ACCOUNT	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
SAVINGS:				
Military Construction	26.4	12.6	25.4	89.0
Family Housing - Construction	0.0	0.0		0.0
- Operations	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
Operations & Maintenance	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
Military Personnel	153.4	156.6	160.1	608.4
Other	99.5	111.4	113.9	412.9
Civilian ES	-1,030.0	•	-	-1,030.0
Military ES	-3,842.0	-3,842.0	-3,842.0	-3,842.0
TOTAL SAVINGS	279.3	280.6	299.4	1,110.2
NET IMPLEMENTATION COSTS:	•			
Military Construction	-26.4	-12.6	-25.4	154.6
Family Housing - Construction	0.0	0.0	0.0	113.4
- Operations	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
Environmental	16.1	283.8	311.4	829.3
Operations & Maintenance	289.2	14.8	9.6	622.7
Military Personnel - PCS	-153.4			-587.4
Other	-99.5			
Homeowners Assistance Program	0.0	0.0		
Revenues from Land Sales (-)	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
NET IMPLEMENTATION COSTS	26.1	17.9	21.6	721.1

Griffiss AFB, New York Package

Base Closure and Realignment Detail

BASE REALIGNMENT AND CLOSURE ACCOUNT - 1993 COMMISSION USAF/GRIFFISS AFB, NY (DOLLARS IN THOUSANDS)

ONE TIME IMPLEMENTATION COSTS:	FY 1994	FY 1995	FY 1996
Military Construction	0	1,230	4,500
Family Housing - Construction	0	0	0
- Operations	0	0	0
Environmental	5,400	14,566	19,529
Operation & Maintenance	12,798	38,860	25,118
Military Personnel - PCS	656	0	2,311
Other	0	0	0
Homeowners Assistance Program	0	0	0
TOTAL ONE-TIME COSTS	18,854	54,656	51,458
Revenues from Land Sales (-)	0	0	0
Funded from Prior Year Balances	-16,451	-40,090	0
BUDGET REQUEST	2,403	14,566	51,458
FUNDED OUTSIDE OF THE ACCOUNT:			
Military Construction	0	0	0
Family Housing - Operations	0	0	0
Environmental	0	0	0
Operation & Maintenance	0	0	0
Other	0	0	0
Homeowners Assistance Program	0	0	0
TOTAL FUNDED OUTSIDE THE ACCOUNT	0	0	0
SAVINGS:			
Military Construction	0	1,000	760
Family Housing - Construction	0	0	0
- Operations	0	0	0
Operation & Maintenance	0	0	0
Military Personnel	0	5,030	22,980
Other	0	0	14,580
Civilian ES	0	-523	-523
Military ES	0	-483	-483
TOTAL SAVINGS	0	6,030	38,320
NET IMPLEMENTATION COSTS:			
Military Construction	0	230	3,740
Family Housing - Construction	0	0	0
- Operations	0	0	0
Environmental	5,400	14,566	19,529
Operation & Maintenance	12,798	38,860	25,118
Military Personnel - PCS	656	-5,030	-20,669
Other	0	0	-14,580
Homeowners Assistance Program	0	0	0
Revenues from Land Sales (-)	0	0	0
NET IMPLEMENTATION COSTS	18,854	48,626	13,138

BASE REALIGNMENT AND CLOSURE ACCOUNT - 1993 COMMISSION USAF/GRIFFISS AFB, NY (DOLLARS IN THOUSANDS)

(DOLLARS IN THOUSANDS) TOTAL				
ONE TIME IMPLEMENTATION COSTS:	FY 1997	FY 1998	FY 1999	FY 94 - 99
Military Construction	0	0	0	5,730
Family Housing - Construction	0	0	0	0
- Operations	0	0	0	0
Environmental	11,497	62,106	66,548	179,646
Operation & Maintenance	55,385	0	0	132,161
Military Personnel - PCS	0	0	0	2,967
Other	0	0	0	0
Homeowners-Assistance Program	0	0	0	0
TOTAL ONE-TIME COSTS	66,882	62,106	66,548	320,504
Revenues from Land Sales (-)	0	. 0	0	0
Funded from Prior Year Balances	0	0	0	-56,541
	66,882	62,106	66,548	
BUDGET REQUEST	00,002	02,100	00,5 .0	,.
FUNDED OUTSIDE OF THE ACCOUNT:		_		0
Military Construction	0	0	_	_
Family Housing - Operations	0	0	_	_
Environmental	0	0	_	
Operation & Maintenance	0	0		
Other	0	0		_
Homeowners Assistance Program	0	0		
TOTAL FUNDED OUTSIDE THE ACCOUNT	0	0	0	0
SAVINGS:				
Military Construction	5,170	5,300	9,130	21,360
Family Housing - Construction	0	C	0	
- Operations	0	C		_
Operation & Maintenance	. 0	C		
Military Personnel	31,080			
Other	14,900	15,220		
Civilian ES	-523			
Military ES	-483	-483	-483	-483
TOTAL SAVINGS	51,150	52,260	57,130	204,890
NET IMPLEMENTATION COSTS:				
Military Construction	-5,170	-5,300	-9,130	-15,630
Family Housing - Construction	C) () () 0
- Operations	() () () 0
Environmental	11,497	62,10	66,54	3 179,646
Operation & Maintenance	55,385	5 () (132,161
Military Personnel - PCS	-31,080	-31,74	0 -32,45	0 -120,313
Other	-14,900	-15,22	0 -15,55	-60,250
Homeowners Assistance Program	()	0	0 0
Revenues from Land Sales (-)	(0	0	0 (
NET IMPLEMENTATION COSTS	15,73	2 9,84	6 9,41	8 115,614

BASE CLOSURE AND REALIGNMENT PACKAGE DESCRIPTION U.S. Air Force/Griffiss Air Force Base, New York

Closure Package: Griffiss AFB, New York, realigns by FY 1995/4. The 416th Bomb Wing will inactivate. The B-52H aircraft will transfer to Minot AFB, North Dakota, and Barksdale AFB, Louisiana. The KC-135 aircraft from Griffiss AFB will transfer to Grand Forks AFB, North Dakota. The 485th Engineering Installation Group at Griffiss AFB will relocate to Hill AFB, Utah. The Northeast Air Defense Sector will remain at Griffiss AFB in a retained area. It will be transferred to the Air National Guard (ANG). Rome Laboratory will remain at Griffiss AFB in its existing facilities as a stand-alone Air Force laboratory. A minimum essential airfield will be maintained and operated by a contractor on an "as needed, on call" basis. The ANG will maintain and operate necessary facilities to support mobility/contingency/training of the 10th Infantry (Light) Division located at FT Drum, New York, and operate them when needed. Only the stand-alone laboratory and the ANG mission will remain.

One Time Implementation Costs:

Military Construction:

Location	Project Title	Fiscal Year of Award	Amount (\$000)
FY 1995			
Westover AFB Westover AFB	ADAL Base Engineering Fac (AFRES) Alter Aero-Medical Training (AFRES)	1995 1995	750 480
Total 1995			1,230

<u>Conjunctively-Funded Construction</u>: Facility expansion will be shared between the Base Closure Account and AF MILCON program.

	Fiscal	Total Cost	Conj Fund	•
Location/Project Title	Year	(\$000)	(\$000)	Source
McConnell AFB/Flight Simulator Training	1995	4,000	3,300	AF MILCON

Family Housing Construction: N/A.

Family Housing Operations: N/A.

Operation and Maintenance: \$38.9 Million

Other Procurement: N/A

Revenues From Land Sales: N/A

Environmental: \$14.6 Million

Savings: Savings identified are generated by no longer operating the installation. They are included in exhibit BC-02 for display purposes only, and will be realized in other Air Force appropriations.

10

Griffies AFB, New York Package

FY 1995 Forms 1391 (Military Construction Project Data)

1. COMPONENT	711 1005 VT TALBU A	A.V.C.				. DATE
USAFR	FY 1995 MILITARY C			OJECT DATA	a	
	3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION 4. PROJECT TITLE					
WESTOURD AID DES	WESTOVER AIR RESERVE BASE, MASSACHUSETTS BASE ENGINEERING FACILITY					
	NT 6. CATEGORY CODE					COST(\$000)
55396F	171-445	YTPI	4950045			750
	9. cos	T ESTIM	ATES			
					UNIT	COST
	ITEM		U/M	QUANTITY	COST	(\$000)
BASE CLOSURE ADD	TO AND ALTER BASE					

	ĺ		UNIT	COST
ITEM	U/H	QUANTITY	COST	(\$000)
BASE CLOSURE ADD TO AND ALTER BASE				
ENGINEERING FACILITY	LS			520
CIVIL ENGINEERING FACILITY	SF	4,800	100	(480)
ALTER WAREHOUSE (MOBILITY STORAGE)	SF	2,000	20	(40)
SUPPORTING FACILITIES				155
UTILITIES	LS			(70)
PAVEMENTS	LS			(55)
SITE IMPROVEMENTS	LS			(<u>30</u>)
SUBTOTAL		1		675
CONTINGENCY (5%)	İ	i		34
TOTAL CONTRACT COST				709
SUPERVISION, INSPECTION AND OVERHEAD (6%)				43
TOTAL REQUEST				752
TOTAL REQUEST (ROUNDED)				750
		ļ		į
		1		
		[
	}	}		

- 10. Description of Proposed Construction: Construct a reinforced concrete slab on grade as an addition to the BCE Facility. Addition is to match existing and connect to South wing of existing facility. Project will match the existing height and roof line. Alter existing storage space assigned to the 439 CES for the 933 CES use.
- 11. REQUIREMENT: As required.

PROJECT: Construct an addition to the existing Base Civil Engineering facility.

REQUIREMENT: Closure of Griffiss AFB NY. Provide space for the 933 CES currently at Griffiss AFB, NY.

CURRENT SITUATION: Base Closure at Griffiss AFB necessiated relocation of the 933 CES and the associated Medical units.

IMPACT IF NOT PROVIDED: The affected units will cease to exist due to lack of facilities.

ADDITIONAL: Funding is to be provided from the Base Closure Account. There is no criteria/scope for this project in part II of Military Handbook 1190, "Facility Planning and Design Guide".

1. COMPONENT		
FY 1995 MILITARY CONSTRUCT:		2. DATE
USAFR (computer general		
3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION		
WESTOVER AIR RESERVE BASE, MASSACHUSETTS		
4. PROJECT TITLE	5. PRO	JECT NUMBER
BASE CLOSURE ADD TO AND ALTER BASE ENGINEER:	ING FACILITY YTP	1950045
12. SUPPLEMENTAL DATA:		
a. Estimated Design Data:		
-		
(1) Status:		
(a) Date Design Started	-4	93 OCT 01
(b) Parametric Cost Estimates use		Y
(c) Percent Complete as of Oct 19 (d) Date 35% Designed.	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	94 FEB 01
(e) Date Design Complete		94 AUG 01
(2) Basis:		
(a) Standard or Definitive Design		
(b) Where Design Was Most Recent:	ly Used -	
(3) Total Cost (c) = (a) + (b) or (d)) + (e):	(\$000)
(a) Production of Plans and Spec	ifications	
(b) All Other Design Costs		
(c) Total		
(d) Contract (e) In-house		
(e) In-nodee		
(4) Construction Start		94 DEC
b. Equipment associated with this project	will be provided from	
other appropriations: N/A		. •
<u>"</u> .		

1. COMPONENT			2. DATE
I	Y 1995 MILITARY CO	INSTRUCTION PROJECT	DATA
AIR FORCE	(compute	er generated)	
3. INSTALLATION AN WESTOVER AIR RESER			E-ALTER AEROMEDICAL
5. PROGRAM ELEMENT	6. CATEGORY CODE	7. PROJECT NUMBER	8. PROJECT COST(\$000)
5.53.96F	171-445	YTPM950047	480

9. COST ESTIMA	TES			
ITEM	77 / W	OURNITITY	UNIT	COST
	U/M	QUANTITY	_0051	(\$000)
BASE CLOSURE-ALTER AEROMEDICALTRAINING				
FACILITY	SF	6,000	55	330
SUPPORTING FACILITIES			, ,	80
UTILITIES	LS		,	(55)
PAVEMENTS	LS			(_25)
SUBTOTAL	I			410
CONTINGENCY (10%)	- }			41
TOTAL CONTRACT COST	1			451
SUPERVISION, INSPECTION AND OVERHEAD (6%)			, ,	27
TOTAL REQUEST			, ,	478
TOTAL REQUEST (ROUNDED)				480
TOTAL REGUEST (ROUNDED)				400
		1		
	1			
	-			
	Ī			
	}		1	

- 10. Description of Proposed Construction: Renovate building 1408, to provide adequate training space for the Aeromedical patient staging squadron. Provide necessary utilities and communication support.
- 11. REQUIREMENT: As required.

PROJECT: Alteration of area in bldg 1408 to provide for the aeromedical unit from Griffiss.

REQUIREMENT: Closure of Griffiss AFB, NY. Space is required to house the Aeromedical unit being relocated to Westover from Griffiss AFB.

CURRENT SITUATION: The unit must be relocated. Adequate space does not now exist on base.

IMPACT IF NOT PROVIDED: Lack of facilities will cause the unit to lose its efficiency and failure in performing missions and goals.

ADDITIONAL: Funding is to be provided from the Base Closure Account. There is no criteria/scope for this project in Part II of Military

Handbook 1190, "Facility Planning and Design Guide".

1. COMPON	PMT		12 2		
I. COMPON	BNI	FY 1995 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT DATA	2. D	ATE	
AIR FORCE		(computer generated)	1		
		ON AND LOCATION			
		•			
		RESERVE BASE, MASSACHUSETTS			
4. PROJECT	T TI	TLE (5. P)	ROJECT	NUM	BER
RASE CLOS	mor_	ALTER AEROMEDICAL TRAINING FACILITY	TPM950 (047	
araba caca	0.0	ADIAN ADAOMOTOAD INGINING PROPERTY	IFM930		
12. SUPP	LEME	NTAL DATA:			
a. Est:	imat	ed Design Data:			
(1)	S+	atus:			
(-)		Date Design Started	93	OCT	01
		Parametric Cost Estimates used to develop costs			Y
		Percent Complete as of Oct 1993			•
		Date 35% Designed.	94	APR	01
		Date Design Complete	94	AUG	01
(2)		sis:			
		Standard or Definitive Design -			
	(D)	Where Design Was Most Recently Used -			
(3)	To	tal Cost (c) = (a) + (b) or (d) + (e):		(50	000)
(-,		Production of Plans and Specifications		(•	,
		All Other Design Costs			
		Total			
		Contract			
	(e)	In-house			
	-			94 I	200
(4)	Co	nstruction Start		74 1	JEC
		associated with this project will be provided from	om		
other app	ropr	iations: N/A			-
1					
1					
•	•				
		••			
ŀ					

K.I. Sawyer Air Force Base, Michigan

Base Closure and Realignment Detail

BASE REALIGNMENT AND CLOSURE ACCOUNT - 1993 COMMISSION USAF/K.I. SAWYER AFB, MI (DOLLARS IN THOUSANDS)

ONE TIME IMPLEMENTATION COSTS:	FY 1994	FY 1995	FY 1996
Military Construction	43,290	78,100	0
Family Housing - Construction	49,533	0	0
- Operations	0	0	0
Environmental	2,154	22,791	2,827
Operation & Maintenance	18,430	24,610	23,849
Military Personnel - PCS	1,593	0	16
Other	0	0	0
Homeowners Assistance Program	0	0	0
TOTAL ONE-TIME COSTS	115,000	125,501	26,692
Revenues from Land Sales (-)	0	0	0
Funded from Prior Year Balances	-60,857	-102,710	
BUDGET REQUEST	54,143	22,791	26,692
FUNDED OUTSIDE OF THE ACCOUNT:			
Military Construction	0	0	0
Family Housing - Operations	0	0	0
Environmental	0	0	0
Operation & Maintenance	0	0	0
Other	0	0	0
Homeowners Assistance Program	0	0	0
TOTAL FUNDED OUTSIDE THE ACCOUNT	0	0	0
SAVINGS:			
Military Construction	1,200	3,000	0
Family Housing - Construction	0	0	0
- Operations	0	0	0
Operation & Maintenance	0	0	0
Military Personnel	0	4,620	21,080
Other	0	0	19,940
Civilian ES	. 0	-247	-247
Military ES	0	-676	-676
TOTAL SAVINGS	1,200	7,620	41,020
NET IMPLEMENTATION COSTS:			
Military Construction	42,090	75,100	0
Family Housing - Construction	49,533	0	0
- Operations	0	0	0
Environmental	2,154	22,791	2,827
Operation & Maintenance	18,430	24,610	23,849
Military Personnel - PCS	1,593	-4,620	-21,064
Other	0	.0	-19,940
Homeowners Assistance Program	0	0	0
Revenues from Land Sales (-)	0	0	0
NET IMPLEMENTATION COSTS	113,800	117,881	-14,328

EXHIBIT BC-02

BASE REALIGNMENT AND CLOSURE ACCOUNT - 1993 COMMISSION USAF/K.I. SAWYER AFB, MI (DOLLARS IN THOUSANDS)

				TOTAL
ONE TIME IMPLEMENTATION COSTS:	FY 1997	FY 1998	FY 1999	FY 94 - 99
Military Construction	0	0	0	121,390
Family Housing - Construction	0	0	0	49,533
- Operations	0	0	0	0
Environmental	2,853	55,519	61,112	147,256
Operation & Maintenance	55,723	0	0	122,612
Military Personnel - PCS	0	0	0	1,609
Other	0	0	0	0
Homeowners Assistance Program	0	0	0	0
TOTAL ONE-TIME COSTS	58,576	55,519	61,112	442,400
Revenues from Land Sales (-)	0	0	0	0
Funded from Prior Year Balances	0	0	0	-163,567
BUDGET REQUEST	58,576	55,519	61,112	278,833
FUNDED OUTSIDE OF THE ACCOUNT:	•			•
Military Construction	0	0	0	0
Family Housing - Operations	0	0	0	0.
Environmental	0	0	0	0
Operation & Maintenance	0	0	0	0
Other	0	0	0	0
Homeowners Assistance Program	0	0	0	0
TOTAL FUNDED OUTSIDE THE ACCOUNT	0	0	0	0
SAVINGS:				
Military Construction	1,400	7,300	16,300	29,200
Family Housing - Construction	0	0	0	0
- Operations	0	0	0	0
Operation & Maintenance	0	0	0	0
Military Personnel	28,520	29,120	29,780	113,120
Other	27,280	35,570	36,350	119,140
Civilian ES	-247	-247	-247	-247
Military ES	-676	-676	-676	-676
TOTAL SAVINGS	57,200	71,990	82,430	261,460
NET IMPLEMENTATION COSTS:		•		
Military Construction	-1,400		-16,300	92,190
Family Housing - Construction	0	0	0	49,533
- Operations	0	0	0	0
Environmental	2,853	55,519	·	147,256
Operation & Maintenance	55,723		0	122,612
Military Personnel - PCS	-28,520		•	-111,511
Other	-27,280	•		-119,140
Homeowners Assistance Program	0	0	0	0
Revenues from Land Sales (-)	0	0	0	0
NET IMPLEMENTATION COSTS	1,376	-16,471	-21,318	180,940

BASE CLOSURE AND REALIGNMENT PACKAGE DESCRIPTION

U.S. Air Force/K.I. Sawyer Air Force Base, Michigan

Closure Package: K.I. Sawyer AFB, Michigan, will close FY 1995/4. The 410th Wing will inactivate. B-52H aircraft will transfer to Barksdale AFB, Louisiana. The Air Force will retire its B-52G aircraft instead of implementing the previous Base Closure Commission recommendation to transfer those aircraft from Castle AFB, California, to K.I. Sawyer AFB.

One Time Implementation Costs:

Military Construction:

Location	Project Title	Fiscal Year of Award	Amount (\$000)
FY 1994			
McGuire AFB	Alter Interim Facilities	1994	560
McGuire AFB	Refueling Operations Facilities	1994	3,300
McGuire AFB	Add to Parking Ramp	1994	16,500
McGuire AFB	Hydrant Fueling System	1994	22,000
McGuire AFB	Cryogenic Storage Area	1994	930
Total 1994			43,290
McGuire AFB	KC-10 Squadron Operations/AMU	1995	8,100
McGuire AFB	Fuel Systems Maintenance Dock	1995	9,400
McGuire AFB	Corrosion Control Facility	1995	9,200
McGuire AFB	KC-10 Maintenance Hangar	1995	11,400
McGuire AFB	KC-10 COMBS Facility	1995	6,400
McGuire AFB	KC-10 Flight Simulator	1995	4,350
McGuire AFB	Control Tower	1995	3,200
McGuire AFB	Add/Alter Vehicle Complex	1 99 5	2,000
McGuire AFB	Add/Alter Child Development Center	1995	2,100
McGuire AFB	Extend HTHW Distribution System	1995	400
McGuire AFB	Add Aero-Medical Service Clinic	1995	4,000
McGuire AFB	Communications Ducts	1995	1,000
McGuire AFB	Enlisted Dormitory	1995	6,000
McGuire AFB	Contingency Communications Element	1995	1,950
McGuire AFB	Upgrade Roads	1995	1,400
McGuire AFB	KC-10 Squad Operations/AMU(AFRES)	1995	6,900
McGuire AFB	Add to Base Supply	1995	300
Total 1995			78,100

Conjunctively Funded Construction: N/A.

Family Housing Construction:

Fiscal Year Amount

Location	Project Title	of Award	(0002)
FY 1994			
McGuire AFB	Family Housing (391 Units)	1994	49,533
Total 1994			49,533

Family Housing Operations: N/A.

Operation and Maintenance: \$24.6 Million

Military Personnel - PCS: N/A

Other Procurement: N/A

Revenues From Land Sales: N/A

Environmental: \$22.8 Million.

Savings: Savings identified are generated by no longer operating the installation. They are included in exhibit BC-02 for display purposes only, and will be realized in other Air Force appropriations.

K. I. Sawyer AFB, Michigan Package

FY 1994 Forms 1391 (Military Construction Project Data)

	1. COMPONENT							- -			2.	DATE	
		FY	1994 MILITARY	CON	ISTRUCT	ION I	R	OJECT	DATA	١.			
	AIR FORCE		(comp	uter	gener	ated)						1
	3. INSTALLATIO	ON AND	LOCATION			4. PI	SO.	JECT 1	TITLE	=			
						BASE	C	LOSURI	-ALI	ER II	NTEI	RIM	ı
_	MCGUIRE AIR FO	DRCE E	BASE, NEW JERSE	Y		FACII	ľ	TIES					ı
	5. PROGRAM ELF	EMENT	6. CATEGORY CO	DE 7	PROJ	ECT 1	W	MBER	8. I	ROJE	T (COST (\$00	0)
_	4.18.96		141-753		PTFI	.94310)4					560	ı
_			9. 0	OST	ESTIMA	TES							
										UNI	r	COST	
			ITEM			עס	M	QUAN?	YTIT	cost	ľ	(\$000)	
	BASE CLOSURE-A	ALTER	INTERIM FACILI	TIES	5	LS	3			_		42	0
	ALTR BLDG 32	209 FC	R AMUS			LS	5	1				(28	5)
i	ALTR BLDG 18	309 FC	R COMBS			LS	5					(9	5)
	REPR ROOF 18	301 FC	R CCE			LS	•					(4	0)
-	SUPPORTING FAC	CILITI	ES			- 1			i			6	0
İ	UTILITIES					LS	}	ļ				(5	0)
	PAVEMENTS					LS	5					(5)
	SITE IMPROVE	ements	3			LS	5	1				(5)
	SUBTOTAL											48	ō
	CONTINGENCY (1	LO%)				ŀ						_4	8
	TOTAL CONTRACT	COS1	•			- }		1				52	
	SUPERVISION, I	INSPEC	TION AND OVERE	EAD	(6%)	ł		1				3	2

10. Description of Proposed Construction: Alter existing facilities to provide interim support. Work includes interior wall relocation and utility replacement.

11. REQUIREMENT: As required.

TOTAL REQUEST

TOTAL REQUEST (ROUNDED)

PROJECT: Provide interim facilities for support of KC-10 related BRAC moves from Barksdale AFB.

REQUIREMENT: Renovate four floors of the existing building 3209 to provide an interim facility for 3 flightline maintenance AMUs. Provide space for KC-10 COMBS in bldg 1809, and for CCE in bldg 1801. The modifications to Bldg 1809 (COMBS interim facility) will include the construction of fencing for aircraft parts storage and the installation of air conditioning in the areas used for avionics storage/repair and administrative areas.

CURRENT SITUATION: There are no adequate vacant facilities on McGuire AFB to house the KC-10 AMUs and COMBS. The aircraft, support equipment, and personnel will arrive well before the construction projects can be completed. To temporarily bed down the AMUs and COMBS, a number of moves will have to occur. A new facility will be constructed under BRAC to relocate this unit, but the unit needs to temporarily relocate to bldg 1801 until construction is completed.

IMPACT IF NOT PROVIDED: If adequate interim facilities for the COMBS contractor are not provided, the procurment, issue, and repair of KC-10 spares, supplies, support equipment, and deployable mission support kits (MSKs) will be severely limited if not completely degraded. Lack of interim facilities for the flightline maintenance personnel will degrade mechanics proficencies, errode morale, and generally effect the efficiency and safety of KC-10 maintenance. Failure to provide either of these

560

560

1. COMPONENT				2. DATE
		ITARY CONSTRUCTION I	PROJECT DATA	Z. DATE
AIR FORCE	YAN AND I ACCOUNT	(computer generated))	
J. INSTALLAT	ION AND LOCATION	I		
	FORCE BASE, NEW	JERSEY		
4. PROJECT T	ITLE		5. P	ROJECT NUMBER
BASE CLOSURE	-ALTER INTERIM F	ACILITIES	P	TFL943104_
as reduced le these will re ADDITIONAL: There is no	evels of service esult in degraga Funding is to b criteria/scope f	educed spares reading to include safety of tion of mission capa be provided from the for this project in p unning and Design Gui	of flight. Los Abilities. Base Closure A Part II of Mili	s of any of
				!
		•		
1				
	•			
1				
İ		•• .		
1				

.		
1. COMPONENT	_	2. DATE
1	FY 1994 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT DAY	ra
AIR FORCE	(computer generated)	
3. INSTALLAT	ION AND LOCATION	
	WARRE TO A 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	
	FORCE BASE, NEW JERSEY	
4. PROJECT T	ITLE	5. PROJECT NUMBER
DA CR. OT OCUDA	AIRED THREDTY BLATTIMEDA	
BASE CLOSURE	-ALTER INTERIM FACILITIES	PTFL943104
12. SUPPLEM	ENTAL DATA:	
a. Estima	ted Design Data:	
-		}
(1) S	tatus:	
(a) Date Design Started	93 OCT 01
	Parametric Cost Estimates used to develop	costs Y
	Percent Complete as of Jan 1993	•
) Date 35% Designed.	93 DEC 01
(e) Date Design Complete	94 APR 01
		į
(2) B	asis:)
(a) Standard or Definitive Design -	
(b) Where Design Was Most Recently Used -	
	otal Cost (c) = $(a) + (b)$ or $(d) + (e)$:	(\$000)
) Production of Plans and Specifications	
) All Other Design Costs	
1) Total	
) Contract	
(e) In-house	
(4) C	onstruction Start	94 JUL
b. Equipmen	t associated with this project will be provide	ad from
1		sa IIon
other approp	riacions. N/A	
	•	
Ì		:
1		
		•
İ		
•		
1		
1		
1	••	
1		

1. COMPONENT								(2.	DATE
	FY	1994 MILITARY C	ONSTRUC	TIO	N PRO	JECT	DATA			
AIR FORCE		(comput	er gene							
3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION 4. PROJECT TITLE										
BASE CLOSURE-REFUELING										
		ASE, NEW JERSEY				CONS I				
5. PROGRAM ELEM	ent	6. CATEGORY CODE	7. PRO	JEC:	נוא ז	1BER	8. F	ROJE	CT C	OST(\$000)
, ,,,,,,		01/ //7	700		2120					2 200
4.18.96	i	214-467	PTF T ESTIM							3,300
		y. CUS	T ESTIW	WIE:	<u>-</u>			UNI	r 1	COST
		ITEM			11 /M	QUAN.	ידיי	COST	- 1	(\$000)
BASE CLOSURE-RE	FIIFI				0/11	QU.L.		005		(\$0007
FACILITY		0			LS					1,275
VEHICLE REFUE	LING	SHOP			SF	4.0	000]	150	•
VEHICLE REFUE	LING	SHOP BAYS			SF		500		90	(675)
SUPPORTING FACI	LITI	ES				·				1,655
UTILITIES					LS	1				(295)
PAVEMENTS					LS	!				(900)
SITE IMPROVEM	ENTS	3			LS	1				(100)
COMMUNICATION					LS	1				(60)
OIL WATER SEP	ERAI	OR			LS					(<u>300</u>)
SUBTOTAL]				2,930
CONTINGENCY (5%	•	, n							i	147
TOTAL CONTRACT COST SUPERVISION, INSPECTION AND OVERHEAD (6.5%)]				3,077
TOTAL REQUEST	SPEC	TION AND UVEKNEA	ע (ס.סג	,						$\frac{200}{3,277}$
TOTAL REQUEST (תו∩ת	men)			İ	1				3,277
TOTAL KEGORDI (NOOI					l				3,300
ĺ					1	1				ı

10. Description of Proposed Construction: Construct a consolidated POL OPS & Refuel Maintenance Facility to include preventive maintenance area and concrete parking for fuel trucks and hydrant servicers. Include containment curbing and security lighting.

Air Conditioning: 50 Tons.

11. REQUIREMENT: 11,500 SF ADEQUATE: 0 SUBSTANDARD: 11,500 SF PROJECT: Construct POL Operations Refuel Maintenance, and POL Truck Parking area.

REQUIREMENT: Due to BRAC 93 Realignment, the existing POL OPS facility and parking area plus the transportation refuel maintenance building must be moved to locate KC-10 maintenance facilities. The POL OPS facility is necessary to provide a fuels control center, operations and admin space, fuels distribution operators ready room, laboratory, training room, and preventive maintenance area with two work bays to allow daily safety inspections of equipment and minor maintenance. The parking for 29 fuel trucks and associated refuel equipment must be colocated to minimize response times. The transportation refueling maintenance shop is essential to maintaining specialized refueling equipment.

<u>CURRENT SITUATION</u>: The existing POL OPS facility and the transportation refuel maintenance building will be demolished to enable construction of KC-10 aircraft maintenance facilities.

IMPACT IF NOT PROVIDED: Facilities to house POL OPS and maintenance plus a colocated refueling equipment parking area will not exist and essential POL support required to generate aircraft will not be available.

ADDITIONAL: Funding is to be provided from the Base Closure Account. There is no criteria/scope for this project in part II of Military Handbook 1190, "Facility Planning and Design Guide".

1. COMPO	MENT		2. D	ATE I
AIR FORCE		FY 1994 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT DATA (computer generated)	B	AIL
		N AND LOCATION		
MCGUIRE	AIR FOE	RCE BASE, NEW JERSEY		
4. PROJE	CT TITI	LE S	PROJECT	NUMBER
BASE CLO	SURE-RI	EFUELING OPERATIONS FACILITY	PTFL943	128
12. SUP	PLEMENT	TAL DATA:		:
a. Es	timated	d Design Data:		
(1) Stat			
		Date Design Started		OCT 01
1	(P)	Parametric Cost Estimates used to develop co	osts	Y
	(c)	Percent Complete as of Jan 1993 Date 35% Designed.		35%
		Date Design Complete	- -	DEC 01 APR 01
	(=)	pare pesitin combiners	94	WLK OI
(2) Basi	is:	•	
	(a)	Standard or Definitive Design -		
		Where Design Was Most Recently Used -		•
(3) Tota	al Cost $(c) = (a) + (b)$ or $(d) + (e)$:		(\$000)
		Production of Plans and Specifications		,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,
		All Other Design Costs		
		Total		
		Contract		
	(e)	In-house		
(4) Cons	struction Start		94 OCT
		associated with this project will be provided ations: N/A	i from	•
1		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	•	
	•			
				ĺ
1				
		••		
1				1

1. COMPONENT									2.	DATE
FY 1994 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT DATA										
AIR FORCE (computer generated)										
3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION 4. PROJECT TITLE										
BASE CLOSURE-ADD TO PARKING										
MCGUIRE AIR FORCE BASE, NEW JERSEY RAMP 5. PROGRAM ELEMENT 6. CATEGORY CODE 7. PROJECT NUMBER 8. PROJECT COST(\$000)										
J. PROGRAM E	Jemen I	6. CALEGORI CODE	/ PROJ	ECI	NOI	TDER	0. F	KUJE	J1 (,031(\$000)
4.18.96	;	113-321	PTFI	.943	150				1	6,500
		9. COS	r estima	TES						
				Ī				UNI:		COST
		ITEM	_			QUANT	ITY	COS:	Γ	(\$000)
		PARKING RAMP			LS					12,826
PARKING RAI					SY	146,0	00		81	(11,826)
AIRFIELD L				I	LS					(1,000)
SUPPORTING FA										2,145
TAXIWAY L		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·			LS					(385)
AIRFIELD M					LS	,,,				(130)
ASPHALT SHO					SY LS	16,0	טט		8	(130)
STORMWATER SUBTOTAL	KEIEN.	LION POND		- 1	ro					(<u>1,500</u>) 14,971
CONTINGENCY	(57)			- 1						749
TOTAL CONTRAC	-	r								$\frac{749}{15,720}$
		CTION AND OVERHEAD	D (6%)							943
TOTAL REQUES!			(02)	1						16,663
TOTAL REQUES		NDED)		ĺ						16,500
	,			ŀ						20,200
				- 1		j	Ì			
				l			į			
						l				
		f Proposed Constru								-
		onal KC-10 aircra								The
project incl	udes ra	amp lighting, aspl	halt sho	ould	lers	. requ	ired	i edge	e of	ramp

project includes ramp lighting, asphalt shoulders, required edge of ramp taxiway lighting, and a retention pond to control stormwater runoff.

11. REQUIREMENT: 146,000 SY ADEQUATE: 0 SUBSTANDARD: 0

<u>PROJECT</u>: Construct aircraft parking ramp.

REQUIREMENT: A ramp is required to park 19 KC-10s aircraft being relocated from Barksdale AFB as a result of BRAC 93 actions. A stormwater retention pond is required by the state of New Jersey.

CURRENT SITUATION: The existing parking ramp area does not have enough space to park the BRAC 93 KC-10 aircraft. The new ramp will include five spaces for KC-10's, four spaces for C-141 aircraft, and the displaced taxiway from Charlie Row to Oscar Row.

IMPACT IF NOT PROVIDED: The KC-10 aircraft will not have enough ramp space to park and operate out of McGuire AFB. The aircraft will suffer a forty percent loss of mission requirements from McGuire since there is currently only room to park twelve KC-10 aircraft.

ADDITIONAL: Funding is to be provided from the Base Closure Account. There is no criteria/scope for this project in part II of Military Handbook 1190, "Facility Planning and Design Guide".

1. COMPONEN	T	12. 1	DATE					
	FY 1994 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT DAT	ra						
AIR FORCE	(computer generated)							
3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION								
MCGUIRE AIR FORCE BASE, NEW JERSEY 4. PROJECT TITLE 5. PROJECT NUMBER								
4. PROJECT	IIILE	J. PROJEC	I NUMBER					
BASE CLOSUE	BASE CLOSURE-ADD TO PARKING RAMP PTFL943150							
DAIDE COCOC.								
12. SUPPLEMENTAL DATA:								
a. Estin	ated Design Data:		1					
(1)	Status:							
	a) Date Design Started		3 OCT 01					
	b) Parametric Cost Estimates used to develop of	costs	Y					
	c) Percent Complete as of Jan 1993	•	2 222 23					
	d) Date 35% Designed. e) Date Design Complete	-	3 DEC 01 4 APR 01					
'	e/ Date Design Complete	9	4 APK UI					
(2)	Basis:							
	a) Standard or Definitive Design -							
	b) Where Design Was Most Recently Used -		·					
	•							
1	Total Cost (c) = (a) + (b) or (d) + (e):		(\$000)					
	a) Production of Plans and Specifications		:					
	b) All Other Design Costs							
	c) Total d) Contract							
	e) In-house							
]	e, in nouse							
(4)	Construction Start		94 OCT					
	nt associated with this project will be provide	ed from	_					
other appro	priations: N/A							
ļ	••		ŀ					
ł			i i					

1. COMPONENT	PY	1994 MILIT					OJECT D	ATA	2.	DATE
AIR FORCE		(C	ompute	er g	enera	ted)				
3. INSTALLATION MCGUIRE AIR FOR			RSEY		В		DECT TI		ANT FU	ELING
5. PROGRAM ELEM	ENT 6	. CATEGORY	CODE	7.	PROJE	CT NUI	MBER 8	. PR	OJECT	COST (\$000
4.18.96		121-122			PTFL9	43151				22,000
		9	. cos	r es	TIMAT	ES				
		ITEM				מ/ט	QUANTI	TY	UNIT COST	COST (\$000)
BASE CLOSURE-HYDRANT FUELING SYSTEM LS						9,890				

	- 1		UNIT	COST
ITEM	א/ט	QUANTITY	COST	(\$000)
BASE CLOSURE-HYDRANT FUELING SYSTEM	LS			9,890
HYDRANT FUELING SYSTEM	LF	10,000	510	(5,100)
ISOLATION VALVE PITS	EA	7	70,000	(490)
JET FUEL STORAGE	BL	50,000	49	(2,450)
PUMP HOUSE/FILL STANDS	LS			(1,850)
SUPPORTING FACILITIES	į			9,740
UTILITIES	LS	1		(850)
SITE IMPROVEMENTS	LS			(500)
PAVEMENTS	LS			(500)
OTHER SUPPORTING FACILITIES	LS			(_7,890)
SUBTOTAL]	}		19,630
CONTINGENCY (5%)				982
TOTAL CONTRACT COST		1		20,612
SUPERVISION, INSPECTION AND OVERHEAD (6%)				1,237
TOTAL REQUEST				21,849
TOTAL REQUEST (ROUNDED)	- 1			22,000

Description of Proposed Construction: Provide a 2400 gpm type III hydrant fuel system to service KC-10 aircraft, each hydrant pit capable of uploading 1200 gpm. Construct two new 25000 BBL operating storage tanks. Provide an emergency standby diesel generator for the pumphouse and provide two truck fillstands.

11. REQUIREMENT: As required.

PROJECT: Construct aircraft hydrant refueling system for the KC-10s. REQUIREMENT: Beddown construction is required to support the relocation from Barksdale AFB to McGuire AFB as a result of BRAC 93 actions. Provide an environmentally acceptable capability for refueling KC-10 aircraft. CURRENT SITUATION: McGuire AFB has no refueling pits to support KC-10 aircraft. Use of trucks to refuel these large aircraft is not an acceptable solution due to Environmental Protection Agency restrictions on increasing truck use. To meet the aircraft turn around times required to support contingency operations, a hydrant system is necessary. Refueling the aircraft by trucks would require 6 vehicles and take 4 hours to refuel a KC-10. The KC-10 realignment will increase the base fuel usage rate by 2.5 million gallons per month. The existing bulk storage tanks are sized to support the C-141 mission and does not have excess capacity. IMPACT IF NOT PROVIDED: KC-10 Operations from realigning from Barksdale AFB will be severely restricted and will not be able to meet mission requirements because of the time it will take to load fuel. ADDITIONAL: Funding is to be provided from the Base Closure Account. There is no criteria/scope for this project in part II of Military Handbook 1190, "Facility Planning and Design Guide".

									
1. COMPCE	NENT	BV 1004 NTI TRI BV GONGROUGHTON BRO TROS	2. D	ATE					
AIR FORCE	.	FY 1994 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT DATA (computer generated)	,						
		ON AND LOCATION							
}									
		FORCE BASE, NEW JERSEY							
4. PROJEC	CT TI	TLE 5	. PROJECT	NUMBER					
BASE CLOS	SURE-	HYDRANT FUELING SYSTEM	PTFL9431	151					
12. SUPI	12. SUPPLEMENTAL DATA:								
a. Est	imat	ed Design Data:							
(1)		atus:							
		Date Design Started		OCT 01					
		Parametric Cost Estimates used to develop co	sts	Y					
		Percent Complete as of Jan 1993	00	8					
		Date 35% Designed. Date Design Complete		DEC 01 APR 01					
	(5)	nere nestân rombtere	74	APR UI					
(2)	Ba	asis:							
1	(a)	Standard or Definitive Design -							
	(b)	Where Design Was Most Recently Used -							
(3)		otal Cost (c) = (a) + (b) or (d) + (e):		(\$000)					
		Production of Plans and Specifications All Other Design Costs							
}		Total							
		Contract							
		In-house							
(4)	Co	onstruction Start		94 OCT					
b. Equip	ment	associated with this project will be provided	l from						
		ciations: N/A	1100						
		·	٠						
ł		•							
1									
	•								
1									
1									
		•• •							

1. COMPONENT	FY 1994 MILITARY	CONSTRUCTION PROJECT	2. DATE
AIR FORCE	(compu	ter generated)	
3. INSTALLATION MCGUIRE AIR FORCE	AND LOCATION E BASE, NEW JERSEY	4. PROJECT T BASE CLOSURE AREA	TITLE -CRYOGENIC STORAGE
5. PROGRAM ELEME	NT 6. CATEGORY COD	7. PROJECT NUMBER	8. PROJECT COST(\$000)
4.18.96	442-258	PTFL943161	930

9. COST ESTIMATES

9. COST ESTIRA	IES			
			UNIT	COST
ITEM	U/M	QUANTITY	COST	(\$000)
BASE CLOSURE-CRYOGENIC STORAGE AREA	LS			651
LIQUID OXYGEN STORAGE	SF	6,300	100	(630)
LIQUID OXYGEN STORAGE TEST AREA	SF	180	115	(21)
SUPPORTING FACILITIES			l	180
UTILITIES	LS			(35)
PAVEMENTS	LS			(100)
SITE IMPROVEMENTS	LS	ŀ	i	(35)
CHAIN LINK FENCING	LS	1		(<u>10</u>)
SUBTOTAL				831
CONTINGENCY (5%)	1 1		[_42
TOTAL CONTRACT COST				873
SUPERVISION, INSPECTION AND OVERHEAD (6%)]]			_52
TOTAL REQUEST			l	925
TOTAL REQUEST (ROUNDED)			i	930
·				
		İ		:
•				

- 10. Description of Proposed Construction: Relocate the existing cryogenic storage area. The cryotainers will be supported on a concrete pad/foundation and a sample/test area with a standing metal seam roof will be provided. Enclose with security fencing and security lighting.
- 11. REQUIREMENT: 6,300 SF ADEQUATE: 0 SUBSTANDARD: 6,300 SF PROJECT: Construct a new Cryogenic Storage Area.

REQUIREMENT: To support the relocation from Barksdale AFB to McGuire AFB as a result of BRAC 93 actions. All Air Force bases must provide for adequate storage of aviator breathing oxygen and nitrogen used for maintenance and inerting aircraft fuel tanks/systems. McGuire requires storage of 3 each 5,000 gallon tanks, 2 each 2,000 gallon tanks, and 4 each 400 gallon tanks plus an area for sampling, testing, and equipment storage.

CURRENT SITUATION: The existing cryogenics storage area (facility 2830) must be relocated to provide space for a KC-10 Squadron Operations/AMU facility. This is the only location large enough to construct/consolidate facilities needed to support the aircraft realignment resulting from BRAC 93. Enhanced efficiency will occur if KC-10 operations and maintenance activities are grouped together.

IMPACT IF NOT PROVIDED: The aircraft require liquid oxygen and/or nitrogen to be operational. A storage/delivery is required to support the flying mission.

ADDITIONAL: Funding is to be provided from the Base Closure Account. There is no criteria/scope for this project in part II of Military Handbook 1190, "Facility Planning and Design Guide".

1. a	OMPONE	NT			2. DATE				
		- 1	FY 1994 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT DATA	A					
	AIR FORCE (computer generated)								
3. II	3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION								
VOC.	MCGUIRE AIR FORCE BASE, NEW JERSEY								
	ROJECT			E DDC	JECT NUMBER				
4. 1	NOOE CI	11	The .	o. PRO	DECT NUMBER				
BASE	BASE CLOSURE-CRYOGENIC STORAGE AREA PTFL943161								
1	<u> </u>				2743101				
12.	12. SUPPLEMENTAL DATA:								
a.	Esti	mat	ed Design Data:						
1	(1)	St	atus:						
			Date Design Started		93 OCT 01				
			Parametric Cost Estimates used to develop co	osts	Y				
1			Percent Complete as of Jan 1993		•				
[Date 35% Designed.		93 DEC 01				
I		•	Date Design Complete		94 APR 01				
	۷۵۱								
	(2)								
] .			Standard or Definitive Design -						
1		(D)	Where Design Was Most Recently Used -						
1	(3)	To	tal Cost (c) = (a) + (b) or (d) + (e):		(\$000)				
			Production of Plans and Specifications		(,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,				
			All Other Design Costs						
1		(c)	Total						
1		(d)	Contract						
ł		(e)	In-house						
1									
1	(4)	Co	nstruction Start		94 OCT				
1									
b. 1	B	+	associated with this project will be provided						
			iations: N/A	a from	1				
OCINE.	r appr	Opr	iacions. N/R		•				
ļ									
l									
ļ									
}									
	•								
I									
1									
[
1			••						
1			•						
1									
1									

K.I. Sawyer AFB, Michigan Package

FY 1995 Forms 1391 (Military Construction Project Data)

,								
1. COMPONENT							2.	DATE
	F	Y 1995 MILITARY CO			OJECT D	ATA	1	
AIR FORCE		(compute	r gener				<u> </u>	
3. INSTALLATI	ON ANI	LOCATION	ļ		JECT TI			!
					LOSURE-		QUAJ	DRON
		BASE, NEW JERSEY			IONS/AM			
5. PROGRAM, EI	EMENT	6. CATEGORY CODE	7. PROJ	ECT NU	MBER 8	. PROJE	CT (COST(\$000)
4.18.96		141-753		<u>.943100</u>				8,100
		9. COST	ESTIM	TES	, 			
						UNI	-	COST
		ITEM		M/U	QUANTI	TY COS	T	(\$000)
BASE CLOSURE-		SQUADRON]		Ì		
OPERATIONS/AN				SF	43,60	0	135	5,886
SUPPORTING FA	CILIT	IES		l		- (1,410
UTILITIES				LS				(310)
SITE IMPROV	EMENT:	S		LS		-		(310)
PAVEMENTS				LS		- 1		(310)
PREWIRED WO				LS		i		(195)
OTHER SUPPO	DRTING	FACILITIES		LS		ł		(135)
DEMOLISH B-1836/1812/1813			LS		}		(<u>150</u>)	
SUBTOTAL							7,296	
CONTINGENCY	(5%)				1	1		<u>365</u>
TOTAL CONTRAC	CT COS	r		Ì		1		7,661
SUPERVISION,	INSPE	CTION AND OVERHEAD	(6%)					<u>460</u>
TOTAL REQUEST	ſ			1				8,121
I —								

- 10. Description of Proposed Construction: This facility will follow the design guidance for use as an operational building for flight planning, briefing, administration; and for tool kit storage, tool crib, support equipment, administration, ready room, and support area for maintenance personnel. Includes prewired work stations auditorium seats, and all necessary support. Demolish three existing buildings.

 Air Conditioning: 50 Tons.
- REQUIREMENT: 125,000 SF ADEQUATE: 81,400 SF SUBSTANDARD: PROJECT: Construct a Consolidated Squadron Operations/AMU facility. REQUIREMENT: Beddown construction is required to support the relocation from Barksdale AFB to McGuire AFB as a result of BRAC 93 actions. A facility capable of housing all functional elements of an operational squadron to include air crews, aircraft maintainers, life support personnel, administrative staff, command and control personnel, and all organizational supplies and equipment into a single location. A modern facility will allow a true integration of the operations and maintenance personnel into a single specially designed building capable of providing all necessary staff, support, and training space for the needs of the entire squadron. This consolidation will promote the concept of this squadron being one cohesive unit working to project aircraft for training and mission sorties. This facility will include administrative space and storage of life support equipment for the KC-10 associate reserve squadron.

<u>CURRENT SITUATION</u>: There is no facility adequate to support a KC-10 Consolidated Sq Ops/AMU function at McGuire AFB.

IMPACT IF NOT PROVIDED: If this facility is not constructed, operations, maintenance, and support personnel will be located in separate buildings

TOTAL REQUEST (ROUNDED)

8,100

1. COMPONENT		:	2. DATE
	FY 1995 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT DAT	`A	
AIR FORCE	(computer generated)		
3. INSTALLATI	ON AND LOCATION		
MCGUIRE AIR I	ORCE BASE, NEW JERSEY		
4. PROJECT T	TLE	5. PRO.	JECT NUMBER
BASE CLOSURE-	KC-10 SQUADRON OPERATIONS/AMU	PTF	L943100

and will never develop the cohesiveness necessary to gel as an efficient operational organization. The geographic separation will continue to hamper the lines of authority and communication throughout the squadron. Ultimately these factors, in conjunction with the substandard working environment, could degrade daily operations, adversely affecting the efficiency of the squadron to generate and execute mission sorties effectively.

1. COMPONENT	FY 1995 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT DATA	2. DATE
AIR FORCE 3. INSTALLAT	(computer generated)	
4. PROJECT 1	FORCE BASE, NEW JERSEY	ROJECT NUMBER
4. PROJECT	3. 1	ROJECT NORDER
BASE CLOSURE	-KC-10 SQUADRON OPERATIONS/AMU P	TFL943100
12. SUPPLEM	ENTAL DATA:	
a. Estima	ted Design Data:	
	tatus:	
	Date Design Started	93 OCT 01
	 Parametric Cost Estimates used to develop costs Percent Complete as of Oct 1993 	35 %
	Date 35% Designed.	93 DEC 01
	e) Date Design Complete	94 APR 15
· ` `	,, 5400 500-8 00111-000	, , , , , , ,
(2) E	Basis:	
	a) Standard or Definitive Design -	
(1) Where Design Was Most Recently Used -	
(3) 1	Total Cost (c) = (a) + (b) or (d) + (e):	(\$000)
(8) Production of Plans and Specifications	
. (t) All Other Design Costs	
	c) Total	
) Contract	
(•	e) In-house	
(4)	Construction Start	94 OCT
	nt associated with this project will be provided froriations: N/A	Om
	••	

1. COMPONENT									2.	DATE
	F:	Y 1995 MILITARY C	ONSTRUC	TION	PRO	OJECT	DATA	.		
AIR FORCE		(compute	er gene	rate	ed)					
3. INSTALLATI	ON ANI	LOCATION		4.	PRO.	JECT 1	ITLE	3		
				BAS	SE CI	LOSURE	-FUE	EL SYS	STEP	IS
		BASE, NEW JERSEY				NANCE				
5. PROGRAM EI	EMENT	6. CATEGORY CODE	7. PRO	JECT	נטא ז	MBER	8. E	PROJEC	et (COST (\$000)
4.18.96		211-179			3110					9,400
		9. cos	r estim	ATES	<u> </u>					
		T. M. T. L.			** />*			UNI		COST
RATIO TO STATE	DITET (ITEM SYSTEMS MAINTENAN			U/M	QUANT	TTY	cos	-	(\$000)
DOCK	LOEL :	SISIEMS WYINIEMWW	CE		SF	41,6	ا مم		145	6,032
SUPPORTING FA	·CTT.TT	TRC		- 1	SF	41,6	,00	•	143	2,405
UTILITIES				LS		Į			(470	
SITE IMPROVEMENTS				LS		l l			(470	
PAVEMENTS				LS	ì	l			(470	
SPECIAL FIRE PROTECTION SYSTEMS					LS		- 1		l	(720
PAVEMENT DEMOLITION				- 1	SY	12,0	00		23	(275
SUBTOTAL				ļ						8,437
CONTINGENCY (5%)										422
TOTAL CONTRACT COST				- 1			i		- 1	8,859
SUPERVISION, INSPECTION AND OVERHEAD (6%)			. j]				532	
TOTAL REQUEST			. [•	1			9,391	
TOTAL REQUEST	(ROUI	NDED)				l	Ì		ì	9,400
						1	l l			
							- 1	i e		
						ł	ı		İ	

10. Description of Proposed Construction: Structural steel framing with sloped roof. Facility will include fuel cell work areas, storage for maintenance/repair parts, latrines and break room. All utilities and necessary support is included.

11. REQUIREMENT: 41,600 SF ADEQUATE: 0 SUBSTANDARD: 0 PROJECT: Construct a new aircraft maintenance fuel cell.

REQUIREMENT: To support the relocation of KC-10 aircraft from Barksdale AFB. A facility capable of providing sufficient height and width clearance to allow fuel cell maintenance on the KC-10 aircraft. The 19 KC-10 aircraft will require 3000 - 4000 hours of fuel system maintenance dock time. This facility will allow fuel systems maintenance to be performed in a climate controlled environment. A fully enclosed building is required because no partial enclosure is possible for the KC-10 aircraft due to the positioning of the number two engine.

CURRENT SITUATION: The KC-10 requires 282 days of fuel cell work. The C-141 fuel cell has a 75 percent utilization rate but is not large enough to house the KC-10 aircraft. The projected number of airframes slated for beddown at McGuire AFB justify the need for a KC-10 fuel cell. The weather conditions at McGuire are such that a fully enclosed fuel cell is required to perform necessary maintenance.

IMPACT IF NOT PROVIDED: If this facility were not constructed, fuel systems maintenance of aircraft would be significantly impacted. Work on KC-10 fuel gaskets, bladders and sealants cannot be accomplished when the temperature is less than 50 degrees Fahrenheit - 7 months out of the year the average daily temperature at McGuire AFB is less than this temperature. For another 2 months, thunderstorms and winds prevent outside maintenance activities.

1. COMPONENT	FY 1995 MILI			T DATA	2. DATE
AIR FORCE	ON AND LOCATION	computer ger	erated)		1
	ORCE BASE, NEW J	ERSEY			
4. Project ti	TLE			5. PF	OJECT NUMBER
BASE CLOSURE-	FUEL SYSTEMS MAI	NTENANCE DOC	<u> </u>	P1	FL943110
There is no c	Funding is to be riteria/scope for , "Facility Plan	r this proje	ect in part I	Closure Ac I of Milit	ecount. ary
	. •	: .			
			•		
					•
			-		
•					
				•	

. COMPONE	Note 1	2. DATE
. Compone	FY 1995 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT DATA	2. DATE
IR FORCE	(computer generated)	
. INSTALL	ATION AND LOCATION	
	R FORCE BASE, NEW JERSEY	
. Project	5. PROS	JECT NUMBER
ASE CLOSU	RE-FUEL SYSTEMS MAINTENANCE DOCK PTFI	L943110
	EMENTAL DATA:	3743324
a. Esti	mated Design Data:	
(1)	Status:	
	(a) Date Design Started	93 OCT 01
	(b) Parametric Cost Estimates used to develop costs	Y
	(c) Percent Complete as of Oct 1993	*
	(d) Date 35% Designed.	93 DEC 01
	(e) Date Design Complete	94 APR 15
(2)	Basis:	
(-,	(a) Standard or Definitive Design -	
	(b) Where Design Was Most Recently Used -	
(3)	Total Cost $(c) = (a) + (b)$ or $(d) + (e)$:	(\$000
(5)	(a) Production of Plans and Specifications	(\$000
	(b) All Other Design Costs	
	(c) Total	
	(d) Contract	
	(e) In-house	
(4)	Construction Start	94 OCT
(4)	Construction Start	94 OCT
	ent associated with this project will be provided from	
ther appr	opriations: N/A	, ·
-		
	•• .	

1. COMPONENT	Y 1995 MILITARY	CONSTRUCTION PROJECT		. DATE
AIR FORCE		ter generated)		
3. INSTALLATION AN MCGUIRE AIR FORCE		4. PROJECT BASE CLOSUI FACILITY	TITLE RE-CORROSION	CONTROL
5. PROGRAM ELEMENT	6. CATEGORY COD	E 7. PROJECT NUMBER	8. PROJECT	COST(\$000)
4.18.96	211-159	PTFL943111		9,200

SUPPORTING FACILITIES UTILITIES SITE IMPROVEMENTS PAVEMENTS SPECIAL FIRE PROTECTION SYSTEMS DEMO PAVEMENTS SUBTOTAL CONTINGENCY (5%) TOTAL CONTRACT COST SUPERVISION, INSPECTION AND OVERHEAD (6%) TOTAL REQUEST 2,060 (355 (355 (355 (275 SY 12,000 23 (275 8,300 415 523	9. COST ESTIMA	TES			
BASE CLOSURE-CORROSION CONTROL FACILITY SUPPORTING FACILITIES UTILITIES UTILITIES SITE IMPROVEMENTS PAVEMENTS SPECIAL FIRE PROTECTION SYSTEMS DEMO PAVEMENTS SUBTOTAL CONTINGENCY (5%) TOTAL CONTRACT COST SUPERVISION, INSPECTION AND OVERHEAD (6%) TOTAL REQUEST SY 41,600 150 6,240 2,060 2,					-
SUPPORTING FACILITIES UTILITIES SITE IMPROVEMENTS PAVEMENTS SPECIAL FIRE PROTECTION SYSTEMS DEMO PAVEMENTS SUBTOTAL CONTINGENCY (5%) TOTAL CONTRACT COST SUPERVISION, INSPECTION AND OVERHEAD (6%) TOTAL REQUEST 2,060 (355 (355 (355 (275 8,300 415 523	ITEM	U/M	QUANTITY	COST	(\$000)
UTILITIES SITE IMPROVEMENTS PAVEMENTS SPECIAL FIRE PROTECTION SYSTEMS DEMO PAVEMENTS SUBTOTAL CONTINGENCY (5%) TOTAL CONTRACT COST SUPERVISION, INSPECTION AND OVERHEAD (6%) TOTAL REQUEST LS (358 (358 (278 8,300 241 8,715 523	BASE CLOSURE-CORROSION CONTROL FACILITY	SF	41,600	150	6,240
SITE IMPROVEMENTS PAVEMENTS SPECIAL FIRE PROTECTION SYSTEMS DEMO PAVEMENTS SUBTOTAL CONTINGENCY (5%) TOTAL CONTRACT COST SUPERVISION, INSPECTION AND OVERHEAD (6%) TOTAL REQUEST (359 (359 (279 8,300 8,719 9,238	SUPPORTING FACILITIES				2,060
PAVEMENTS SPECIAL FIRE PROTECTION SYSTEMS DEMO PAVEMENTS SUBTOTAL CONTINGENCY (5%) TOTAL CONTRACT COST SUPERVISION, INSPECTION AND OVERHEAD (6%) TOTAL REQUEST (35% (720 (27% (27% (35% (720 (27% (35% (720 (720 (UTILITIES	LS	i		(355)
SPECIAL FIRE PROTECTION SYSTEMS DEMO PAVEMENTS SUBTOTAL CONTINGENCY (5%) TOTAL CONTRACT COST SUPERVISION, INSPECTION AND OVERHEAD (6%) TOTAL REQUEST (720	SITE IMPROVEMENTS	LS			(355)
DEMO PAVEMENTS SUBTOTAL CONTINGENCY (5%) TOTAL CONTRACT COST SUPERVISION, INSPECTION AND OVERHEAD (6%) TOTAL REQUEST SY 12,000 23 (275 8,300 415 523 9,238	PAVEMENTS	LS			(355)
SUBTOTAL 8,300 CONTINGENCY (5%) 415 TOTAL CONTRACT COST 8,715 SUPERVISION, INSPECTION AND OVERHEAD (6%) 523 TOTAL REQUEST 9,238	SPECIAL FIRE PROTECTION SYSTEMS	LS	l l		(720)
CONTINGENCY (5%) TOTAL CONTRACT COST SUPERVISION, INSPECTION AND OVERHEAD (6%) TOTAL REQUEST 215 415 8,715 9,236	DEMO PAVEMENTS	SY	12,000	23	(_275)
TOTAL CONTRACT COST SUPERVISION, INSPECTION AND OVERHEAD (6%) TOTAL REQUEST 8,715 523 9,238	Subtotal				8,300
SUPERVISION, INSPECTION AND OVERHEAD (6%) TOTAL REQUEST 523 9,238	CONTINGENCY (5%)			·	415
TOTAL REQUEST 9,238	TOTAL CONTRACT COST	1			8,715
	SUPERVISION, INSPECTION AND OVERHEAD (6%)				523
TOTAL REQUEST (ROUNDED) 9,200	TOTAL REQUEST	1 :			9,238
	TOTAL REQUEST (ROUNDED)				9,200
	•				
		1	: !		

- 10. Description of Proposed Construction: Structural steel framing with sloped roof. Facility will include work areas, storage, latines, and breakroom. All utilities and necessary support is included.
- 11. REQUIREMENT: 41,600 SF ADEQUATE: 0 SUBSTANDARD: 0
 PROJECT: Construct a fully enclosed Corrosion Control Facility.

 REQUIREMENT: Beddown construction is required to support the relocation of KC-10 aircraft from Barksdale AFB as a result of BRAC 93 action. A facility capable of enclosing a KC-10/C-141/KC-135 aircraft with minimum wingtip and tail height clearances is required. This facility must have sufficient lighting, heating, ventilation and fire protection equipment to ensure a properly outfitted and safe facility to perform the maintenance and servicing of the aircraft.

CURRENT SITUATION: Currently there are no facilities which can totally enclose a KC-10 aircraft on McGuire AFB. The area currently performing corrosion control on the C-141 is the aircraft wash hangar (Bldg 2240).

IMPACT IF NOT PROVIDED: If this facility were not constructed corrosion control and servicing of all aircraft would be significantly impacted. Spot painting cannot be accomplished when the temperature is less than 50 degrees Fahrenheit. Seven months of the year the average daily temperature falls below 50 degrees Fahrenheit and thunderstorms prevent outside work for another 2 months. Washing cannot be accomplished in freezing weather which McGuire experiences 6 months out of the year.

ADDITIONAL: Funding is to be provided from the Base Closure Account. There is no criteria/scope for this project in part II of Military Handbook 1190, "Facility Planning and Design Guide".

7		
1. COMPONE		2. DATE
	FY 1995 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT DAT	: A [
AIR FORCE	(computer generated)	
3. INSTALL	ATION AND LOCATION	
MOCUTED AT	DADAR DICK IRW TRACKY	
4. PROJECT	R FORCE BASE, NEW JERSEY	
4. PROJECT	TILLE	5. PROJECT NUMBER
BASE CLOSE	RE-CORROSION CONTROL FACILITY	PTFL943111
BASE CEOS	AL CORROSION CONTROL PACIFILI	FIFL943111
12. SUPPL	EMENTAL DATA:	
a. Esti	nated Design Data:	
1 (1)	Status:	
, ,	(a) Date Design Started	93 OCT 01
	(b) Parametric Cost Estimates used to develop of	
j	(c) Percent Complete as of Oct 1993	•
	(d) Date 35% Designed.	93 DEC 01
{	(e) Date Design Complete	94 APR 15
(2)	Basis:	
	(a) Standard or Definitive Design -	
ŀ	(b) Where Design Was Most Recently Used -	
(3)	Total Cost (c) = $(a) + (b)$ or $(d) + (e)$:	(\$000)
į	(a) Production of Plans and Specifications	
	(b) All Other Design Costs	
	(c) Total	
	(d) Contract	
	(e) In-house	
		24 000
(4)	Construction Start	94 OCT
b. Equipm	ent associated with this project will be provide	ed from
other appr	opriations: N/A	•
Ì		
		:
	·	ı
1		
j		
1		
1		
1		
1		
1		
1	•• .	
ł		
1		

1. COMPONENT							1	. DI	ATE
[F	Y 1995 MILITARY C	ONSTRUCT	CION PR	OJECT	DATA	A		
AIR FORCE		(compute	er gener	rated)					
3. INSTALLATI	ON ANI	LOCATION		4. PRO	JECT :	CITLI	3		
				BASE C	LOSURI	E-KC-	-10 MAI	NTE	NANCE
		BASE, NEW JERSEY		HANGAR					
5. PROGRAM EI	EMENT	6. CATEGORY CODE	7. PRO	JECT NU	MBER	8. 1	PROJECT	. cos	T(\$000)
-									
4.18.96		211-111		943112				11,	400
 		9. cos:	r estima	\TES					
				ļ			UNIT		COST
		ITEM		א/ט	QUAN	CITY	COST		(\$000)
BASE CLOSURE-KC-10 MAINTENANCE HANGAR			LS	i	Ì		- 1	6,990	
MAINTENANCE HANGAR			SF	41,0	500	15	0 ((6,240)	
DASH 21 STORAGE/ASSEMBLY			SF	10,0	000	7	5 ((750)	
SUPPORTING FA	CILIT	IES						- 1	3,260
UTILITIES				LS	ŧ				(450)
SITE IMPROVEMENTS			LS				- - ((450)	
PAVEMENTS			LS	1				(450)	
SPECIAL FIRE PROTECTION SYSTEMS			LS	1				(890)	
OTHER SUPPORTING FACILITIES			LS					1,020)	
SUBTOTAL			1					10,250	
CONTINGENCY (5%)				Ì			1	513	
TOTAL CONTRAC	T COS	r			l			-	10,763
SUPERVISION,	INSPE	CTION AND OVERHEAD	0 (6%)					1	-646
TOTAL REQUEST	•			1				ı	11,409
TOTAL REQUEST	' (ROUI	NDED)		ŀ					11,400

10. Description of Proposed Construction: Construct a fully enclosed, pull in, high bay hangar for performing maintenance on the KC-10 aircraft. Structural steel framing with sloped roof. Facility includes work areas, storage, latrines, breakrooms. All utilities and necessary support is included.

11. REQUIREMENT: 51,600 SF ADEQUATE: 0 SUBSTANDARD: PROJECT: Construct a fully enclosed aircraft maintenance hangar. REQUIREMENT: Beddown construction is required to support the relocation of KC-10 aircraft from Barksdale AFB as a result of BRAC 93. An adequate facility, properly sized and configured, for aircraft heavy maintenance and inspections is required. This facility provides for indoor aircraft jacking, inspections, landing gear changes, unscheduled maintenance, and structural maintenance procedures. The KC-10 aircraft being realigned to McGuire AFB from Barksdale AFB require three covered maintenance spaces. This facility must have sufficient lighting, heating, ventilation, and fire protection equipment to ensure a properly outfitted and safe facility to perform the maintenance and servicing of the aircraft. Work areas and storage for the support branch are included because there is no space available on the base to satisfy this requirement. CURRENT SITUATION: There are no facilities on McGuire AFB that can provide completely covered space for the KC-10 aircraft. IMPACT IF NOT PROVIDED: If this facility were not constructed, maintenance and servicing of the KC-10 aircraft would be significantly

impacted. With no available facility large enough to completely cover the KC-10, maintenance workers would be making some repairs in sub-freezing weather during the winter months. Other maintenance couldn't been done, such as hydraulic work on struts and number 2 engine changes. Mission

1. COMPONENT		CONSTRUCTION PROJE	CT DATA	2. DATE
AIR FORCE		iter generated)		
J. INSTALLATI	ON AND LOCATION			
	ORCE BASE, NEW JERSEY	(· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	
4. PROJECT TI	TLE		5. PF	OJECT NUMBER
BASE CLOSURE-	-KC-10 MAINTENANCE HAM	IGAR	PI	FL943112
ADDITIONAL: There is no c	.ll be severely impede Funding is to be proveriteria/scope for thi), "Facility Planning	vided from the Base is project in part	II of Milit	
	<u>.</u>			,
	· •		•	
			•	
		•		
•				
				-
		••		

		
1. COMPONE		2. DATE
ATD BODGE	FY 1995 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT DATA	
AIR FORCE	(computer generated) ATION AND LOCATION	
3. INSTALL	ATION AND LOCATION	
MCGHTPE AT	R FORCE BASE, NEW JERSEY	
4. PROJECT		PROJECT NUMBER
d. Product	1	PROJECT NUMBER
BASE CLOSU	RE-KC-10 MAINTENANCE HANGAR	PTFL943112
12. SUPPL	EMENTAL DATA:	
1		
a. Esti	mated Design Data:	İ
-		
1 ' '	Status:	ĺ
	(a) Date Design Started	93 OCT 01
	(b) Parametric Cost Estimates used to develop cost	
•	(c) Percent Complete as of Oct 1993	35%
	(d) Date 35% Designed.	93 DEC 01
l	(e) Date Design Complete	94 APR 15
125	Basis:	
	(a) Standard or Definitive Design -	
	(b) Where Design Was Most Recently Used -	ĺ
1	(a) most booting made moderately took	
(3)	Total Cost $(c) = (a) + (b)$ or $(d) + (e)$:	(\$000)
	(a) Production of Plans and Specifications	
ž	(b) All Other Design Costs	
	(c) Total	
	(d) Contract	
	(e) In-house	
		_
(4)	Construction Start	94 OCT
İ		
		İ
b. Equipm	ent associated with this project will be provided a	From
	opriations: N/A	
The state of the s		
j		
	·	
1		
[
}	•• •	
,		
1		
		,

2. DATE 1. COMPONENT FY 1995 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT DATA (computer generated) AIR FORCE 3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION 4. PROJECT TITLE BASE CLOSURE-KC-10 COMBS FACILITY MCGUIRE AIR FORCE BASE, NEW JERSEY 5. PROGRAM ELEMENT 6. CATEGORY CODE 7. PROJECT NUMBER 8. PROJECT COST(\$000) 218-712 PTFL943113 6,400 4.18.96 9. COST ESTIMATES UNIT COST (\$000) U/M QUANTITY COST ITEM BASE CLOSURE-KC-10 COMBS FACILITY LS 4,789 SF 25,100 110 (2,761)ACFT SPRT EQUIP SHOP/STORAGE SF 120 (2.028)16,900 AVIONICS/ADMINISTRATION 935 SUPPORTING FACILITIES 200) LS UTILITIES SITE IMPROVEMENTS LS 200) LS 200) **PAVEMENTS** LS PREWIRED WORKSTATIONS 50) LS 250) BUILDING DEMOLITION LS 10 - TON CRANE 35) 5.724 SUBTOTAL CONTINGENCY (5%) 286 6,010 TOTAL CONTRACT COST SUPERVISION, INSPECTION AND OVERHEAD (62) 361 TOTAL REQUEST 6,371 TOTAL REQUEST (ROUNDED) 6,400 10. Description of Proposed Construction: Facility will have a combination of internal warehouse and administrative work areas, and must have access for delivery of parts via truck. Includes building demolition required for facility siting. All utilities and necessary support is included. 11. REQUIREMENT: 42,000 SF ADEQUATE: 0 SUBSTANDARD: 0 PROJECT: Construct a Contractor Operated and Maintained Base Supply (COMBS) facility. REQUIREMENT: Beddown construction is required to support the relocation of the KC-10 from Barksdale AFB to McGuire AFB as a result of BRAC 93 actions. This project is required to provide a maintenance and aircraft parts supply facility for the contractor associated with the KC-10. The contractor is required to provide spare aircraft parts for immediate response (such as replacement engines and navigational gear), and perform both expedient and long term repairs. To accomplish this, the contract stipulates the government will provide covered storage and work space and outside storage space. CURRENT SITUATION: There are no facilities that provide the required space needed to operate a fully staffed and stocked COMBS operation. The organization will be temporarily housed in another facility which is smaller than required, not configured for this type of supply activity,

and does not have the proper doors needed for receipt and issue of parts and supplies. This problem will require that some parts and supplies be depalletized or uncrated prior to being placed in the temporary facility,

IMPACT IF NOT PROVIDED: If an acceptable facility is not constructed to satisfy the requirements of the contract, the procurement and issue of

resulting in a considerable amount of unnecessary handling.

1. COMPONENT	FY 1995 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT DATA	2. DATE
AIR FORCE	(computer generated)	
	ON AND LOCATION FORCE BASE, NEW JERSEY	
4. PROJECT T		. PROJECT NUMBER
BASE CLOSURE	KC-10 COMBS FACILITY	PTFL943113
	1. 6	

parts and supplies for maintaining the KC-10 will be impacted. Loss of the service or shortfalls in stock levels could result in delayed or cancelled missions.

1. COMPONE	NT	2. DATE
ATD BODGE	FY 1995 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT DAT	A
AIR FORCE	(computer generated) ATION AND LOCATION	
	R FORCE BASE, NEW JERSEY	
4. PROJECT	TITLE	5. PROJECT NUMBER
BASE CLOSE	RE-KC-10 COMBS FACILITY	PTFL943113
12. SUPPI	EMENTAL DATA:	
a. Esti	mated Design Data: ·	
(1)		
(1)	Status: (a) Date Design Started	93 OCT 01
	(b) Percent Complete as of Jan 1994	35%
	(c) Date 35% Complete	93 DEC 01
	(d) Date Design Complete	94 APR 15
	(1) 0000 000- 6 0 00m ; 0000	
(2)	Basis:	
}	(a) Standard or Definitive Design -	
	(b) Where Design Was Most Recently Used -	
(3)	Total Cost (c) = (a) + (b) or (d) + (e):	(\$000)
(5)	(a) Production of Plans and Specifications	(\$000)
	(b) All Other Design Costs	
	(c) Total	
	(d) Contract	
<u> </u>	(e) In-house	
(4)	Construction Start	0/ 000
(4)	Construction Start	94 OCT
	•	
b Pania	and accomined with this surious will be a very	1.6
	ent associated with this project will be provide opriations: N/A	d from
comer app.	optiditions. N/R	
1		
	·	
! 		
	•	
	••	

3. INSTALLATION AND	D LOCATION	4. PROJECT		7.611m
MCGUIRE AIR FORCE	BASE NEW JERSEY	BASE CLOSUE SIMULATOR	KE-KC-10 FI	.IGHT
5. PROGRAM ELEMENT			8. PROJEC	T COST(\$000)
4.18.96	171-212	PTFL943114		4,350

Torri	11 04	OHANTTTV	UNIT	COST
ITEM BASE CLOSURE-KC-10 FLIGHT SIMULATOR SUPPORTING FACILITIES UTILITIES SITE IMPROVEMENTS PAVEMENTS DEMOLISH FACILITY 1802 SUBTOTAL CONTINGENCY (5%) TOTAL CONTRACT COST	U/M SF LS LS LS SF	QUANTITY 21,000 14,400		COST (\$000) 2,730 1,185 (280) (280) (280) (345) 3,915 196 4,111
SUPERVISION, INSPECTION AND OVERHEAD (6%) TOTAL REQUEST TOTAL REQUEST (ROUNDED)				247 4,358 4,350
			:	

- 10. Description of Proposed Construction: Flight simulator training facility to include aircraft flight simulator room, visual maintenance and model room, maintenance supply storage, administrative space, offices and briefing rooms, break room, latrines, mechanical and electrical equipment rooms, utilities, and other necessary support. Demolish facility 1802. Air Conditioning: 75 Tons.
- 11. REQUIREMENT: 48,422 SF ADEQUATE: 18,022 SF SUBSTANDARD: 14,400 SF PROJECT: Construct a new KC-10 flight simulator training facility.

 REQUIREMENT: Beddown construction is required to support the relocation from Barksdale AFB to McGuire AFB as a result of BRAC 93 actions.

 Simulator training mission is transferring from Barksdale AFB to support the beddown of two active duty KC-10 Squadrons also coming from Barksdale AFB. Facility functions directly to support flight crew training, including adjacent instructor's offices with adequate space for lesson preparation, scheduling, and visual aids storage.

CURRENT SITUATION: There is no facility at McGuire AFB capable of supporting the flight simulator training mission for KC-10 air crews.

IMPACT IF NOT PROVIDED: Alternative methods of providing required training must be found. Pilot team training would have to either be conducted in the aircraft itself or the training would have to be contracted to a DC-10 simulator operator like American Airlines. Costs for conducting this training through a commercial simulator operator is not cost efficient.

1		2. DATE
1. COMPONE	FY 1995 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT DATA	8
ATR FORCE		A
AIR FORCE	(computer generated) ATION AND LOCATION	
). INSTALL	Allon and Localion	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,
MCCUITER AT	R FORCE BASE, NEW JERSEY	ļ
4. PROJECT		5. PROJECT NUMBER
4. PROJEC	111111	J. PROSECT NORDER
BACE CLOCK	RE-KC-10 FLIGHT SIMULATOR	PTFL943114
BASE CLOSE	RE RC 10 FEIGHT SINGLATOR	1112343114
12. SUPPI	EMENTAL DATA:	
a. Esti	mated Design Data:	
-	-	
(1)	Status:	ļ
	(a) Date Design Started	93 OCT 01
}	(b) Parametric Cost Estimates used to develop c	osts Y
1	(c) Percent Complete as of Jan 1994	35%
1	(d) Date 35% Designed.	93 DEC 01
	(e) Date Design Complete	94 APR 15
	,	
(2)	Basis:	
İ	(a) Standard or Definitive Design -	•
	(b) Where Design Was Most Recently Used -	·
(3)	Total Cost $(c) = (a) + (b)$ or $(d) + (e)$:	(\$000)
	(a) Production of Plans and Specifications	
	(b) All Other Design Costs	
1	(c) Total	
	(d) Contract	
	(e) In-house	
(4)	Construction Start	94 OCT
b. Equip	ent associated with this project will be provide	d from
other app	opriations: N/A	
		!
ļ		1
		;
		•
	•	
		l
		•
		ļ
1		
	••	
	••• ·	
		ļ

1. COMPONENT				<u>-</u>						2.	DATE		T
J	FY 1995 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT DATA									1			
AIR FORCE	1							۱					
3. INSTALLATI	3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION 4. PROJECT TITLE						Ť						
							ļ						
MCGUIRE AIR E							LOSURI						l
5. PROGRAM EI	LEMENT	6. CATEGO	DRY CODE	7. PRO	JECI	. NU	MBER	8. I	PROJEC	CT C	COST (\$000)	Ť
,								•					
4.18.96		149-9	62	PTF	<u> 1943</u>	165					3,20	0	
			9. COS1	C ESTIM	ATES	3							Ţ
					\neg				UNIT	7	CO	ST	T
		ITEM			l	U/M	QUAN'	CITY	COS1	<u>r</u>	(\$0	00)	l
BASE CLOSURE-	-CONTRO	OL TOWER				LS					2	,500	T
CONTROL TOW	VER STI	RUCTURE			[LS	[(2	,500)	۱
SUPPORTING FA	CILIT	ES			- 1					1		380	1
UTILITIES					j	LS	1				(125)	
EMERGENCY O	ENERA	rors			ļ	LS					į (30)	1
SITE IMPROV	ÆMENTS	5				LS					(75)	I
PAVEMENTS					ì	LS	ł	i			(75)	1
DEMOLISH BU	JILDING	s			i	LS				- 1	į	75)	١
SUBTOTAL					ı						2	,880	1
CONTINGENCY ((5%)				ł		1					144	l
TOTAL CONTRAC	T COST	r			ŀ					j	3	,024	1
SUPERVISION,	INSPEC	CTION AND	OVERHEAD	(6%)	- 1			:				181	

10. Description of Proposed Construction: Reinforced concrete footing, foundations, floor slabs and structural components, insulated cab tower with thermal glass observation cab windows, equipment support, parking, elevator, standby emergency power generator, fire and lightning protection systems, existing tower demolition.

Air Conditioning: 30 Tons.

TOTAL REQUEST

TOTAL REQUEST (ROUNDED)

11. REQUIREMENT: As required.

<u>PROJECT</u>: Construct a new control tower to see over three 80 foot high hangar/fuel cell facilities.

REQUIREMENT: BRAC 93 realignment of Barksdale AFB, LA to McGuire AFB requires an aircraft control tower, properly sited, of the correct height and configuration, to provide air traffic controllers with a clear view of the runway, traffic patterns and alert and maintenance aircraft parking areas.

CURRENT SITUATION: Relocation of the KC-10 aircraft will require the construction of a maintenance hangar, a fuel cell, and a corrosion control facility. Because of the density of the existing development on McGuire, these facilities are sited on the southern edge of the aircraft parking ramp. The 80 foot height of these facilities will block the view of sections of the runway, taxiway, and apron. This blind spot will make the tower inoperable and in violation of FAA and Air Force regulations.

IMPACT IF NOT PROVIDED: The air traffic control mission will not be able

IMPACT IF NOT PROVIDED: The air traffic control mission will not be able to operate at McGuire AFB.

ADDITIONAL: Funding to be provided from the Base Closure Account. There is no criteria/scope for this project in Part II of Military Handbook 1190, "Facility Planning and Design Guide".

3,205

3,200

		
1. COMPONEN	- 1	2. DATE
AIR FORCE	FY 1995 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT DAT	`A
	(computer generated)	
	2201 1818 200112.011	
MCGUIRE AIR	FORCE BASE, NEW JERSEY	
4. PROJECT	TITLE	5. PROJECT NUMBER
BASE CLOSUR	E-CONTROL TOWER	PTFL943165
12. SUPPLE	MENTAL DATA:	
a. Estim	ated Design Data:	
(1)	Status:	
(a) Date Design Started	93 DEC 01
	b) Parametric Cost Estimates used to develop of	costs Y
	c) Percent Complete as of Oct 1993	35%
	d) Date 35% Designed.	94 FEB 01
•	e) Date Design Complete	94 AUG 15
(2)	Basis:	
, , ,	a) Standard or Definitive Design -	
	b) Where Design Was Most Recently Used -	
1		
(3)	Total Cost (c) = (a) + (b) or (d) + (e):	(\$00 0)
	a) Production of Plans and Specifications	
	b) All Other Design Costs	
	c) Total	
	d) Contract	
}	e) In-house	
(4)	Construction Start	95 DEC
, ,		
	nt associated with this project will be provide	ed from
other appro	priations: N/A	
Į		
ļ		
•		
]		
		,
1		
ļ		
	•• ·	
İ		

1. COMPONENT	7 1995 MILITARY	CONSTRUCTION	DPO TECT DATE	2. DATE
AIR FORCE		iter generated		^
3. INSTALLATION AND MCGUIRE AIR FORCE I		BASI		E D TO AND ALTER NANCE COMPLEX
5. PROGRAM ELEMENT	6. CATEGORY CO	DE 7. PROJECT	NUMBER 8.	PROJECT COST(\$000)
4.18.96	214-425	PTFL943	121	2,000

J. 0001 2011121				
			UNIT	COST
ITEM	U/M	QUANTITY	COST	(\$000)
BASE CLOSURE-ADD TO AND ALTER VEHICLE				
MAINTENANCE COMPLEX	LS			1,202
VEHICLE MAINTENANCE FACILITY	SF	8,600	130	(1,118)
ADAL FLEET SUPPORT FAC	SF	1,000	84	(84)
SUPPORTING FACILITIES	l	:		590
UTILITIES	LS			(85)
SITE IMPROVEMENTS	LS			(115)
PAVEMENTS	SY	11,100	35	(390)
SUBTOTAL				1,792
CONTINGENCY (5%)				90
TOTAL CONTRACT COST				1,882
SUPERVISION, INSPECTION AND OVERHEAD (6%)				113
TOTAL REQUEST				1,995
TOTAL REQUEST (ROUNDED)				2,000
- · · ·				
	1			
	ł			
	1			
				4

- 10. Description of Proposed Construction: Add to existing maintenance complex with concrete foundation and floor, metal frame, and masonary walls. Space includes work area, administration and vehicle dispatch area. All necessary support as required.
- 11. REQUIREMENT: 40,474 SF ADEQUATE: 30,874 SF SUBSTANDARD: 0 PROJECT: Construct an addition to the existing vehicle maintenance facility.

REQUIREMENT: Beddown construction is required to support the relocation of aircraft from Barksdale AFB to McGuire AFB as a result of BRAC 93 actions. Space is needed to provide maintenance bays to support the increase in the vehicle fleet associated with the KC-10 movement. Realignment of KC-10 aircraft from Barksdale AFB will increase the base vehicle fleet by 100 vehicle equivalents. This requirement will be met by adding four bays to the existing structure (one bay needs to have a 24 foot rollup door to allow access for the snow removal equipment). CURRENT SITUATION: The existing vehicle maintenance shop can not support the added work load. An average of 78 vehicles a day are turned in for maintenance. With an average maintenance turn around of 8 - 12 hours, additional bays are needed to provide room for maintenance actions. IMPACT IF NOT PROVIDED: Vehicle maintenance operations will be handicapped by the shortage of space. Important repair and maintenance work for the 100 additional vehicle coming to McGuire AFB will not be accomplished.

		
1. COMPONEN	- I	2. DATE
	FY 1995 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT DATA	
AIR FORCE	(computer generated)	
3. INSTALLA	TION AND LOCATION	
MCGUITER ATR	FORCE BASE, NEW JERSEY	
4. PROJECT		JECT NUMBER
	3	, ECT NORDER
BASE CLOSUR	E-ADD TO AND ALTER VEHICLE MAINTENANCE COMPLEX PTF	L943121
12. SUPPLE	MENTAL DATA:	
a. Estim	ated Design Data:	
(1)	Status:	
(4	a) Date Design Started	93 OCT 01
B · ·	b) Parametric Cost Estimates used to develop costs	Y
-	c) Percent Complete as of Oct 1993	35%
	d) Date 35% Designed.	93 DEC 01
(e) Date Design Complete	94 APR 15
1		
	Basis:	
	a) Standard or Definitive Design - b) Where Design Was Most Recently Used -	
\ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \	b) where besign was most recently obed -	
(3)	Total Cost (c) = (a) + (b) or (d) + (e):	(\$000)
	a) Production of Plans and Specifications	(4555)
	b) All Other Design Costs	
	c) Total	
	d) Contract	
	e) In-house	
1		
(4)	Construction Start	94 OCT
	nt associated with this project will be provided from	
other appro	priations: N/A	
•		
1		
1		
	••	
ł		

1. COMPONENT

FY 1995 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT DATA

AIR FORCE

(computer generated)

4. PROJECT TITLE

BASE CLOSURE-ADD TO AND ALTER

MCGUIRE AIR FORCE BASE, NEW JERSEY

CHILD DEVELOPMENT CENTER

5. PROGRAM ELEMENT 6. CATEGORY CODE 7. PROJECT NUMBER 8. PROJECT COST(\$000)

PTFL943172

740-884

9. COST ESTIMATES UNIT COST U/M QUANTITY ITEM COST (\$000) BASE CLOSURE-ADD TO AND ALTER CHILD DEVELOPMENT CENTER SF 7,800 125 975 SUPPORTING FACILITIES 820 UTILITIES LS 575) SITE IMPROVEMENTS LS 170) LS **PAVEMENTS** 751 SUBTOTAL 1,795 CONTINGENCY (10%) 180 TOTAL CONTRACT COST 1,975 SUPERVISION, INSPECTION AND OVERHEAD (6%) 119 TOTAL REQUEST 2,094 TOTAL REQUEST (ROUNDED) 2,100

10. Description of Proposed Construction: Masonry/concrete addition, to include building systems, utilities, playground with equipment, and all required support.

Air Conditioning: 20 Tons.

4.18.96

REQUIREMENT: 52,700 SF ADEQUATE: 15,500 SF SUBSTANDARD: PROJECT: Construct an addition to the existing child development center. REQUIREMENT: This project is required to provide adequate space and environment for child development center needs for McGuire AFB due to the realignment of KC-10 aircraft from Barksdale AFB to McGuire AFB. The relocation of KC-10 aircraft will generate an additional 145 children in the child development program. A child development center must provide a comfortable clean educational environment where parents can leave young dependent children without questioning the level or nature of care. CURRENT SITUATION: The existing facility is inadequate by size. This project is for only the increased population added by the BRAC actions. The base currently has two satellite facilities operating due to the large current space deficit. The total area of the existing and programmed Child Development Centers will still be insufficient to support the relocation of KC-10 aircraft to McGuire AFB. The existing facility, bldg 2414 has 15,500 SF. A programmed second facility (FY 95 Regular Milcon) adds 9,400 SF. Because more families rely on child care, improved developmental care facilities must provide adequate indoor/outdoor play space, learning centers, sleeping areas, sufficient restrooms, kitchen/food service areas. Compliance of strict regulations carried out by the child development center will continue to be hampered with the influx of additional KC-10 personnel. IMPACT IF NOT PROVIDED: Child development programs will be inadequate to

2,100

1. COMPONENT FY 1995 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT AIR FORCE (computer generated)	DATA 2. DATE
3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION MCGUIRE AIR FORCE BASE, NEW JERSEY	
4. PROJECT TITLE	5. PROJECT NUMBER
BASE CLOSURE-ADD TO AND ALTER CHILD DEVELOPMENT CENTER	PTFL943172

support the realignment of RC-10 aircraft to McGuire AFB. Many lower ranking military and single parents will not be able to afford the higher costs of off-base centers. Ultimately the RC-10 mission will suffer damaging effects from low morale and increased stress due to financial strains on families or inadequate care for the children.

ADDITIONAL: Funding is to be provided from the Base Closure Account.

There is criteria/scope for this project in part II of Military Handbook 1190, "Facility Planning and Design Guide".

		
1. COMPON	· · · ·	. DATE
	FY 1995 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT DATA	
AIR FORCE	(computer generated)	
3. INSTAL	LATION AND LOCATION	
	IR FORCE BASE, NEW JERSEY	
4. PROJEC	T TITLE 5. PROJ	ECT NUMBER
İ		
BASE CLOS	JRE-ADD TO AND ALTER CHILD DEVELOPMENT CENTER PTFL	943172
ł		
12. SUPP	LEMENTAL DATA:	
ŀ		
a. Est	imated Design Data:	
-		
(1)	Status:	
	(a) Date Design Started	93 OCT 01
	(b) Parametric Cost Estimates used to develop costs	Y
1	(c) Percent Complete as of Oct 1993	35%
1	(d) Date 35% Designed.	93 DEC 01
	(e) Date Design Complete	94 APR 15
j	,	
(2)	Basis:	
1	(a) Standard or Definitive Design -	
	(b) Where Design Was Most Recently Used -	
(3)	Total Cost $(c) = (a) + (b)$ or $(d) + (e)$:	(\$000)
	(a) Production of Plans and Specifications	
	(b) All Other Design Costs	
	(c) Total	
ł	(d) Contract	
ļ	(e) In-house	
(4)	Construction Start	94 OCT
1		
j		•
b. Equip	ment associated with this project will be provided from	
other app	ropriations: N/A	
ļ		
	•	
ļ		
İ		
1		
	•••	
1		
		!
1		!

1. COMPONENT	Y 1995 MILITARY C	ONSTRUCTION PROJECT	DATA 2. DATE
AIR FORCE		er generated)	
3. INSTALLATION AND MCGUIRE AIR FORCE		4. PROJECT BASE CLOSUR DISTRIBUTION	E-EXTEND HOT WATER
		7. PROJECT NUMBER	8. PROJECT COST(\$000)
4.18.96	822-245	PTFL943155	400

7. COST ESTIMAT	90			
ITEM	U/M	OUANTITY	UNIT	COST (SOOO)
ITEM BASE CLOSURE-EXTEND HOT WATER DISTRIBUTION SYSTEM SUPPORTING FACILITIES UTILITIES PAVEMENTS SITE IMPROVEMENTS SUBTOTAL CONTINGENCY (5%) TOTAL CONTRACT COST SUPERVISION, INSPECTION AND OVERHEAD (6%)	U/M LS LS LS	QUANTITY		(\$000) 300 60 (20) (20) (20) 360 18 378 23
TOTAL REQUEST (ROUNDED)				401 400

10. Description of Proposed Construction: Increase the size of the High Temperature Hot Water (HTHW) lines in the vicinity of the flightline. Add piping and valves to divide the flightline distribution into zones. Add a new section of pipe along East Arnold Ave.

11. REQUIREMENT: As required.

PROJECT: Upgrade the HTHW lines to accomodate the new construction along the flightline and along East Arnold Ave.

REQUIREMENT: To support the relocation of KC-10 aircraft from Barksdale AFB as a result of BRAC 93 actions. The additional buildings will increase the maximum hourly plant heat load by approximately 10 million BTU per hour. This represents an increase in the hot water circulation rate of about 20 percent, necessitating an increase in pipe size on selected supply and return lines serving the area. The 10 million BTU per hour increase brings the maximum anticipated hourly heat load to 120 million BTU per hour. This still provides a reserve plant capacity of 30 percent, based on a plant installed capacity of 240 million BTU per hour. CURRENT SITUATION: The HTHW lines are adequate for their current load. New construction as a result of the base realignment will require the lines along the flightline to be increased in size. A new line is required along East Arnold Ave to support the new construction in that vicinity.

IMPACT IF NOT PROVIDED: The HTHW lines will not carry enough hot water to properly heat and provide hot water to the facilities along the flightline when the new construction is completed.

1. COMPON	ENT	2. DATE
	FY 1995 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT DATA	
AIR FORCE	(computer generated)	
3. INSTAL	LATION AND LOCATION	
İ		1
	R FORCE BASE, NEW JERSEY	
4. PROJECT	TITLE 5.	PROJECT NUMBER
		1
BASE CLOS	RE-EXTEND HOT WATER DISTRIBUTION SYSTEM	PTFL943155
12. SUPP	LEMENTAL DATA:	
a. Est	imated Design Data:	
-	•	
(1)	Status:	
	(a) Date Design Started	93 OCT 01
l	(b) Parametric Cost Estimates used to develop cos	
	(c) Percent Complete as of Oct 1993	35%
	(d) Date 35% Designed.	93 DEC 01
	(e) Date Design Complete	94 APR 15
(2)	Basis:	
\-'	(a) Standard or Definitive Design -	
	(b) Where Design Was Most Recently Used -	
	(b) miche beergi. Was note the control of the	
(3)	Total Cost $(c) = (a) + (b)$ or $(d) + (e)$:	(\$000)
	(a) Production of Plans and Specifications	
	(b) All Other Design Costs	
1	(c) Total	
	(d) Contract	
	(e) In-house	
_		24 2
(4)	Construction Start	94 OCT
b. Equip	ment associated with this project will be provided	from
	ropriations: N/A	
	•	
[
1		
1		
1		-
ł	•	
	•	
	•	
1		
1		
1		
1	•• •	
1		
1		

1. COMPONENT	201	Y 1995 MILITARY C	ONCORDITO	#T^1	v DD) TPA=	22.001	1	DATE
ATD BODGE	F 1					MECT	DATE	•	
AIR FORCE			er gene	_					
3. INSTALLATIO	N ANI	LOCATION		1		JECT 1		-	
								ROMEDICAL	_
		BASE, NEW JERSEY						ADDITIO	
5. PROGRAM ELE	MENT	6. CATEGORY CODE	7. PRC	JEC:	I NU	MBER	8. 1	PROJECT (COST (\$000)
-									
4.18.96		510-001	PTF	L94 :	3174				4,000
		9. cos	T ESTIM	ATE:	S				
								UNIT	COST
		ITEM			U/M	QUANT	YTI	COST	(\$000)
BASE CLOSURE-A	EROM	EDICAL SERVICES							
CLINIC ADDITION	N				SF	21,0	000	145	3,045
SUPPORTING FAC	ILIT	IES				l			545
UTILITIES				i	LS	((545
SUBTOTAL									3,590
CONTINGENCY (5	b 1				l	ł			180
TOTAL CONTRACT COST						1			3,770
SUPERVISION, INSPECTION AND OVERHEAD (6%)					Ì	}			226
TOTAL REQUEST		arran uma ormuna	(۵۰) ت						3,996
TOTAL REQUEST	/ DOITS	inen i							4,000
		41.JP.1.J.1						Ī	1 4.INKI

- 10. Description of Proposed Construction: Reinforced concrete foundation and floor slab, structural steel frame, precast concrete walls, roof system and all necessary utilities and support, including all information systems requirements and facility systems operating manuals. Construct facility to modern functional and code standards.
- 11. REQUIREMENT: 154,148 SF ADEQUATE: 32,400 SF SUBSTANDARD: 27,000 SF PROJECT: Aeromedical Services Clinic Addition.

REQUIREMENT: A facility of adequate size and functional configuration is required to accommodate the KC-10 beddown from Barksdale AFB, LA. Under BRAC 93 over 668 active duty will be realigned to McGuire AFB, NJ. The facility will provide medical services to the operational flying mission. This project is supported by a facility site survey conducted by the Health Facilities Office-Eastern Region and representatives of the Air Mobility Command Surgeon's office. The DoD Medical Space Planning Criteria model was used to scope requirements.

CURRENT SITUATION: The existing medical facility (previously Walson Army Community Hospital) is 33 years old, riddled with severe life safety, utility and mechanical system deficiencies. Projected expenditures in excess of \$67M are required to bring the facility up to NFPA Life Safety Code and provide a functional structure for delivery of health care in an ambulatory setting. In addition, operating and base support costs alone are \$4.5M annually, generating a \$36M requirement over the next eight years. A new facility (FY98) for non-BRAC driven health care requirements has been effectively sized for construction (\$18M) as an add-on to the BRAC MILCON.

IMPACT IF NOT PROVIDED: Health care will severely suffer in a compromised, unsafe environment to the detriment of the patient population

1 001/001/01/0								
1. COMPONENT	FY 1995 MILITARY CONS	TRUCTION PROTECT DAT	2. DATE					
AIR FORCE		generated)	•					
	ON AND LOCATION							
MCGUIRE AIR E 4. PROJECT TI	ORCE BASE, NEW JERSEY							
4. PROJECT TI	112		5. PROJECT NUMBER					
BASE CLOSURE-	AEROMEDICAL SERVICES CLIN	IC ADDITION	PTFL943174					
and staff. ADDITIONAL: Funding is to be provided from the Base Closure Account. There is no criteria/scope for this project in part II Military Handbook 1190, "Facility Planning and Design Guide".								
	•							
	•							
•								
			•					
•								
		•• .						

ــــــــــــــــــــــــــــــــــــــ		
1. COMPONE		2. DATE
	FY 1995 HILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT DAY	ra
AIR FORCE	(computer generated) ATION AND LOCATION	
3. INSTALL	ATION AND LOCATION	
MCGHTRE AT	R FORCE BASE, NEW JERSEY	
4. PROJECT		5. PROJECT NUMBER
		J. INOUECI NORDER
BASE CLOSE	RE-AEROMEDICAL SERVICES CLINIC ADDITION	PTFL943174
12. SUPPL	EMENTAL DATA:	
a. Esti	mated Design Data:	
(1)	Status:	
1	(a) Date Design Started	93 OCT 01
	(b) Parametric Cost Estimates used to develop	costs Y
	(c) Percent Complete as of Oct 1993	35%
	(d) Date 35% Designed.	93 DEC 01
ľ	(e) Date Design Complete	94 APR 15
	•	
(2)	Basis:	
	(a) Standard or Definitive Design -	•
	(b) Where Design Was Most Recently Used -	•
1		
(3)	Total Cost (c) = (a) + (b) or (d) + (e):	(\$000)
ł	(a) Production of Plans and Specifications	
	(b) All Other Design Costs	
	(c) Total	
	(d) Contract	
	(e) In-house	
(4)	Construction Start	94 OCT
, ,		
b. Equipa	ent associated with this project will be provide	ed from
other appr	opriations: N/A	-
1		
į		
	•	İ
1		
		•
1		
1		•
1		
i		
]		
1		
1		
1	•	
1		
1		

1 COMPONENTS		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·							2	DATE I
1. COMPONENT									۷.	DATE
FY 1995 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT DATA										
AIR FORCE (computer generated) 3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION 4. PROJECT TITLE										
3. INSTALLATI	INA NU	LUCATION								
	20000					LOSURE	-COM	MONTO	AI	LON
		BASE, NEW JERSEY	7 000	DUC		ADED	0 n	DO IEC	`T (COCT(COOO)
D. PRUGRAM EL	lemen i	6. CATEGORY CODE	/. PRU	JECI	NUI	ADEK	0. r	KUJE	, I (COST(\$000)
4.18.96		135-583	PTF	.943	1157					1,000
4120.30			r ESTIM							
						1	1	UNI	Γ	COST
		ITEM		- 1	U/H	QUANT	TTY	COST	[(\$000)
BASE CLOSURE	-COMMUI	NICATION DUCTS			LS					500
SUPPORTING FA	CILIT	IES				ł				400
MANHOLES				- 1	LS	}	1			(150)
PAVEMENTS					LS					(60)
UTILITIES					LS	ł				(60)
FACILITY CO	DNNECT:	IONS			LS		l			(70)
SITE IMPROV	EMENT:	S			LS		1			(60)
SUBTOTAL										900
CONTINGENCY	(5%)			ı						45
TOTAL CONTRAC	CT COS	Γ								945
SUPERVISION,	INSPE	CTION AND OVERHEAD	D (6%)							57
TOTAL REQUEST							ļ			1,002
TOTAL REQUEST (ROUNDED)										1,000
			• *						•	`
				1		1	ļ			
]				j

10. Description of Proposed Construction: Remove and relocate communication lines/manholes within the foot print of new construction. Install new manholes and duct banks to service new facilities constructed in support of BRAC 93. Include jacking under roads and pull ropes in all new ducts.

11. REQUIREMENT: As required.

PROJECT: Provide communication ducts/site work to service new facilities. REQUIREMENT: Required to support the relocation of KC-10 aircraft from Barksdale AFB to McGuire AFB as a result of BRAC 93 actions. Provide base communications to new facilities constructed to support the realignment. The occupants of the facilities will need phones to be able to accomplish their job. To provide this service, new buried duct banks and manholes will be needed. Some existing communication lines will need to be removed and rerouted during the new construction.

CURRENT SITUATION: The existing base communication system is inadequate or non-existent in the areas planned for the construction of BRAC facilities. With the new facilities, additional telephone lines need to be run from the facilities to the communication switch building.

IMPACT IF NOT PROVIDED: New construction will not be capable of utilizing

IMPACT IF NOT PROVIDED: New construction will not be capable of utilizing the base communications network without being upgraded. Facilities would be isolated and without sufficient voice or data communications, making it impossible for the assigned units to operate.

. COMPONEN	FY 1995 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT DAT	2. DATE
IR PORCE	(computer generated)	<u> </u>
. INSTALLA	TION AND LOCATION	
CCUITER ATE	FORCE BASE, NEW JERSEY	
. PROJECT		5. PROJECT NUMBER
ASE CLOSUR	E-COMMUNICATION DUCTS	PTFL943157
2. SUPPLE	MENTAL DATA:	
a. Estim	ated Design Data:	
(1)	Status:	
	a) Date Design Started	93 OCT 01
(b) Percent Complete as of Jan 1994	35%
	c) Date 35% Complete	93 DEC 01
(d) Date Design Complete	94 APR 15
(2)	Basis:	
	a) Standard or Definitive Design -	
	b) Where Design Was Most Recently Used -	
(3)	Total Cost (c) = (a) + (b) or (d) + (e):	(\$000
	a) Production of Plans and Specifications	(4000
	b) All Other Design Costs	
(c) Total	
. (d) Contract	
(e) In-house	
(4)	Construction Start	94 OCT
. Equipme	nt associated with this project will be provide	ed from
ther appro	priations: N/A	
	•	
	•	

1. COMPONENT			2. DATE
	FY 1995 MILITARY O	ONSTRUCTION PROJECT DATA	
AIR FORCE	(comput	er_generated)	
3. INSTALLATION	AND LOCATION	4. PROJECT TITLE	
		BASE CLOSURE-ENLI	STED
MCGUIRE AIR FOR	CE BASE, NEW JERSEY	DORMITORY	
5. PROGRAM ELEM	ENT 6. CATEGORY CODE	7. PROJECT NUMBER 8. PR	OJECT COST(\$000)
4.18.96	721-312	PTFL943176R3	6,000

9. COST ESTIMATES							
ITEM	U/M	QUANTITY	UNIT	COST (\$000)			
BASE CLOSURE-ENLISTED DORMITORY	SF	43,000	105	4,515			
SUPPORTING FACILITIES				885			
UTILITIES	LS			(295			
PAVEMENTS	LS	[(295			
SITE IMPROVEMENTS	LS			(295			
SUBTOTAL		1		5,400			
CONTINGENCY (5%)	İ			270			
TOTAL CONTRACT COST	1	1		5,670			
SUPERVISION, INSPECTION AND OVERHEAD (6%)		ļ j	i	340			
TOTAL REQUEST	1	[[6,010			
TOTAL REQUEST (ROUNDED)	Į.			6,000			
		[
	1]					
	1	[
	1]					
		1					
	ļ]					

- 10. Description of Proposed Construction: Facility will include bedrooms, day rooms, laundry room, utilities, parking lot, side walks, street lights, and landscaping.
- Air Conditioning: 100 Tons.
- REQUIREMENT: 333,600 SF ADEQUATE: 0 SUBSTANDARD: 240,000 SF PROJECT: Construct a 168 person enlisted dormitory.

REQUIREMENT: As a result of the BRAC 93 realignment of KC-10 aircraft from Barksdale AFB, a dormitory is needed to house 168 enlisted personnel. The Air Force requires that unaccompanied enlisted personnel be provided with housing conducive to their proper rest, relaxation and personal well-being. Properly designed and furnished quarters providing some degree of individual privacy are essential to the successful accomplishment of the increasingly complicated and important jobs these people must perform.

CURRENT SITUATION: The base has insufficient facilities to accommodate the unaccompanied enlisted personnel who will relocate in support of the realignment of KC-10 aircraft. Local rentals and utilities are so expensive enlisted personnel cannot afford to live off base.

IMPACT IF NOT PROVIDED: Adequate living quarters will not be available for personnel to live on base.

ADDITIONAL: Funding is to be provided from the Base Closure Account. This project is included in Part II of Military Handbook 1190, "Facility Planning and Design Guide".

Ta		
1. COMPONE		2. DATE
AIR FORCE	FY 1995 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT DATA	
	(computer generated) ATION AND LOCATION	
3. INSTALL	ATION AND EXCELLOR	
MCGUIRE AI	R FORCE BASE, NEW JERSEY	
4. PROJECT		JECT NUMBER
BASE CLOSU	RE-ENLISTED DORMITORY PTF	L943176R3
12. SUPPL	EMENTAL DATA:	
a. Esti	mated Design Data:	
(1)	Status:	
	(a) Date Design Started	93 OCT 01
1	(b) Parametric Cost Estimates used to develop costs	Y
1	(c) Percent Complete as of Oct 1993	15%
ļ	(d) Date 35% Designed.	93 DEC 28
	(e) Date Design Complete	94 SEP 25
123	Basis:	
(2)	(a) Standard or Definitive Design -	[
	(b) Where Design Was Most Recently Used -	
ļ	(a,	
(3)	Total Cost (c) = $(a) + (b)$ or $(d) + (e)$:	(\$000)
	(a) Production of Plans and Specifications	
	(b) All Other Design Costs	
1	(c) Total	
	(d) Contract	
Į.	(e) In-house	
	Construction Start	95 APR
(4)	Construction Start	95 APK
b. Equipm	ent associated with this project will be provided from	a
other appr	opriations: N/A	
-		
}		İ
		l
1		1
1		
1	••	
}		·

1. COMPONENT					OTROS		1	DATE
ATD DODGE	F	1995 MILITARY CO		_	COLCT	DATA	Α.	
AIR FORCE 3. INSTALLATION	N ANT	(compute	er Kene	4 DD	DJECT	TITL		·
3. INSTALLATIO	INA NI	DIUCATION					e NTINGENC	v
VACUITAD ATA BO	NOCE I	DACE NEW TEDCEV					ELEMENT	•
MCGUIRE AIR FO	JKCE I	BASE, NEW JERSEY 6. CATEGORY CODE	17 DDO	ECT M	MBED	LO 1	DDO IECT	COST(SOO)
). PRUGRAM ELI	FWEN I	6. CALEGORI CODE	/. PRO.	ECI N	JADER	0. 1	PROJECT (0031(3000)
4.18.96		131-111	PTR	L94316	า			1.950
4.10.30			T ESTIM		<u> </u>			21724
		<u> </u>		1	1		UNIT	COST
İ		ITEM		ען	MAUQ	TITY	1	(\$000)
BASE CLOSURE-	CONTI	NGENCY COMMUNICAT	IONS		1			,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,
ELEMENT				LS				1,233
COMMUNICATIO	ONS F	ACILITY		SF		600	135	
COMM WAREHOU				SF	,	000	63	•
COMM VAULT		_		SF		400	180	
SUPPORTING FA	CTLTT	TRS						500
UTILITIES				LS	İ			(185)
PAVEMENTS				LS				(105)
SITE IMPROV	EMENT	s		LS			1	(145)
PREWIRED WO				LS				(65)
SUBTOTAL		MIIONO						1,733
CONTINGENCY (52)			ı				87
TOTAL CONTRACT	-	ሞ						1,820
		CTION AND OVERHEA	D (62)	١				109
TOTAL REQUEST			_ (0,	- 1			1	1,929
TOTAL REQUEST		NDED)		i			.]	1,950
TOTAL IMPOSES	(2000)							-,,,,,,
				-				
10. Descript	ion o	f Proposed Constr	uction:	Cons	truct	a fa	cility t	o house
the Contingen	cy Co	mmunications Elem	ent (CC	E). I	he fac	ilit	y will b	e
		<u>utilities and nec</u>						
		17,000 SF ADEQU						
PROJECT: Con	struc	t a Contingency C	communic	ation	Elemen	it Fa	cility (CCE).
REQUIREMENT:	The	CCE is presently	located	in bu	ilding	180	2 which	will be
demolished to	prov	ide room for the	constru	ction	of the	KC-	10 simul	ator
complex. The	CCE	is a communicatio	ns thea	ter au	gmenta	ition	support	force;
a facility is	requ	ired at the home	base to	suppo	rt the	uni	t. Thei	r.
		de deployment and						
		port at locations						
	a fac	ility to house al	l perso	nnel a	nd equ	ipme	nt assig	ned to
the CCE.				-				
		All vacant faci						
		hich can house th						roperty
		be utilized for c						
		IDED: Unless the						
construction	of th	e new BRAC KC-10	complex	can n	ot occ	cur a	nd the m	novement
		l he delawed A						

from Barksdale will be delayed. A facility will be required for the CCE

to ensure the unit retains the capability to perform its mission.

ADDITIONAL: Funding is to be provided from the Base Closure Account.

There is no criteria/scope for this project in part II of Military

Handbook 1190, "Facility Planning and Design Guide".

. INSTAL	LATIC	(computer generated) N AND LOCATION		
	-n -BC	NACE BACE NEW IEDOCE		
. PROJEC		DRCE BASE, NEW JERSEY	5. PRO	JECT NUMBER
. PROSECT TITLE				
ASE CLOS	URE-C	CONTINGENCY COMMUNICATIONS ELEMENT	PTF	L943160
2. SUPP	LEMEN	ITAL DATA:		
a. Est	imate	ed Design Data:		
(1)	Sta	itus:		
		Date Design Started		93 OCT 01
		Percent Complete as of Jan 1994		352
		Date 35% Complete		93 DEC 01
	(d)	Date Design Complete		94 APR 15
(2)	Bas	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		
(2)		Standard or Definitive Design -		
		Where Design Was Most Recently Used -		
(3)	Tot	cal Cost (c) = (a) + (b) or (d) + (e):		(\$000
	(a)	Production of Plans and Specifications		•
		All Other Design Costs		
		Total		
	•	Contract		
	(e)	In-house		
	Car	nstruction Start		94 OCT
(4)	COL			
(4)	COL			
(4)	COL			
		associated with this project will be provide	ed from	
. Equip	ment	associated with this project will be provide	ed from	
. Equip	ment		ed from	
	ment		ed from	
. Equip	ment		ed from	
. Equip	ment		ed from	
. Equip	ment		ed from	
. Equip	ment		ed from	
. Equip	ment		ed from	
. Equip	ment		ed from	
. Equip	ment		ed from	
. Equip	ment		ed from	
. Equip	ment		ed from	·
. Equip	ment		ed from	
. Equip	ment		ed from	

1. COMPONENT				2	. DATE
	FY 1995 MILITARY	ONSTRUCTION P	ROJECT DAT	A	
AIR FORCE	(comput	er generated)			
3. INSTALLATION	AND LOCATION	4. PR	OJECT TITL	E	
MCGUIRE AIR FOR	CE BASE, NEW JERSEY	BASE	CLOSURE-UP	GRADE R	OADS
5. PROGRAM ELEM	ENT 6. CATEGORY COD	7. PROJECT N	UMBER 8.	PROJECT	COST(\$000)
4.18.96	851-147	PTFL94316	7		1,400
	9. co:	T ESTIMATES			
				UNIT	COST
ITEM			YTITHAUO	COST	(\$000)

TES			
		UNIT	COST
U/M	QUANTITY	COST	(\$000)
SY	360,000	3	1,080
]		110
LS			(55
LS			(40
LS			(15
			1,190
- 1			119
- 1	1		1,309
- 1	ł l		79
) }		1,388
			1,400
ł	j i		
	1.		•
l	,		
ł	1		
1	[[
I		i	
1]		
	U/M SY LS LS	U/M QUANTITY SY 360,000 LS LS	U/M QUANTITY COST SY 360,000 3 LS LS

- 10. Description of Proposed Construction: Repair existing roads that will be damaged by high volume of heavy vehicular construction equipment involved in BRAC MILCON program. Upgrade existing roads in the new construction area to carry the additional traffic.
- 11. REQUIREMENT: As required.

PROJECT: Repair and upgrade base roads that, due to construction of new facilities dictated by BRAC action, will need repairs because of damage caused by construction activities.

REQUIREMENT: To support the relocation of KC-10 aircraft from Barksdale AFB to McGuire AFB as a result of BRAC 93 actions. Repair the base roads severely damaged by the daily movement of conatruction vehicles. The main repair efforts will be on Arnold Avenue as it is closest to and runs parallel to the aircraft apron.

CURRENT SITUATION: The integrity of the existing roadway surfaces are sufficient for the current vehicular traffic. However, the heavy construction traffic associated with the BRAC projects will damage the roads and repairs will be necessary. The new facilities and increase in personnel will also require widening and realigning of some of the roads.

IMPACT IF NOT PROVIDED: The construction activity will make the roads unusable.

						
1. COMPON		2. DATE				
	FY 1995 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT DATA					
AIR FORCE	(computer generated)					
3. INSTAL	LATION AND LOCATION					
	R FORCE BASE, NEW JERSEY					
4. PROJEC	TITLE 5. P	ROJECT NUMBER				
DACE OF OC	IDE-UDADADE DANO	77.043167				
BASE CLUS	JRE-UPGRADE ROADS PT	FL943167				
12. SUPPLEMENTAL DATA:						
a. Est	imated Design Data:					
	Chah an					
(1)	Status:	03 000 01				
	(a) Date Design Started(b) Parametric Cost Estimates used to develop costs	93 OCT 01				
	(c) Percent Complete as of Oct 1993	¥ 35 %				
	(d) Date 35% Designed.	93 DEC 01				
1	(e) Date Design Complete	94 APR 15				
J	(e) bate besign complete	24 MPK 13				
(2)	Basis:					
(2)	(a) Standard or Definitive Design -					
ł	(b) Where Design Was Most Recently Used -					
	(b) where besign was most herently open					
(3)	Total Cost (c) = (a) + (b) or (d) + (e):	(\$000)				
"	(a) Production of Plans and Specifications	(\$555)				
	(b) All Other Design Costs					
	(c) Total					
	(d) Contract					
	(e) In-house					
ł	(1)					
(4)	Construction Start	94 OCT				
1						
		,				
b. Equip	ment associated with this project will be provided from	om				
other app	ropriations: N/A	•				
	•					
İ						
į						
ł						
Ì						
1						
1						
1						
1						
1	••					
1						

1. COMPONENT						2.	DATE
	FY 1995 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT DATA						
USAFR		(comput	er gener	ated)			
3. INSTALLATION	. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION 4. PROJECT TITLE						
			}	BASE C	LOSURE-SQ	UADRON	
MCGUIRE AIR FORCE BASE, NEW JERSEY OPERATIONS/AMU FACILITY							
5. PROGRAM EL	EMENT	6. CATEGORY CODE	7. PROJ	ECT NU	MBER 8.	PROJECT (COST (\$000)
-	ļ		j		ļ		•
55396 F		141-753	PTFI	943102			6,900
		9. cos	T ESTIMA	TES			
		- · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·				UNIT	COST
		ITEM		U/M	QUANTITY	COST	(\$000)
BASE CLOSURE-	SQUADR	ON OPERATIONS/AM	U				
FACILITY				LS			5,645
SQUADRON OP	ERATIO	NS FAC/AMU (24 P.	AA)	SF	37,000	135	(4,995)
REPAIR INTE	RIM FA	CILITY		LS		1	(450)
DEMOLITION			LS			(200)	
SUPPORTING FACILITIES			1			550	
UTILITIES				LS			(265)
PAVEMENTS							(60)
SITE IMPROVEMENTS						}	(80)

LS

10. Description of Proposed Construction: Project provides for the construction to include concrete foundation and floor slab, steel framing and trusses, brick masonry walls and sloped metal standing seam roof. All supporting pavements and utilities are included. Parking for 120 cars is provided. Demolish building 1814.

Air Conditioning: 20 Tons.

SUPERVISION, INSPECTION AND OVERHEAD (6%)

PARKING

CONTINGENCY (5%)

TOTAL REQUEST

TOTAL CONTRACT COST

TOTAL REQUEST (ROUNDED)

SUBTOTAL

11. REQUIREMENT: 37,000 SF ADEQUATE: 0 SUBSTANDARD: 0
PROJECT: Construct a Reserve Squadron Operations/AMU facility.

REQUIREMENT: A squadron operations facility to provide offices, training rooms, locker rooms, briefing areas, flightline organic maintenance, administrative and personnel management areas to support the operation of a Reserve Associate KC-10 flying squadron and its organic maintenance management and training functions. Since the squadron is programmed to move to McGuire Air Force Base prior to the completion of this facility, the project includes funds to repair an existing sub-standard building for interim use (B1810).

CURRENT SITUATION: The Reserve Associate squadron is being relocated from Barksdale AFB LA to McGuire AFB NJ in FY 96. No adequate facilities are available to support this requirement.

IMPACT IF NOT PROVIDED: Although the unit can operate temporarily in the existing renovated facilities, their location and condition will cause operational innefficiencies and will waste the limited training time of the Reservists. Ultimately, mission readiness will be degraded.

ADDITIONAL: Funding will be from the Base Closurer Account. The criteria/scope of this project is not included in Part II of Handbook 1190, "Facility Planning and Design Guide".

145)

6,195

310 6,505

390

6,895

6,900

Ta =====			
1. COMPO	MENT		2. DATE
	l	FY 1995 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT DATA	
USAFR		(computer generated)	
3. INSTA	LLATI	ON AND LOCATION	
		ORCE BASE, NEW JERSEY	
4. PROJE	CT TI	TLE 5. 1	PROJECT NUMBER
BASE CLO	SURE-	SQUADRON OPERATIONS/AMU FACILITY	PTFL943102
12. SUI	PLEME	NTAL DATA:	
a. Es	timat	ed Design Data:	
] -			
(1	l) St	atus:	
	(a)	Date Design Started	93 OCT 01
1	(b)	Parametric Cost Estimates used to develop costs	s Y
1		Percent Complete as of Oct 1993	35%
		Date 35% Designed.	94 FEB 01
		Date Design Complete	94 SEP 01
	` '	•	
(2	?) Ba	sis:	
].	•	Standard or Definitive Design -	
1		Where Design Was Most Recently Used -	
j	(- /		
(3	3) To	tal Cost (c) = (a) + (b) or (d) + (e):	(\$000)
`		Production of Plans and Specifications	(4000)
		All Other Design Costs	
		Total	
		Contract	
		In-house	
ł	(6)	111-110496	
1 ,,	ls da	nstruction Start	94 DEC
, ,	., ω	instruction Start	34 DEC
1			
1			
b. Equi		associated with this project will be provided for	****
	_	iations: N/A	· Otti
other a	ppropr	Tations: N/A	
1			
		•	
l			
į.			
ŀ			
1			
			,
1			
ļ			
1			
1		••	
1			
1			

1. COMPONENT	FY 1995 MILITARY O	ONSTRUCTION PROJECT	DATA 2. DATE	
AIR FORCE	(comput	er generated)	ļ	
3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION 4. PROJECT TITLE BASE CLOSURE-ADD TO BASE MCGUIRE AIR FORCE BASE, NEW JERSEY SUPPLY				
5. PROGRAM ELEM	ent 6. Category code	7. PROJECT NUMBER	8. PROJECT COST(\$000)	
4.18.96	442-758	PTFL943168	300	

9. COST ESTIMA	TES			
ITEM	U/M	QUANTITY	UNIT	COST (\$000)
BASE CLOSURE-ADD TO BASE SUPPLY	- 1-7			205
MODULAR STORAGE VAULT	SF	2,000	65	(130)
INSTALLATION	LS	2,000		(75)
SUPPORTING FACILITIES		1		60
UTILITIES	LS	ľ		(40)
SITE IMPROVEMENTS	LS			(20)
SUBTOTAL				265
CONTINGENCY (5%)]		13
TOTAL CONTRACT COST				278
SUPERVISION, INSPECTION AND OVERHEAD (6%)			ļ	17
TOTAL REQUEST				295
TOTAL REQUEST (ROUNDED)	1			300
•				
	- 1	!		
	1	(I
	3	j j		Í

- 10. Description of Proposed Construction: Add a 2000 SF modular storage unit to the supply building (3101) to store weapons and other equipment requiring high security storage.
- 11. REQUIREMENT: 2,000 SF ADEQUATE: 0 SUBSTANDARD: 0

 PROJECT: Add a modular storage unit to the existing supply building (3101) to store weapons.

<u>REQUIREMENT</u>: The realignment of the KC-10s from Barksdale AFB will require storage space for over 600 weapons and associated ammunition, and storage space for over 2400 A, B, C, and E mobility bags.

CURRENT SITUATION: Weapons and mobility bags are currently being stored in numerous facilities throughout the base. There is no storage space available to store the additional weapons, ammunition, and mobility bags needed to support the KC-10 mission. In addition, the security police currently store their mobility bags in building 1813 which will be demolished to make room for the Squadron Operations/AMU compound replacement storage needs to be provided for their equipment. The Contingency Communications Element stores most of their equipment in building 1802 which will also be demolished to support the Squadron Operations/AMU compound. The facility housing the SOLL II mission will also be demolished. There is no additional suitable storage space on the base to handle these requirements. A solution is to move the weapons stored in building 3423 and use this building to consolidate the storage of mobility bags for support units such as civil engineering and the security police. The flying units will consolidate the storage of their mobility gear in building 3101. With the construction of a 2000 SF addition to this building, all the weapons and equipment requiring high security storage can be consolidated and protected with the least impact

1. COMPONENT				2. DATE		
AIR FORCE		Y CONSTRUCTION PRO	JECT DATA			
	ON AND LOCATION	puter generated)		<u> </u>		
VOCUIDE SIE	MADAR BLOD 1996	a their				
4. PROJECT TI	ORCE BASE, NEW JERS	SEY	5. PR	OJECT NUMBER		
BASE CLOSURE-	ADD TO BASE SUPPLY		PT	FL943168		
on base supply's and security police manning. IMPACT IF NOT PROVIDED: There will not be any storage space for the weapons and mobility gear assigned to and needed to support the KC-10 personnel. Without the weapons and mobility gear, the units will not be able to support their required mobility mission. ADDITIONAL: Funding is to be provided from the Base Closure Account. There is no criteria/scope for this project in part II of Military Handbook 1190, "Facility Planning and Design Guide".						
				•		
•						
		•• .				

			
1. COMPON		2. DAT	E
	FY 1995 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT DATA	,]
AIR FORCE	(computer generated)		
3. INSTAL	LATION AND LOCATION		ļ
	R FORCE BASE, NEW JERSEY		
4. PROJEC	TITLE	. Project N	UMBER
į			_
BASE CLOS	RE-ADD TO BASE SUPPLY	PTFL94316	8
12. SUPP	LEMENTAL DATA:		
a. Est	mated Design Data:		
-			
(1)	Status:		[
	(a) Date Design Started		CT 01
]	(b) Parametric Cost Estimates used to develop co	sts	Y
1	(c) Percent Complete as of Oct 1993		35%
	(d) Date 35% Designed.		EC 01
Į.	(e) Date Design Complete	94 A	PR 15
/2.	Basis:		
(2)	(a) Standard or Definitive Design -		j
1			
	(b) Where Design Was Most Recently Used -	•	
(3)	Total Cost (c) = $(a) + (b)$ or $(d) + (e)$:		(\$000)
(-,	(a) Production of Plans and Specifications		(4,
Ì	(b) All Other Design Costs		
] .	(c) Total		
•	(d) Contract		
	(e) In-house		
	(6) 211 110400		
(4)	Construction Start	9	4 OCT
b. Equip	ment associated with this project will be provided	d from	
1	ropriations: N/A		
1			
	·		
1			
1			
1			
1			
1			
1			
}			
1			
1			
	••		
1			
1			

K.I. Sawyer AFB, Michigan Package

FY 1994 Forms 1391 (Family Housing Construction Project Data)

1. COMPONENT									12.	DATE
	F	7 1994 MILITA	ARY CO	ONSTRUCT	LION	PRO	JECT	DATA		
AIR FORCE				er gener		_				
3. INSTALLATI	ON ANI						JECT I	ITLE		
MCGUIRE AIR F	ORCE I	BASE. NEW JEI	RSEY		BAS	E CI	LOSURE	: - F	AMILY H	OUSING
5. PROGRAM EL				7. PRO.						COST(\$000)
•				1			,			
4.18.96		711-142		PTF	L943	3179				49,533
		9	. cos	T ESTIM	ATES	3				
							1		UNIT	COST
		ITEM				U/M	QUANT	ITY	COST	(\$000)
HOUSING UNITS	}					UN	3	91	65,454	25,593
SUPPORTING FA	CILIT	IES					1			19,122
SITE PREPAR	ATION,	/LANDSCAPING				LS				(2,053)
ROADS AND P	AVING					LS	1			(1,517)
UTILITIES				LS	1	1		(4,695)		
WETLAND & STREAM PROTECTION/MITIGATION				LS	1	- 1		(2,000)		
RECREATION				LS	ł			(1,071)		
DEMO & ASBESTOS/LEAD PAINT ABATEMENT				LS		ĺ		(5,441)		
GARAGES					LS	ł	i		(2,346)	
UBTOTAL								- 1	l i	44,715

10. Description of Proposed Construction: Construct 391 housing units & all support facilities to meet whole house/neighborhood standards. Includes HVAC, carpeting, energy conservation features, appliances, garages, site preparation, utilities including upgrade of lift station, roads, landscaping, privacy fencing, & youth recreation facilities. Demolish/dispose of existing units & infrastructure, asbestos & lead paint

1.15

UNIT TYPE JNCO 2BR JNCO 3BR JNCO 4BR SNCO 4BR CGO 2BR CGO 3BR CGO 4BR FGO 4BR FGO 4BR	NET AREA 950 1200 1350 1350 1450 950 1350 1450 1450 1450	PROJECT FACTOR 1.09 1.09 1.09 1.09 1.09 1.09 1.09 1.09 1.09	\$/ NSF 55 55 55 55 55 55 55	NO. <u>UNITS</u> 187 53 25 33 11 53 11 2 11	TOTAL COST 10,650,118 3,812,820 2,023,313 2,670,773 956,203 3,018,483 890,258 173,855 923,230 371,690
FGO 4BR SGO 4BR	1550 <u>1700</u>	1.09 1.09	55 <u>55</u>	1_	371,690 101,915
				391	25,592,658

11. PROJECT: Construct 391 family housing units.

SUPERVISION, INSPECTION AND OVERHEAD (5.5%)

<u>REQUIREMENT</u>: To provide adequate quarters for Air Force members and their families assigned to this installation as part of the relocation of KC-10 aircraft from Barksdale AFB.

CURRENT SITUATION: McGuire AFB will not have a sufficient number of

CONTINGENCY (5%)
TOTAL CONTRACT COST

AREA COST FACTOR

TOTAL REQUEST

46,951

1. COMPONENT AIR FORCE	FY 1994 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PRO (computer generated)	JECT DATA
	ON AND LOCATION	
MCGUIRE AIR E	ORCE BASE, NEW JERSEY	
4. PROJECT T	TLE	5. PROJECT NUMBER
BASE CLOSURE	- FAMILY HOUSING	PTFL943179
family housis	ng units to support engoing realignmen	t/ologyzo estions

family housing units to support ongoing realignment/closure actions.

IMPACT IF NOT PROVIDED: Air Force families will be forced to live off
base at a greater distance away from the base and/or in unsuitable or
expensive housing.

ADDITIONAL: Funding is to be expended from the Page Closure Assessed.

ADDITIONAL: Funding is to be provided from the Base Closure Account. This project meets the criteria/scope specified in Part II of Military Handbook 1190, "Facility Planning and Design Guide." Erosion and sediment control measures will comply with all New Jersey state and federal environmental policies and laws, ie, Executive Order, National Clean Water Act, etc. Construction to be on existing Fort Dix property.

```
Tri-Service Family Housing Cost Model
                      LOCATION MCGUIRE AIR FORCE BASE, NEW JERSEY
SERVICE AIR FORCE
BASELINE:
          391) ( 1092 ) ( 55 ) = $23,479,500
     (No. Units) (ANSF) ($/NSF) = 5' Line Cost
PROJECT FACTORS:
                  0.96 ) ( 0.99 ) = 1.09
     (1.15) (
     ( ACF ) (Project Size) ( Unit Size ) = Project Factor
HOUSING COST:
       23,479,500 ) ( 1.09 ) = $25,592,656
5' Line Cost ) (Project Factor) = Housing Cost
0 ) (1.15) ( 391) =
                                             $25,592,656
     (Solar Unit Cost) ( ACF ) ( Units ) = Total Project Solar Cost
     (( 25,592,656) + ( 0 )) / ( 391 ) = $65,454
(( Housing Cost ) + ( Solar )) / (No. Units ) = Average Unit Cost
SUPPORTING COST:
       MANAGEMENT OFFICE
                                                                        0
       MAINTENANCE FACILITY
                                                                2,053,000
       SITE PREPARATION/LANDSCAPING
                                                                1,516,740
       ROADS AND PAVING
                                                                4,695,000
       UTILITIES
                                                               2,000,000
       WETLAND & STREAM PROTECTION/MITIGATION
                                                               1.070.640
       RECREATI N
                                                               5,441,000
       DEMO & ASBESTOS/LEAD PAINT ABATEMENT
                                                                2,346,000
       GARAGES
     Support Cost
                                                               19,122,380
SUMMARY:
        25,592,656 ) + ( 0 ) + ( 19,122,380 ) = $44,715,036
Housing Cost ) + ( Solar Cost ) + ( Support Cost ) = Subtotal
          44,715,036) ( 1.050 ) (1.055) = $49,533,081 Say: $49,533,000
          Subtotal ) (Contingency) (SIOH) =
                                                    Project Cost
                                                                            (Round)
            49,533,000 ) / ( 391 ) ( 1092 ) ( 1.15 ) = ____
                                                                         $101
     ( Project Cost ) / (# of Units) ( ANSF ) ( ACF ) = Total Project Cost/SF
 PROJECT SIZE FACTOR - (# OF UNITS)
                                                        UNIT SIZE - (AVG NET SF)
 1 - 4 = 1.15 100 - 199 = 1.00
                                                    600-749 = 1.05
                                                                       1051-1150 = 0.99
                    200 - 299 = 0.98
                                                    750-849 = 1.03
 5 - 19 = 1.10
                                                                       1151-1250 = 0.98
850-949 = 1.01
                                                                       1251 - 1350 = 0.97
                                                    950-1050 = 1.00
```

1351+ = 0.96

March Air Force Base, California Package Base Closure and Realignment Detail

BASE REALIGNMENT AND CLOSURE ACCOUNT - 1993 USAF/MARCH AFB, CA (DOLLARS IN THOUSANDS)

ONE TIME IMPLEMENTATION COSTS:	FY 1994	FY 1995	FY 1996
Military Construction	46,070	29,660	0
Family Housing - Construction	0	54,533	0
- Operations	0	0	0
Environmental	29,903	44,957	15,292
Operation & Maintenance	3,756	11,852	25,851
Military Personnel - PCS	0	0	7,640
Other	256	0	0
Homeowners Assistance Program	0	0	0
TOTAL ONE-TIME COSTS	79,985	141,002	48,783
Revenues from Land Sales (-)	0	0	0
Funded from Prior Year Balances	-20,216	-96,045	0
BUDGET REQUEST	59,769	44,957	48,783
FUNDED OUTSIDE OF THE ACCOUNT:			
Military Construction	0	0	0
Family Housing - Operations	0	0	0
Environmental	0	0	0
Operation & Maintenance	0	0	0
Other	0	0	0
Homeowners Assistance Program	0	0	0
TOTAL FUNDED OUTSIDE THE ACCOUNT	0	0	0
SAVINGS:			
Military Construction	2,650	7,700	5,130
Family Housing - Construction	0	0	0
- Operations	. 0	0	0
Operation & Maintenance	0	0	0
Military Personnel	0	4,670	21,310
Other	0	0	4,580
Civilian ES	0	0	0
Military ES	0	0	-933
TOTAL SAVINGS	2,650	12,370	31,020
NET IMPLEMENTATION COSTS:			
Military Construction	43,420	21,960	-5,130
Family Housing - Construction	0	54,533	0
- Operations	0	0	0
Environmental	29,903	44,957	15,292
Operation & Maintenance	3,756	11,852	•
Military Personnel - PCS	0	-4,670	•
Other	256	0	-4,580
Homeowners Assistance Program	0	0	0
Revenues from Land Sales (-)	0	0	0
NET IMPLEMENTATION COSTS	77,335	128,632	17,763

BASE REALIGNMENT AND CLOSURE ACCOUNT - 1993 COMMISSION USAF/MARCH AFB, CA (DOLLARS IN THOUSANDS)

ONE TIME IMPLEMENTATION COSTS:	FY 1997	FY 1998	FY 1999	TOTAL FY 94 - 99
Military Construction	0	0	0	75,730
Family Housing - Construction	0	0	0	54,533
- Operations	0	0	0	0
Environmental	649	55,369	61,385	207,555
Operation & Maintenance	55,723	0	0	97,182
Military Personnel - PCS	0	0	0	7,640
Other	0	0	0	256
Homeowners Assistance Program	0	0	0	0
TOTAL ONE-TIME COSTS	56,372	55,369	61,385	442,896
Revenues from Land Sales (-)	0	0	0	0
Funded from Prior Year Balances	0	0	0	-116,261
BUDGET REQUEST	56,372	55,369	61,385	326,635
FUNDED OUTSIDE OF THE ACCOUNT:				
Military Construction	0	0	0	0
Family Housing - Operations	0	0	0	0
Environmental	0	0	0	0
Operation & Maintenance	0	0	0	0
Other	0	0	0	0
Homeowners Assistance Program	0	0	0	0
TOTAL FUNDED OUTSIDE THE ACCOUNT	0	0	0	0
SAVINGS:				
Military Construction	14,850	0	0	30,330
Family Housing - Construction	0	0	0	0
- Operations	0	0	0	0
Operation & Maintenance	0	0	0	0
Military Personnel	28,830	29,440	30,100	114,350
Other	15,300	15,630	15,970	_
Civilian ES	0	0	0	0
Military ES	-933	-933	-933	-933
TOTAL SAVINGS	58,980	45,070	46,070	196,160
NET IMPLEMENTATION COSTS:				
Military Construction	-14,850	0	0	45,400
Family Housing - Construction	0	0	0	54,533
- Operations	0	0	0	0
Environmental	649	55,369	· ·	207,555
Operation & Maintenance	55,723	0	0	97,182
Military Personnel - PCS	-28,830	•	•	•
Other	-15,300	_	_	-51,224
Homeowners Assistance Program	0	0	0	0
Revenues from Land Sales (-)	0	0	0	0
NET IMPLEMENTATION COSTS	-2,608	10,299	15,315	246,736

BASE CLOSURE AND REALIGNMENT PACKAGE DESCRIPTION

U.S. Air Force/March Air Force Base, California

Closure Package: March AFB, California, is scheduled for realignment in FY1996/2. The 22nd Air Refueling Wing will inactivate. The KC-10 (Active and Associate Reserve) aircraft will be relocated to Travis AFB, California. The Southwest Air Defense Sector will remain at March in a cantonment area pending the outcome of a NORAD sector consolidation study. If the sector remains it will be transferred to the Air National Guard (ANG). The 445th Airlift Wing Air Force Reserve (AFRES), 452nd Air Refueling Wing (AFRES), 163rd Reconnaissance Group (ANG) (Becomes an Air Refueling Group), the Air Force Audit Agency, and the Media Center (from Norton AFB, California) will remain and the base will convert to a reserve base. Additionally, the Army Corps of Engineers Unit, the US Customs Aviation Operation Center West, and the Drug Enforcement Agency aviation unit will remain at March.

One Time Implementation Costs:

Mil	itarv	Cons	etmic	rtion:
TATIL	1691 4	COIL	su uv	·uvii.

Minist C	Stist de doui.	Fiscal Year	Amount
Location	Project Title	of Award	(\$000)
			
FY 1994			
Travis AFB	KC-10 Squad Operations/AMU	1994	9,500
Travis AFB	Hydrant Fueling System (19)	1994	19,000
Travis AFB	Demolition of Acft Maintenance Docks	1994	2,150
Travis AFB	Renovate Interim Squadron Operations	1994	400
Travis AFB	Interim Facilities	1994	820
Travis AFB	KC-10 Maintenance Complex	1994	14,200
Total 1994			46,070
FY 1995			
March AFB	Alter Wing HQ/Admin (AFRES)	1995	1,150
March AFB	Alter Medical Training Fac (AFRES)	1995	860
March AFB	Alter Dining Hall (AFRES)	1995	2,100
March AFB	Alter Weap/Storage Comm (AFRES)	1995	500
March AFB	Alter Support Facilities (AFRES)	1995	500
March AFB	BCE Maint Shop/Storage (AFRES)	1995	1,000
March AFB	Isolate Util/Perimeter Fence (AFRES)	1995	3,000
Travis AFB	Squadron Operations Facility (AFRES)	1995	8,200
Travis AFB	Upgrade Roads	1995	300
Travis AFB	Child Development Center	1995	2,550
Travis AFB	KC-10 Squadron Operations/AMU	1995	9,500
Total 1995			29,660

Conjunctively Funded Construction: N/A

Family Housing Construction:

Fiscal Year Amount

Location	Project Title	of Award	(0002)
Travis AFB	Family Housing (384 UN)	1995	54,553
Total 1995			54,553

Family Housing Operations: N/A.

Operation and Maintenance: \$11.9 Million

Military Personnel: N/A

Other Procurement: N/A

Revenues From Land Sales: N/A

Environmental: \$44.9 Million.

Savings: Savings identified are generated by no longer operating the facility. They are included in exhibit BC-02 for display purposes only, and will be realized in other Air Force appropriations.

March AFB, California, Package

FY 1994 Forms 1391 Military Construction Project Data

FY 1994 MILITARY COCOMPUTE ND LOCATION BASE, CALIFORNIA		rate		NECT DAT	A	
ND LOCATION	er genei		<u>ره</u>			
			DDO:	TECO OTOT	<u>_</u>	
RASE CALIFORNIA				JECT TITL	-	
KACE CALIFIERNIA				OSURE-KC	- IO SQUAL	OKON
T 6. CATEGORY CODE	la ppo			ONS/AMU	DPO IFCT C	OST(\$000)
1 6. CATEGORY CODE	/ . PAU.	JECI	NUI	IDEA O.	PROJECT (.031(\$000
141-753	XDA:	<u>1953</u>	306			9,500
9. COS:	T ESTIM	ATES				
					i i	COST
ITEM		!	U/M	QUANTITY	COST	(\$000)
O SQUADRON		1				_
-			SF	39,200	165	6,468
TIES		1.				2,045
		- 1			!	(750
					}	(600
				40	6 000	(455
TATTUNS		- 1	LA	40	0,000	(<u>240</u> 8,513
		1			1	6,313 426
¢т		-				8,939
	n (67)					536
DOLLOW MAD OVERLINE	J (02)					$\frac{-330}{9,475}$
INDED)		1		·		9,500
						,,,,,,,
						1
						•
	anding :	seam	me	tal roof.	Include	es
	141-753 9. COST ITEM 0 SQUADRON TIES TATIONS OF Proposed Construction and overhead of the construction	141-753 XDA' 9. COST ESTIM ITEM 0 SQUADRON TIES TATIONS OF Proposed Construction: for use as an operational and for tool kit storage, ready room, and support ar two-story, with standing	141-753 XDAT953 9. COST ESTIMATES ITEM 0 SQUADRON TIES STATIONS OF Proposed Construction: The cor use as an operational built and for tool kit storage, tool ready room, and support area for two-story, with standing seam	141-753 XDAT953306 9. COST ESTIMATES ITEM U/M 0 SQUADRON SF TIES LS LS LS LS CATATIONS COST ESTIMATES ILS LS LS LS LS LS LS LS LS	141-753 XDAT953306 9. COST ESTIMATES ITEM U/M QUANTITY O SQUADRON SF 39,200 CTIES LS LS LS LS LS LS CSTATIONS EA 40 OUNDED) of Proposed Construction: This facility for use as an operational building for pla and for tool kit storage, tool crib, supportedly room, and support area for maintenant two-story, with standing seam metal roof.	9. COST ESTIMATES ITEM U/M QUANTITY COST O SQUADRON SF 39,200 165 LS LS LS LS LS LS LS LS LS LS LS LS LS L

11. REQUIREMENT: 333,463 SF ADEQUATE: 0 SUBSTANDARD: 255,063 SF PROJECT: Construct a Consolidated Squadron Operations/AMU facility.

REQUIREMENT: A facility capable of housing all functional elements of an operational squadron to include air crews, aircraft maintainers, life support personnel, administrative staff, command and control personnel, and all organizational supplies and equipment into a single location is required due to the BRAC 93 realignment of March AFB, CA. This consolidation will promote the concept of this squadron being one cohesive unit working to project aircraft for training and mission sorties.

<u>CURRENT SITUATION</u>: There is no facility adequate to support a KC-10 Consolidated Sq Ops/AMU function at Travis AFB.

IMPACT IF NOT PROVIDED: If this facility is not constructed, operations, maintenance, and support personnel will continue to be located in separate buildings and will never develop the cohesiveness necessary to gel as an efficient operational organization.

ADDITIONAL: Funding is to be provided from the Base Closure Account. This project is not included in Part II of Military Handbook 1190, "Facility Planning and Design Guide."

1. COMPONENT		2. DATE
İ	FY 1994 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT DAT	·A
AIR FORCE	(computer generated)	
3. INSTALLAT	TION AND LOCATION	
TO AVIC ATD I	ODCE DACE CALIFORNIA	
4. PROJECT	ORCE BASE, CALIFORNIA	5. PROJECT NUMBER
T. PROSECT		J. IROJECI NOIDER
BASE CLOSURI	-KC-10 SQUADRON OPERATIONS/AMU	XDAT953306
<u> </u>		
12. SUPPLE	ENTAL DATA:	
a. Estima	ted Design Data:	
(1)	tatus:	
1	Date Design Started	93 OCT 01
	Percent Complete as of Jan 1993	95 OCT 01
	Date 35% Complete	93 DEC 01
	Date Design Complete	94 APR 01
	•	
(2) 1		
) Standard or Definitive Design -	
(1) Where Design Was Most Recently Used -	
(2)		(2222)
	Cotal Cost (c) = (a) + (b) or (d) + (e): $ \begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	(\$000)
) Production of Plans and Specifications) All Other Design Costs	
	e) Total	
) Contract	
	e) In-house .	
(4)	Construction Start	94 JUL
j		
b. Equipmen	at associated with this project will be provide	
other approp	oriations: N/A	ed irom
comer uppro	A Lactody . M/ n	
Ì		-
ļ		
]		
	•	
}		
i		1

1. COMPONENT							i –	DATE
}	FY 1994 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT DATA							
AIR FORCE		(compute	er gener					
3. INSTALLATI	ON ANI	LOCATION		4. PR				
1						E-HYI	DRANT F	JELING
		ASE, CALIFORNIA		SYSTE				
5. PROGRAM EL	EMENT	6. CATEGORY CODE	7. PRO	ECT N	JMBER	[8.]	PROJECT	COST(\$000)
4.18.96		121-122		<u> 195331</u>	4	↓		19,000
		9. COS	ESTIM/	ITES				
							UNIT	COST
		ITEM		<u>U/</u>	1 QUAN	TITY	COST	(\$000)
BASE CLOSURE-	HYDRA	NT FUELING SYSTEM		EA		19	60,00	0 1,140
SUPPORTING FA	CILIT	IES						15,930
UTILITIES				LS				(100)
PAVEMENTS				LS	1		Ì	(1,650)
SITE IMPROV	EMENT	5		LS	}			(250)
PUMP HOUSE				LS	1			(5,600)
DISTRIBUTIO	N PIP	E		LF	7,	400	70	0 (5,180)
STORAGE TAN	TKS (2	EA)		LS	1			(1,050)
DEMOLISH EXIST SYSTEM				LS	i			(<u>2,100</u>)
SUBTOTAL							ĺ	17,070
CONTINGENCY (5%)					1			<u>854</u>
TOTAL CONTRACT COST								17,924
SUPERVISION,	INSPE	CTION AND OVERHEAD	(6%)		1			1,075
TOTAL REQUEST	ſ				1			18,999

Description of Proposed Construction: Provide a 2400 gpm type III hydrant fuel system to service KC-10 aircraft, each hydrant pit capable of uploading 1200 gpm. Construct a new 10,000 BBL operating storage tank and refurbish the existing 10,000 BBL operating tank. Provide an emergency standby diesel generator for the pumphouse and provide three-truck fillstands. All necessary support as required.

11. REQUIREMENT: 84 EA ADEQUATE: 18 EA SUBSTANDARD: 47 EA PROJECT: Construct aircraft hydrant refueling system.

REQUIREMENT: Provide an environmentally acceptable capability for refueling KC-10 aircraft relocating from the BRAC 93 realignment of March AFB, CA.

CURRENT SITUATION: Travis AFB has no refueling pits to support KC-10 aircraft. Use of trucks to refuel these large aircraft is not an acceptable solution due to Environmental Protection Agency restrictions on increasing truck use and the inability of trucks to deliver enough fuel. IMPACT IF NOT PROVIDED: KC-10 Operations from Travis AFB will be severely restricted and will not be able to meet mission requirements because of the time it will take to load fuel.

ADDITIONAL: Funding is to be provided from the Base Closure Account. This project is not included in Part II of Military Handbook 1190. "Facility Planning and Design Guide."

TOTAL REQUEST (ROUNDED)

19,000

1. COMPONE	Net		2. DATE
1. COMPONE	FY 1994 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT DATE	ra	Z. DAIE
AIR FORCE	(computer generated)	IA	
	ATION AND LOCATION		
J. INSIALL	ATION AND LOCATION		
TRAVIC ATR	FORCE BASE, CALIFORNIA		
4. PROJECT		S PRO	DJECT NUMBER
4. PROSECT	11100). P.K.	DECT NORDER
BASE CLOSE	RE-HYDRANT FUELING SYSTEM	XD/	AT953314
DIED CECC			11773314
12. SUPPL	EMENTAL DATA:		
a. Esti	mated Design Data:		
(1)	Status:		
	(a) Date Design Started		93 OCT 01
	(b) Parametric Cost Estimates used to develop	costs	Y
	(c) Percent Complete as of Jan 1993		Z
	(d) Date 35% Designed.		93 DEC 01
Ì	(e) Date Design Complete		94 APR 01
	•		
(2)	Basis:		
j	(a) Standard or Definitive Design -		
	(b) Where Design Was Most Recently Used -		•
	•		
(3)	Total Cost (c) = (a) + (b) or (d) + (e):		(\$000)
	(a) Production of Plans and Specifications		(4000,
	(b) All Other Design Costs		
•	(c) Total		
1	(d) Contract		
	(e) In-house		
	(e) in nouse		
(4)	Construction Start		94 JUL
(7)	Jonstituction Start		34 JUL
b. Equipm	ent accompand with this project will be appoint		
	ent associated with this project will be providence associated with this project will be providence.	ed iron	n.
otner appr	opriations: N/A		
Į.			
İ			
1			
İ			
1			
l	-		
]			
ł			
1	•• •		
ł			

1. COMPONENT									1:	2.	DATE
	FY 1994 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT DATA							-			
AIR FORCE			(compute			_					
3. INSTALLAT	ON ANI	LOCATIO					JECT 1	TITLE			
					BAS	E C	LOSURI	-DEM	OLITI	ON	OF
TRAVIS AIR FO									ENANC		
5. PROGRAM EI	EMENT	6. CATEG	ORY CODE	7. PRO.	JEC1	וטא	MBER	8. P	ROJEC	TC	OST(\$000)
4.18.96		211-				3318					2,150
			9. COS	C ESTIM	ATES	3					
									UNIT	- 1	COST
		ITEM				U/H	QUAN	CITY	COST		(\$000)
BASE CLOSURE-		ITION OF	LARGE ACI	et.			٠. ا				
MAINTENANCE I						SF	61,0	טטנ	;	26	1,586
SUPPORTING FA		LES					l .				350
ASBESTOS RI	MUVAL					LS LS	<u> </u>				(35)
PAVEMENT UTILITIES						LS	1				(105) (105)
SITE IMPROV	/EMPNT	•				LS					(105)
SUBTOTAL	Endn 1	•				103					1,936
CONTINGENCY ((57)]				97
TOTAL CONTRAC		r					ļ				$\frac{37}{2,033}$
		-	OVERHEAD	0 (6%)			ļ				122
SUPERVISION, INSPECTION AND OVERHEAD (6%) TOTAL REQUEST										$\frac{2,155}{2,155}$	
TOTAL REQUEST		NDED)					İ				2,150
		-			•						_,_,_
								- 1			
							ł				
						1	1	1			

10. Description of Proposed Construction: Work includes the demolition and removal of three existing nose dock hangers, disconnection and removal of affected utilities, and repair and leveling of the apron surface.

REQUIREMENT: As required.

PROJECT: Demolition and removal of the three existing nose dock hangars and affected utilities and repairs to the surface of the pavement. REQUIREMENT: The hangers need to be demolished and removed to provide the required ramp area for parking of 19 KC-10 aircraft relocating due to BRAC 93 realignment of March AFB, CA. To meet this requirement, the aircraft will have to be spread out and all available ramp surface utilized. The 61,000 SF these three delapidated hangars occupy is needed for aircraft parking as there is a shortage in usable ramp space. The concrete surface (hangar floors) will need to be repaired to allow aircraft to taxi over it and park without causing foreign object damage to the engines or tires. CURRENT SITUATION: There is not sufficient ramp space to adjust the aircraft parking around the hangars and they need to be removed. IMPACT IF NOT PROVIDED: A functional deployment of 19 KC-10 aircraft to Travis AFB on a permanent basis would not be possible. There would not be sufficient ramp space to safely park all the aircraft. ADDITIONAL: Funding is to be provided from the Base Closure Account. This project is not included in Part II of Military Handbook 1190, "Facility Planning and Design Guide."

1		10 5:== 1
1. COMPONE	FY 1994 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT DATA	2. DATE
AIR FORCE	(computer generated)	,
	ATION AND LOCATION	
		į
4. PROJECT	FORCE BASE, CALIFORNIA	ROJECT NUMBER
4. PROJECT). F	MODECT WORDER
BASE CLOSU	RE-DEMOLITION OF LARGE ACFT MAINTENANCE DOCKS	DAT953318
12. SUPPL	EMENTAL DATA:	
a. Esti	nated Design Data:	
(1)	Status:	
	(a) Date Design Started	93 OCT 01
	(b) Percent Complete as of Jan 1993	2
	(c) Date 35% Complete	93 DEC 01
	(d) Date Design Complete	94 APR 01
(2)		
	Basis:	
	(a) Standard or Definitive Design -(b) Where Design Was Most Recently Used -	
(3)	Total Cost (c) = (a) + (b) or (d) + (e):	(\$000)
	(a) Production of Plans and Specifications	(\$000)
	(b) All Other Design Costs	
1	(c) Total	
1	(d) Contract	i
	(e) In-house	
(4)	Construction Start	94 JUL
b. Equipm	ont appointed with this series will be seemided to	
	ent associated with this project will be provided fropriations: N/A	· Om
	•	
	••	

1. COMPONENT								2	. DATE
FY 1994 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT DATA									
AIR FORCE			er gene						
3. INSTALLATION	ON AND	LOCATION				JECT :			
		AD							INTERIM
TRAVIS AIR FU	RCE BA	SE, CALIFORNIA 6. CATEGORY CODE	ela ppo			ON OPI			COCT(COCO)
5. PRUGRAM EL	EMEN I	b. CALEGURI CODI	S / . PRO.	JEC	NOI	IDEK	0. F	KUJECI	CO21(\$000)
4.18.96		141-753	XDA	195 3	33221	R			400
			T ESTIM	ATES	3				
								UNIT	COST
		ITEM			U/M	QUAN.	TITY	COST	(\$000)
	RENOVA	ATE INTERIM SQUA	DRON						
OPERATIONS					LS				314
SUPPORTING FA					١	1			30
SUPPORTING	FACIL	TIES		:	LS				(_30)
SUBTOTAL	1041					1			344
CONTINGENCY (TOTAL CONTRAC	-	,				ļ			34 378
		TION AND OVERHE	AD (67)		1				1
TOTAL REQUEST		TION AND CAEVIE	(UA)			ļ			23 401
TOTAL REQUEST		IDED)			ļ	1			400
TOTAL REQUEST	(1001	(DLD)							400
		· . ·							1
									1
									1
					1	{			(
								ties f	

10. Description of Proposed Construction: Renovate facilities for squadron operations. These facilities will provide temporary locations for conducting squadron operations while permanent Sq Ops/AMUs are being constructed. All necessary support as required.

11. REQUIREMENT: As required.

PROJECT: Provide interim KC-10 squadron operations facilities.

REQUIREMENT: BRAC 93 realignment of March AFB, CA requires facilities to allow a temporary workplace for the operations and maintenance personnel prior to construction of a permanent facility also programmed under BRAC 93 realignment.

CURRENT SITUATION: Construction completion of the proposed Sq Ops/AMUs for the KC-10 aircraft projected to be realigned to Travis AFB is not possible initially. There are, however, vacant facilities that could be force-used while new facilities are designed and constructed. These facilities, located in the 800 block, require renovation and interior modification before they can be used for the interim period.

IMPACT IF NOT PROVIDED: These facilities will provide part of the flexibility necessary to house operations and maintenance personnel awaiting new Sq Ops/AMU facilities.

ADDITIONAL: Funding is to be provided from the Base Closure Account. This project is not included in Part II of Military Handbook 1190, "Facility Planning and Design Guide."

1. COMPONEN		<u>i</u>	2. DA	TF					
FY 1994 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT DATA									
AIR FORCE 3. INSTALLA	(computer generated)								
	TRAVIS AIR FORCE BASE, CALIFORNIA								
4. PROJECT	TITLE	5. PRO)JECT	NUMB	ER				
BASE CLOSUR	BASE CLOSURE-RENOVATE INTERIM SQUADRON OPERATIONS XDAT953322R								
12. SUPPLE	MENTAL DATA:								
a. Estim	ated Design Data:								
(1)	Status:				- 1				
	a) Date Design Started		93	OCT	01				
) Parametric Cost Estimates used to develop	costs			Y				
	c) Percent Complete as of Jan 1993		0.3	DEC	Z				
	d) Date 35% Designed. e) Date Design Complete			DEC APR	,				
`	bace besign complete) - -	AI K	٠ <u>٠</u>				
(2)	Basis:								
	a) Standard or Definitive Design -				- 1				
(b) Where Design Was Most Recently Used -				ł				
(3)	Total Cost (c) = (a) + (b) or (d) + (e):			(60	00)				
	a) Production of Plans and Specifications			(\$0	ולטט				
	b) All Other Design Costs				- 1				
	c) Total								
(d) Contract								
(e) In-house								
(4)	Construction Start			94 J	,,,				
(' ') - J	ן "				
L Fi									
	nt associated with this project will be provide priations: N/A	≥d trou	n		ł				
ocher appro	priacions. N/A								
	·				ļ				
					Ì				
]				
					l				
,									
					1				
					ĺ				
	•• •								
					ļ				

1. COMPONENT		2. DATE
	FY 1994 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT DA	ATA
AIR FORCE	(computer generated)	
3. INSTALLATI	ON AND LOCATION 4. PROJECT TIT	TLE
	BASE CLOSURE-1	INTERIM
TRAVIS AIR FO	PRCE BASE, CALIFORNIA FACILITIES	
5. PROGRAM EI	LEMENT 6. CATEGORY CODE 7. PROJECT NUMBER 8.	. PROJECT COST(\$000)
-		
4.18.96	141-753 ΥΠΑΤ953302	820

3: 0001 E311MA	LES			
TOTAL	/		UNIT	COST
ITEM		QUANTITY	COST	(\$000)
BASE CLOSURE-INTERIM FACILITIES	LS			570
SUPPORTING FACILITIES	i	l		135
UTILITIES	LS			(95)
PAVEMENTS	LS			(20)
SITE IMPROVEMENTS	LS			(20)
SUBTOTAL				705
CONTINGENCY (10%)				71
TOTAL CONTRACT COST				776
SUPERVISION, INSPECTION AND OVERHEAD (6%)]			47
TOTAL REQUEST	i			823
TOTAL REQUEST (ROUNDED)				820
•				
	1	:		
		,		
	i			
	1	}		
	L	i l		L

- 10. Description of Proposed Construction: Perform interior renovations and support work (including utilities) to provide interim facilities for units relocating in support of BRAC 93.
- 11. REQUIREMENT: As required.

PROJECT: Provide interim support facilities for units relocating to Travis AFB in support of BRAC 93 realignments.

<u>REQUIREMENT</u>: The construction of the permanent facilities cannot be completed before the units must move from March AFB. Interim facilities and support work are required to allow the relocated units to operate at Travis. These facilities will be provided by altering existing facilities.

CURRENT SITUATION: There are no facilities on the base that can be used as acceptable interim facilities without alterations. Because of the shortage of usable facilities/space, innovative work arounds will be required to provide initial/temporary work areas for the KC-10 personnel. IMPACT IF NOT PROVIDED: There will be no facilities to move into and the mission will be unable to begin operations from Travis AFB.

ADDITIONAL: Funding is to be provided from the Base Closure Account. There is no criteria/scope for this project in part II of Military Handbook 1190, "Facility Planning and Design Guide".

1. COMPON	ENT			2. DATE		
		FY 1994 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT DATA	A			
AIR FORCE		(computer generated)				
3. INSTAL	LATI	ON AND LOCATION				
TRAVIS AI	R FO	PRCE BASE, CALIFORNIA		ĺ		
4. PROJEC		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	5. PRC	JECT NUMBER		
BASE CLOS	URE-	INTERIM FACILITIES	XDA	T953302		
12. SUPP	LEME	ENTAL DATA:				
a. Estimated Design Data:						
(1)	St	atus:		l		
		Date Design Started		93 OCT 01		
		Parametric Cost Estimates used to develop c	osts	Y		
		Percent Complete as of Jan 1993		*		
		Date 35% Designed.		93 DEC 01		
	(e)	Date Design Complete		94 APR 01		
(2)	Ra	sis:				
(2)		Standard or Definitive Design -		1		
		Where Design Was Most Recently Used -				
(3)	m.	tol Cost (a) = (a) + (b) == (d) + (a)		(5000)		
(3)		<pre>ptal Cost (c) = (a) + (b) or (d) + (e): Production of Plans and Specifications</pre>		(\$000)		
		All Other Design Costs				
		Total		j		
		Contract				
		In-house		1		
				1		
(4)	Co	nstruction Start		94 JUL		
b. Equip	ment	associated with this project will be provide	d from	n		
other app	ropr	riations: N/A				
		•				
				l		
		•				
}						
				1		
	•					
1						
		••				
!						

. COMPONENT									2.	DATE
i	F	7 1994 MILITARY C	ONSTRUC'	LION	PRO	NECT	DATA	.		
AIR FORCE			er gene							
3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION 4. PROJECT TITLE BASE CLOSURE-KC-10 MAINTENANCE										
							E-KC-	10 MA	INI	ENANCE
		ASE, CALIFORNIA	17 000	COMI			0 5	DO IEC	- C	007/0000
5. PROGRAM EL	EMENT	6. CATEGORY CODE	/. PRO.	JECT	NUI	IREK	8. P	KOJEC	TC	OST(ŞUUU
4.18.96		211-179	XDA	1953 :	336				1	4.200
			T ESTIM	ATES						
						1		LINU		COST
·		ITEM			_	QUAN	TITY	COST		(\$000)
		MAINTENANCE COMP	LEX		LS	Į.			- 1	8,310
	-	NTENANCE DOCK			SF	42,0		1	80	
DASH 21 STO	•				SF	10,0	000		75	(750
SUPPORTING FA	CILIT	IES		1			j		1	4,530
UTILITIES					LS					(1,370
SITE IMPROV	EMENT:	5		1	LS	ļ	- 1		ı	(720
PAVEMENTS					LS					(720
		TECTION SYSTEMS			LS		i			(1,105
OTHER SUPPO	RTING	FACILITIES]]	LS					(615
SUBTOTAL						1				12,840
CONTINGENCY (_		1		1			1	642
TOTAL CONTRAC			- //#\			j				13,482
		CTION AND OVERHEA	D (6%)	Į			į			809
TOTAL REQUEST		·~~ \						٠		14,291
TOTAL REQUEST	(KUU)	NUEU)								14,200
10. Descript		f Proposed Constr								

10. Description of Proposed Construction: Provides space for aircraft maintenance bay, fuel cell repair area, shop space, and building support. Includes systems for mechanical ventilation, fume sensing and alarm, fire extinguishing; structure consists of drainage trenches, concrete foundation, floor slab, metal walls, sloping metal roof, metal doors and track, heating, explosion proof electric, plumbing, and mechanical systems

11. REQUIREMENT: 52,000 SF ADEQUATE: 0 SUBSTANDARD: 0

PROJECT: Construct a combination fuel system maintenance/hangar
maintenance facility to perform all types of aircraft maintenance and
repairs.

REQUIREMENT: BRAC 93 realignment of March AFB, CA requires an adequate facility, properly sized and configured for KC-10 aircraft maintenance, test and evaluation of aircraft systems, and fuel cell work. Facility also includes space for dash 21 storage and work. This facility provides indoor aircraft jacking, flight control replacement, rigging and other required heavy maintenance that needs stable environments (little or no wind). Work areas and storage for the support branch are included because there is no space available on base to satisfy this requirement. The facility will have all the special safety equipment to allow fuel cell work to be performed.

CURRENT SITUATION: Base maximizes use of existing dock to service C-141, C-5 aircraft. Utilization is currently 3,000 hours per year with another 3,200 hours of fuel cell work being accomplished outside because the dock is already in use. The 19 KC-10 aircraft will require an additional 3,000 to 4,000 hours of fuel system maintenance dock time. The weather at Travis is also worse than March forcing more of the fuel cell work to be done indoors. Work on the engine located on the tail of the aircraft is

	1. COMPONENT FY 1994 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT DATA AIR FORCE (computer generated)	2. DATE
	3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION TRAVIS AIR FORCE BASE, CALIFORNIA	
	4. PROJECT TITLE	5. PROJECT NUMBER
ı	RACE CINCIPE-VC-10 MAINTENANCE COMPLEY	YDATOSZZZK

30 feet in the air and can not be done in the wind or rain at Travis. Thus, for 200 days, the weather at Travis will force fuel cell work indoors. When the weather is acceptable for outside, there is a problem finding a-spot with enough ramp clearance to meet the safety requirements. The ramp areas will be completely filled with the addition of the KC-10s. The state of California also requires the purchase of air emission credits which are expensive and will increase in price each year. The base has already purchased all the air credits it can afford and the number of credits available for purchase will decrease annually. It is unknown if the state will allow the purchase of more. If not, all KC-10 fuel cell work will have to be accomplished indoors. The existing aircraft maintenance hangars have a 92 percent utilization rate supporting the other four squadrons. There is no space to support the requirements of the additional 19 KC-10s. Aircraft jacking is restricted to winds under 30 knots and flight control work restricted to winds under 20 knots. Winds striking the aircraft on jacks could blow the aircraft off the jacks, damaging wings and fuselage and causing fuel spills. Aircraft maintenance can not be scheduled based on good weather. IMPACT IF NOT PROVIDED: The maintenance community will not meet KC-10 fuel system and other aircraft repair and maintenance requirements.

Without the capability of performing the needed work, the KC-10 mission will be severely restricted.

ADDITIONAL: Funding is to be provided from the Base Closure Account. This project is not included in Part II of Military Handbook 1190, "Facility Planning and Design Guide."

1. COMPONENT	FY 1994 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT DATA	A 2. DATE
AIR FORCE 3. INSTALLAT	(computer generated) ION AND LOCATION	
TRAVIC ATR F	ORCE BASE, CALIFORNIA	
4. PROJECT T		5. PROJECT NUMBER
BASE CLOSURE	-KC-10 MAINTENANCE COMPLEX	XDAT953336
	ENTAL DATA:	
a. Estima	ted Design Data:	
(1) S	tatus:	
) Date Design Started	93 OCT 01
	Percent Complete as of Jan 1993	<u>x</u>
(c) Date 35% Complete	93 DEC 01
(d) Date Design Complete	94 APR 01
(2) B	asis:	
) Standard or Definitive Design -	
) Where Design Was Most Recently Used -	
(3) T	otal Cost (c) = (a) + (b) or (d) + (e):	(\$000)
	Production of Plans and Specifications	(4000)
) All Other Design Costs	
) Total	
) Contract	
) In-house	
(4) C	onstruction Start	94 JUL
b. Equipmen	t associated with this project will be sure	4.6
	t associated with this project will be provide riations: N/A	d irom
[•	
	•	
		. •
•		
	••	

March AFB, California, Package FY 1995 Forms 1391 Military Construction Project Data

1. COMPONENT	Y 1995 MILITARY C	ONSTRUCTION PROJECT	2. DATE
USAFR		er generated)	
3. INSTALLATION AN MARCH AIR FORCE BA	SE, CALIFORNIA	ADMINISTRATI	-ALTER WING HQ/ VE
5. PROGRAM ELEMENT	6. CATEGORY CODE	7. PROJECT NUMBER	8. PROJECT COST(\$000)
55396F	610-282	PCZP959001	1,150

9. COST ESTIMATES					
7701			UNIT	COST	
ITEM	U/M	QUANTITY	COST	(\$000)	
BASE CLOSURE-ALTER WING HQ/	- 1	1			
ADMINISTRATIVE	LS			930	
ALTER-WING HEADQUARTERS	LS			(150)	
ALTER SQUAD OPERATIONS/AMU	LS			(150)	
ALTER BOS ADMINISTRATION	LS			(300)	
TERMINAL OPERATIONS	LS			(150)	
ENVIRONMENTAL CLEAN-UP (CANTONMENT)	LS			(180)	
SUPPORTING FACILITIES				35	
UTILITIES	LS			(<u>35</u>)	
SUBTOTAL				965	
CONTINGENCY (10%)				97	
TOTAL CONTRACT COST				1,062	
SUPERVISION, INSPECTION AND OVERHEAD (6%)				64	
TOTAL REQUEST				1,126	
TOTAL REQUEST (ROUNDED)				1,150	
	1]	

10. Description of Proposed Construction: Alter facilities to house various Air Force Reserve administration functions. Includes all necessary alterations for supporting utilities.

11. REQUIREMENT: As required.

PROJECT: Alter existing base facilities for Wing Headquarters/Base Command Post , Squadron Operations, Aircraft Maintenance Unit (AMU), Base Operating Support (BOS), Terminal Operations and Environmental Clean-up. REQUIREMENT: Realignment of March AFB, CA. Alteration of existing space is required to consolidate Wing HQ, Command Post, and Key Wing Staff. addition the squadron operations/AMU relocation will allow space to consolidate the expanded host BOS functions into the central base area. The Wing HQ/Base Command Post will house the 452nd Air Refueling Wing (ARW), and will be located in the existing Base/Wing HQs Building BOS administration requirements include Financial Management, Personnel, Contracting, Social Actions, Recruting, Public Affairs, Chaplain, Safety, The AMU function requires space for administration, general storage, locker/showers, tool storage, and training classrooms. Squadron Operations requires space for base flight planning functions, briefing rooms, administration, and standardization/evaluation. Terminal Operations is required to house a limited passenger & air freight operation. Clean-up of environmental hazards in existing buildings is required to ensure a safe environment for the Reserves.

CURRENT SITUATION: The 22nd ARW currently provides BOS to the 445th AW and the 452nd ARW of the Air Force Reserve. Upon realignment of March AFB, the Air Force Reserve will assume host base responsibilities for all remaining DOD agencies. The identified existing facilities are adequate for alteration to house the Reserve functions required by this project.

1. COMPONENT	FY 1995 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJE	CT DATA	2. DATE
USAFR	(computer generated)		
3. INSTALLAT	ON AND LOCATION		
MARCH AIR FOR	RCE_BASE, CALIFORNIA		
4. PROJECT T	TLE	5. PR	OJECT NUMBER
BASE CLOSURE-	-ALTER WING HQ/ ADMINISTRATIVE	PC	ZP959001
IMPACT IF NOT	PROVIDED: Without the alterations pro	vided by th	is project

the AFRES will not be able to properly sustain their mission at March AFB. ADDITIONAL: Funding is to be provided by the Base Closure Account. There is no scope/criteria for this project in Part II of the Military Handbook 1190, "Facility Planning and Design Guide".

1. COMPONENT		2. DATE
	FY 1995 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT DATA	
USAFR	(computer generated)	,
	ON AND LOCATION	
J. INGIADAI	TON AND DOCATION	
MARCH ATR FOR	RCE BASE, CALIFORNIA	
4. PROJECT TI		. PROJECT NUMBER
1. 1		. PROODET NUMBER
BASE CLOSURE-	-ALTER WING HQ/ ADMINISTRATIVE	PCZP959001
	100000000000000000000000000000000000000	1001737001
12. SUPPLEM	NTAL DATA:	
a. Estimat	ed Design Data:	
-		
(1) St	atus:	
1	Date Design Started	93 OCT 01
	Parametric Cost Estimates used to develop co	sts Y
	Percent Complete as of Oct 1993	35%
	Date 35% Designed.	93 DEC 01
	Date Design Complete	94 APR 15
·		
(2) Ba	asis:	•
	Standard or Definitive Design -	
(b)	Where Design Was Most Recently Used -	
	otal Cost (c) = $(a) + (b)$ or $(d) + (e)$:	(\$000)
	Production of Plans and Specifications	
	All Other Design Costs	
	Total	
	Contract	
(e)	In-house	
(4) Co	onstruction Start	94 OCT
		,
	associated with this project will be provided	ı irom
otner appropr	ciations: N/A	·
•		
		·
	•• •	

1. COMPONENT	FY 1995 MILITARY	CONSTRUCTION PROJECT D	2. DATE
USAFR	(compu	ter generated)	_
	ON AND LOCATION CE BASE, CALIFORNIA	4. PROJECT TI BASE CLOSURE- TRAINING FACI	ALTER MEDICAL
5. PROGRAM ELI	EMENT 6. CATEGORY COL	E 7. PROJECT NUMBER 8	PROJECT COST(\$000)
55396F	171-443	PCZP959003	860

9. COST ESTIMATES					
ITEM	U/M	QUANTITY	UNIT COST	COST (\$000)	
EASE CLOSURE-ALTER MEDICAL TRAINING FACILITIES (W/ANG) ALTER MEDICAL ADMIN ALTER MEDICAL TRAINING CLINIC SUPPORTING FACILITIES UTILITIES SUBTOTAL CONTINGENCY (10%) TOTAL CONTRACT COST SUPERVISION, INSPECTION AND OVERHEAD (6%)	LS SF SF LS	12,000 18,000	13 28	660 (156) (504) 80 (<u>80)</u> 740 <u>74</u> 814	
TOTAL REQUEST (ROUNDED)				49 863 860	

- 10. Description of Proposed Construction: Alter facilities to house Medical Administration (building 2314) and a Medical Training Clinic (building 441). Includes all necessary utilities and support.
- 11. REQUIREMENT: 30,000 SF ADEQUATE: 0 SUBSTANDARD: 0

 PROJECT: Alter Medical Administration and Medical Training Clinic.

 REQUIREMENT: Realignment of March AFB, CA. Alteration of existing space is required for Medical Administration and for a Medical Training Clinic.

 Medical Administration facility will house Detachment 4, 11th Contingency Hospital (DET 4, 11th USAFCH), the 414th Medical Services Squadron (MSES), and the 42nd Aeromedical Patient Staging Squadron (APPS). The Medical Clinic facility will house the 452nd USAF Clinic as well as the Air National Guard Clinic. Functional space requirements include administration, laboratory space, and examination rooms.

CURRENT SITUATION: Upon realignment of March AFB, the Air Force Reserve along with the Air National Guard will consolidate into a cantonment area.

IMPACT IF NOT PROVIDED: Without the alterations provide by this project the 452 USAF Clinic, the 414th MSES, the Det 4, 11th USAFCH, the 42nd APSS, and the ANG Clinic will not be able to properly sustain their medical mission at March AFB.

ADDITIONAL: Funding is to be provided by the Base Closure Account. There is no criteria/scope for this project in Part II of the Military Handbook 1190, "Facility Planning and Design Guide".

,							
	mponent	FY 1995 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT DATA	2. DATE				
USAFR		(computer generated) ION AND LOCATION					
3. IN	STALLAT	ION AND LOCATION					
MADON	ATD PA	DAT DACT ANTIBODUTA					
	OJECT T	RCE BASE, CALIFORNIA	ROJECT NUMBER				
4. PR	ODECT 1	11LE 5. F	ROJECI NUMBER				
BASE	CLOSURE	-ALTER MEDICAL TRAINING FACILITIES P	CZP959003				
12.	Supplem	ENTAL DATA:					
a.	a. Estimated Design Data:						
	(1) S	tatus:					
	(a) Date Design Started	93 OCT 01				
1	d)) Parametric Cost Estimates used to develop costs	Y				
	•) Percent Complete as of Oct 1993	35%				
1) Date 35% Designed.	93 DEC 01				
	•) Date Design Complete	94 APR 15				
	,,,	, sad sassay. Compado					
	(2) B	acie.					
ł) Standard or Definitive Design -					
1) Where Design Was Most Recently Used -					
1	a)) where bes gn was most kecentry used -	·				
ł		(a.k.)	/c000\				
Ì		otal Cost (c) = (a) + (b) or (d) + (e):	(\$000)				
l	-) Production of Plans and Specifications					
1) All Other Design Costs	İ				
1) Total					
) Contract					
!	(€	e) In-house					
	(4) C	Construction Start	94 OCT				
b. E	quipmen	t associated with this project will be provided fr	om.				
		oriations: N/A	•				
	-555						
1							
]							
1							
1							
1	•						
1							
1							
1							
ŀ							
1							
1		•• .					

1. COMPONENT								2.	DATE	
FY 1995 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT DATA										
AIR FORCE	(computer generated)									
3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION 4.						PROJECT TITLE				
MARCH AIR FORCE BASE, CALIFORNIA BASE CLOSURE-ALTER D								ER DININ	NG HALL	
5. PROGRAM EL	EMENT	6. CATEGORY	CODE	7. PRO	ECT 1	1UN	ABER 8. I	PROJECT C	COST(\$000)	
·			i							
5.53.96F		722-351		PCZP959005 2					2,100	
9. COST ESTIMATES										
								UNIT	COST	
ITEM					ע	/M	QUANTITY	COST	(\$000)	
BASE CLOSURE-ALTER DINING HALL					SI	F	30,000		1,800	
1					1					

	1		UNIT	COST
ITEM	U/M	QUANTITY	COST	(\$000)
BASE CLOSURE-ALTER DINING HALL	SF	30,000	60	1,800
SUPPORTING FACILITIES	1			15
UTILITIES	LS			(10)
PAVEMENTS	LS			(5)
SUBTOTAL				1,815
CONTINGENCY (10%)				182
TOTAL CONTRACT COST	1	1		1,997
SUPERVISION, INSPECTION AND OVERHEAD (6%)				120
TOTAL REQUEST				2,117
TOTAL REQUEST (ROUNDED)				2,100
	1			
	1			
	1			
	1			
	1			
	1	1		

10. Description of Proposed Construction: Building 110-Alter existing Officer's club as an essential eating facility. Work includes all necessary utilities and support.

Air Conditioning: 25 Tons.

11. REQUIREMENT: 30,000 SF ADEQUATE: 0 SUBSTANDARD: 30,000 SF PROJECT: Alter facility for a Dining Hall

REQUIREMENT: Realignment of March AFB, CA. Adequate and appropriately designed space is required for a Dining Hall to serve Air Force Reserve and Air National Guard personnel. Space is required for a dining area, a dishwashing room, and a kitchen that includes space for food preparation and storage.

CURRENT SITUATION: Upon realignment of March AFB, the Air Force Reserve (445th Aircraft Wing & 452nd Air Refueling Wing) and the Air National Guard will consolidate into a cantonment area. The existing dining facility is not within the proposed cantonment area. There are no adequate facilities in the cantonment that can be used to meet the requirements of this project. However, the existing Officer's Club is in the cantonment area and can be converted to an essential eating facility.

IMPACT IF NOT PROVIDED: Without the facility provided by this project, the Reserves will not be able to efficiently provide meals for unit training assemblies at March AFB.

ADDITIONAL: Funding is to be provided by the Base Closure Account. There is no scope/criteria for this project in Part II of the Military Handbook 1190, "Facility Planning and Design Guide".

1. COMPONE	4	2. DATE
	FY 1995 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT DAT	ra –
AIR FORCE	(computer generated)	
3. INSTALL	ATION AND LOCATION	
MADOU ATD	PODGE DACE CALIBODALA	
4. PROJECT	FORCE BASE, CALIFORNIA	5. PROJECT NUMBER
4. PRODECT	11100	5. PROJECT NUMBER
BASE CLOSU	RE-ALTER DINING HALL	PCZP959005
	2 :433. 53. 53. 53. 53. 53. 53. 53. 53. 53.	1021737003
12. SUPPL	EMENTAL DATA:	
a. Esti	mated Design Data:	
-		
(1)	Status:	
	(a) Date Design Started	93 OCT 01
	(b) Parametric Cost Estimates used to develop of	costs Y
	(c) Percent Complete as of Oct 1993	•
}	(d) Date 35% Designed.	93 DEC 01
	(e) Date Design Complete	94 APR 01
(2)	Basis:	
	(a) Standard or Definitive Design -	· .
	(b) Where Design Was Most Recently Used -	
(3)	Total Cost (c) = (a) + (b) or (d) + (e):	(\$000)
	(a) Production of Plans and Specifications	
}	(b) All Other Design Costs	
	(c) Total	
	(d) Contract (e) In-house	
	(e) In-house	
(4)	Construction Start	94 OCT
'''	Jone Clark Contract	34 001
b. Equipm	ent associated with this project will be provide	ed from
	opriations: N/A	•
	·	
1		
		•
1		
1		
	••	
1		
I		

1. COMPONENT								2.	DATE		
	CION PR	OJECT	DATA								
USAFR (computer generated)											
3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION 4. PROJECT TITLE											
				BASE C	LOSUR	E-ALT	ER WE	EAP(ONS		
	MARCH AIR FORCE BASE, CALIFORNIA STORAGE & COMMUNICATIONS										
5. PROGRAM EI	EMENT	6. CATEGORY CODE	7. PROJ	ECT NU	MBER	8. P	ROJEC	T (COST(\$000)		
55396F		420-000	PCZI	959006					500		
		9. COS	ESTIM			1			300		
							UNIT		COST		
		ITEM		U/M	QUAN	TITY	cosi		(\$000)		
BASE CLOSURE-	ALTER	WEAPONS STORAGE	k								
COMMUNICATION	IS			LS		- 1			430		
		ed weapons storage	E	LS					(260)		
ALTER COMM	INICAT:	IONS		LS		- 1			(<u>170</u>)		
SUBTOTAL]	1			430		
CONTINGENCY (•	•		i		- 1			43 473		
		TION AND OVERHEAL	1681	Ī	l	- 1					
TOTAL REQUEST		SIZON AND CVERNEAL	(04)		1				<u>28</u> 501		
TOTAL REQUEST		וחבתו				1			500		
1011111 1020101	. (1.001	,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,			1				300		
		_									
. •	1		1								
					1	ł					

10. Description of Proposed Construction: Alter facilities to meet new requirements. Includes all necessary modifications to utilities and necessary support.

11. REQUIREMENT: As required.

<u>PROJECT</u>: Alter facilities for Consolidated Weapons Storage, and a Communications Facility.

REQUIREMENT: Realignment of March AFB, CA. Adequately and appropriately altered space is required to consolidate Weapons Storage for the 445th Airlift Wing (AW), the 452nd Air Refueling Wing (ARW) and their Air National Guard tenants. Weapons storage will require a vaulted storage area with security alarms wired to the Security Police desk. Alteration of another facility is required to house Communications. The Communications Facility will require space for a centrally located common user communications system for intrabase and interbase communications as well as other required communications.

CURRENT SITUATION: Upon realignment of March AFB the Air Force Reserve will consolidate into a cantonment area. There are facilities within the cantonment area that can be altered to meet the requirements of this project.

IMPACT IF NOT PROVIDED: Without the alterations provided by this project, the 445th AW and 452nd ARW will not be able to properly sustain their mission at March AFB.

ADDITIONAL: Funding is to be provided by the Base Closure Account. There is no scope/criteria for this project in Part II of the Military Handbook 1190, "Facility Planning and Design Guide".

_						
1	. COM	LPONE	NT	PV 1005 WILTERRY CONCERNICATION DROTTERS DAME		2. DATE
l _u	SAFR			FY 1995 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT DATA (computer generated)	•]	
		TALL	ATI	ON AND LOCATION		
				CE BASE, CALIFORNIA		
4	. PRO	NECT	TI	TLE	. PRC	JECT NUMBER
B	ASE C	LOSU	RE-	ALTER WEAPONS STORAGE & COMMUNICATIONS	PC2	2P959006
1	2. S	UPPL	eme	ENTAL DATA:		
	a.	Esti	mat	ed Design Data:		
ł		(1)	St	atus:		
			•	Date Design Started		93 OCT 01
1				Parametric Cost Estimates used to develop co	sts	Y
				Percent Complete as of Oct 1993 Date 35% Designed.		35%
				Date Design Complete		93 DEC 01 94 APR 15
				Tatt seesa. compares		ST MEN 13
		(2)	Ba	sis:		
			•. •	Standard or Definitive Design -		
		•	(b)	Where Design Was Most Recently Used -		
		(3)	To	otal Cost (c) = (a) + (b) or (d) + (e):		(\$000)
		. ,	(a)	Production of Plans and Specifications		, ,
				All Other Design Costs		
				Total		
				Contract In-house		:
			(6)	Tu-nodee		
		(4)	Co	enstruction Start		94 OCT
ь				associated with this project will be provided	l from	Ω
0	ther	appr	opr	riations: N/A		•
					•	
ĺ						!
l						
l		•				
				•• •		

1. COMPONENT	FY 1995 MILITARY CO	ONSTRUCTION PROJECT	2. DATE
USAFR	(compute	er generated)	
3. INSTALLATION A MARCH AIR FORCE B		4. PROJECT T BASE CLOSURE FACILITIES	TITLE C-ALTER SUPPORT
5. PROGRAM ELEMEN	f 6. CATEGORY CODE	7. PROJECT NUMBER	8. PROJECT COST(\$000)
55396F	610-000	PCZP959007	500
	9. 0083	T ROTINATES	

9. COST ESTIMA	TES			
TMPM	77./34	OUL NOT THE	UNIT	COST
ITEM		QUANTITY	COST	(\$000)
BASE CLOSURE-ALTER SUPPORT FACILITIES	LS	<u> </u>		425
ALTER MWR LOGISTICS	LS]]		(310
REMOVE-LEAD PAINT AND ASBESTOS	LS	ŀ		(115
SUBTOTAL		i .		425
CONTINGENCY (10%)	1	1		43
POTAL CONTRACT COST				468
SUPERVISION, INSPECTION AND OVERHEAD (6%)		1		28
NOTAL REQUEST		1		496
TOTAL REQUEST (ROUNDED)	i	1 1		500
		!		ł
		1		1
				Ì
				1
		(ì		1
				1
				1
·	- (i i		i

- 10. Description of Proposed Construction: Alter MWR facilities and remove lead paint and asbestos cantonment wide. Work includes all necessary modifications to utilities and necessary support.
- 11. REQUIREMENT: As required.

<u>PROJECT</u>: Alter the Morale, Welfare and Recreation (MWR) Logistic Operations.

REQUIREMENT: Realignment of March AFB, CA. Adequately and appropriately altered space is required. MWR requires space for administrative functions and for the storage of rental equipment. This project also includes the removal of lead paint and asbestos when required throughout the cantonment area for buildings not being modified under any other MILCON program.

CURRENT SITUATION: Upon realignment of March AFB the Air Force Reserve will consolidate into a cantonment area and assume host base responsibilities. The Reserves will support MWR operations at March AFB. There are existing facilities that can be altered to meet the requirements of this project.

IMPACT IF NOT PROVIDED: Without this project the Air Force Reserve will not be able to accommodate the MWR operations.

<u>ADDITIONAL</u>: Funding is to be provided by the Base Closure Account. There is no scope/criteria for this project in Part II of the Military Handbook 1190, "Facility Planning and Design Guide."

T											
1. COMPONENT		2. DATE									
	FY 1995 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT DAT	.A.									
USAFR	(computer generated)										
3. INSTALLAT	ION AND LOCATION	1									
MADOU ATD BO	RCE BASE, CALIFORNIA										
4. PROJECT T		5. PROJECT NUMBER									
1. 1	. 135	J. PROJECT NORBER									
BASE CLOSURE	BASE CLOSURE-ALTER SUPPORT FACILITIES PCZP959007										
12. SUPPLEM	ENTAL DATA:										
a. Estima	ted Design Data:										
(1) s	rature.										
, , ,) Date Design Started	93 OCT 01									
) Parametric Cost Estimates used to develop of										
) Percent Complete as of Oct 1993	35%									
) Date 35% Designed.	93 DEC 01									
) Date Design Complete	94 APR 15									
(2) B											
) Standard or Definitive Design -										
(р) Where Design Was Most Recently Used -										
(3) T	otal Cost (c) = (a) + (b) or (d) + (e):	(\$000)									
) Production of Plans and Specifications	(4555)									
) All Other Design Costs										
) Total										
) Contract										
) In-house										
(4) C	onstruction Start	94 OCT									
b. Equipmen	t associated with this project will be provide	ed from									
other approp											
	·										
}		1									
1											
1											
	••										

1. COMPONENT		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·			2	. DATE
į į	FY 1995	MILITARY C	ONSTRUC	TION PROJECT	DATA	
USAFR		(comput	er gene	rated)		
3. INSTALLATI	ON AND LOCAT	ION		4. PROJECT ?	TITLE	
				BASE CLOSURI	E-BCE MAINT	ENANCE
MARCH AIR FOR	CE BASE, CAL	IFORNIA		SHOP AND STO	ORAGE	
5. PROGRAM EL	EMENT 6. CAT	EGORY CODE	7. PRO	JECT NUMBER	8. PROJECT	COST(\$000)
	ł					
55396F	21	9-944	PCZ.	P959002	1	1 000

9. COST ESTIMA	TES			
ITEM	מ/ט	QUANTITY	UNIT COST	COST (\$000)
BASE CLOSURE-BCE MAINTENANCE SHOP AND STORAGE BASE GIVIL ENGINEER MAINT SHOP CIVIL ENGINEER COVERED STORAGE SUPPORTING FACILITIES UTILITIES SITE IMPROVEMENTS SUBTOTAL CONTINGENCY (5%) TOTAL CONTRACT COST SUPERVISION, INSPECTION AND OVERHEAD (6%) TOTAL REQUEST TOTAL REQUEST (ROUNDED)	LS SF SF LS LS	8,000 4,000	92	856 (736) (120) 60 (40) (20) 916 46 962 58 1,020 1,000

- 10. Description of Proposed Construction: Construct new facilities to match existing construction at March AFB. Includes all necessary support and utilities.
- 11. REQUIREMENT: 19,500 SF ADEQUATE: 7,500 SF SUBSTANDARD: 0
 PROJECT: Construct a new Base Civil Engineer (BCE) Maintenance Shop, and
 BCE Covered Storage.

REQUIREMENT: Realignment of March AFB, CA. Adequate and appropriately designed space is required for a BCE Maintenance Shop, and a BCE Covered Storage Facility. The BCE Maintenance Shop requires shop space for carpentry, plumbing/heating, refrigeration, electrical, sheet-metal, welding, and painting. Space is also required for storage of bench stock and tools. BCE covered storage is required for the supplies and materials used by the BCE organization in its day-to-day activities.

CURRENT SITUATION: The 22nd ARW currently provides base operating support (BOS) to the Air Force Reserves (445 Airlift Wing & 452 Air Refueling Wing). Upon realignment of March AFB, AFRES will consolidate into a cantonment area and assume host base responsibilities. There are no adequate facilities in the cantonment area for the BCE Maintenance Shop, and BCE Covered Storage.

IMPACT IF NOT PROVIDED: Without the facilities provided by this project, the Air Force Reserves will not be able to properly sustain their mission at March AFB.

<u>ADDITIONAL</u>: Funding is to be provided by the Base Closure Account. There is no scope/criteria for this project in Part II of the Military Handbook 1190, "Facility Planning and Design Guide".

1. COMPONE	1	2. DATE									
USAFR	FY 1995 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT DAT	'A									
	(computer generated) ATION AND LOCATION										
J. INSTALL	ATION AND LOCATION										
MARCH AIR	FORCE_BASE, CALIFORNIA										
4. PROJECT	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	5. PROJECT NUMBER									
BASE CLOSU	BASE CLOSURE-BCE MAINTENANCE SHOP AND STORAGE PCZP959002										
12. SUPPI	EMENTAL DATA:										
a. Esti	mated Design Data:										
(1)	Status:										
,_,	(a) Date Design Started	93 DEC 01									
	(b) Parametric Cost Estimates used to develop c	osts Y									
	(c) Percent Complete as of Oct 1993	•									
	(d) Date 35% Designed.	94 APR 01									
ļ	(e) Date Design Complete	94 AUG 15									
_											
(2)	Basis:										
	(a) Standard or Definitive Design -										
	(b) Where Design Was Most Recently Used -	•									
(3)	Total Cost $(c) = (a) + (b)$ or $(d) + (e)$:	(\$000)									
(3)	(a) Production of Plans and Specifications	(\$000)									
	(b) All Other Design Costs										
	(c) Total										
	(d) Contract										
	(e) In-house										
(4)	Construction Start	94 OCT									
1											
b Paris no	ent associated with this project will be provide	d form									
	ent associated with this project will be provide opriations: N/A	a from .									
Ocuer appr	opilacions. N/A										
1											
	·										
•											
1											
į.											
1											
	•• .										
l											

1. COMPONENT									2.	DATE
FY 1995 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT DATA										
USAFR (computer generated)										
3. INSTALLATI	ON ANI	LOCATION				JECT I				
				1						LITIES
		SE, CALIFORNIA 6. CATEGORY CODE	17 PPO	•		TER SE				OST(\$000)
). PRUGRAM EI	-eneni	6. CALEGURI CUDE	/. PRO	JEC.	I NOI	TDEK	0. r	KOJEC	,1 (021(2000)
55396F		800-100	PCZ	P959	9004	ļ				3,000
			T ESTIM	ATE:	S	•				
								UNIT	Γ	COST
		ITEM			M/U	QUANT	YTI	COST		(\$000)
BASE CLOSURE-										
PERIMETER SEC					LS					2,690
		TIES AND METERING			LS					(2,475)
PERIMETER S	SECUKI.	II FENCE			LS					(<u>215</u>) 2,690
CONTINGENCY ((57)						1			135
TOTAL CONTRAC	•	r			ļ					2,825
		CTION AND OVERHEA	D (6%)		1					170
TOTAL REQUEST										2,995
TOTAL REQUEST	r (ROUI	NDED)								3,000
			_	٠.			İ			•
• •										
					1		1			
						[
						\	1			}

10. Description of Proposed Construction: Construct a new perimeter fence, and modify utilities to serve the Air Force Reserve cantonment area.

11. REQUIREMENT: As required.

<u>PROJECT</u>: Construct perimeter security fence and isolate utilities.

<u>REQUIREMENT</u>: Realignment of March AFB, CA. Adequate quantities of water, gas, and electricity must be available to the Reserves cantonment area. A perimeter security fence is required to contain and protect the cantonment

area.

<u>CURRENT SITUATION</u>: Upon realignment of March AFB, the Air Force Reserve will consolidate into a cantonment area. The existing base perimeter is much larger than the proposed cantonment, which makes a new perimeter essential. Existing utility lines can be altered and metered to accommodate the new cantonment area.

IMPACT IF NOT PROVIDED: Without the alterations and security fencing provided by this project, the Reserves will not be able to properly secure and sustain their mission at March AFB.

<u>ADDITIONAL</u>: Funding is to be provided by the Base Closure Account. There is no scope/criteria for this project in Part II of the Military Handbook 1190, "Facility Planning and Design Guide".

				la I
1. C	OMPONE	ENT	FY 1995 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT DATA	2. DATE
USAF	R	_ 1	(computer generated)	
3. I	NSTALI	LATÍC	ON AND LOCATION	
MARCI	U ATR	FORC	CE BASE, CALIFORNIA	
	ROJEC:			OJECT NUMBER
BASE	CLOSI	JRE-1	SOLATE UTILITIES PERIMETER SECURITY FENCE PC	ZP959004
12.	SUPPI	LEMEN	ITAL DATA:	
a.	Est	imate -	ed Design Data:	
	(1)	Sta	itus:	
Ì		(a)	Date Design Started	93 OCT 01
			Parametric Cost Estimates used to develop costs	Y
			Percent Complete as of Oct 1993	2
			Date 35% Designed.	93 DEC 01
		(e)	Date Design Complete	94 AUG 15
	(2)	Bas	sis:	
		(a)	Standard or Definitive Design -	
		(b)	Where Design Was Most Recently Used -	
	(3)	Tot	cal Cost (c) = (a) + (b) or (d) + (e):	(\$000)
	(-,		Production of Plans and Specifications	(\$000)
			All Other Design Costs	
			Total	
			Contract	
		(e)	In-house	
	(4)	Cor	nstruction Start	94 OCT
ъ.	Equip	nent	associated with this project will be provided from	m
			iations: N/A	
				ļ
		•	•	
			•• .	

							12	D.4000
1. COMPONENT					\		١2٠	DATE
	FY 1995 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION							
USAFR (computer generated)								
3. INSTALLATI	ON ANI	D LOCATION			JECT TIT			
			1		LOSURE-A		ŲUAL	DRUN
TRAVIS AIR FO	DRCE BA	ASE, CALIFORNIA			IONS FAC		0m (2007(4000)
5. PROGRAM EI	LEMENT	6. CATEGORY CODE	7. PROJE	T NU	WREK 8	. PROJE	CT	(10005)1500
			VD 4 MO	20012				9 200
55396F		141-753	XDAT9					8,200
		9. COS	T ESTIMAT	<u> </u>	ī	UNI	т	COST
		ITEM		117.04	QUANTI		-	(\$000)
PACE CLOCIDE	AFDEC	SQUADRON OPERATI	ONG	10/11	QUARTI	11 003	-	130007
FACILITY	- AP RES	SQUADRON OFERAIT	UNS	LS				6,305
	ነ ፐጥል ወቁር	ONS FACILITY		SF	37,00	n l	165	
REPAIR INT				LS	0.,00			(200)
SUPPORTING FA					Í			1,055
UTILITIES				LS	ļ			(460)
PAVEMENTS				LS				(160)
SITE IMPROV	VEMENT	s		LS		1		(290)
PARKING		-		LS				(145)
SUBTOTAL				- [1	}		7,360
CONTINGENCY (5%)								368
TOTAL CONTRACT COST						1		7,728
SUPERVISION, INSPECTION AND OVERHEAD (6%)]			464
TOTAL REQUES		•						8,192
TOTAL REQUES		NDED)						8,200
1					1			1

10. Description of Proposed Construction: Single-story building, including concrete foundation and floor slab, steel framing and trusses, brick masonry walls and sloped metal standing seam roof. All supporting pavements, and utilities and all necessary support are included. Parking for 120 cars is provided.

Air Conditioning: 20 Tons.

11. REQUIREMENT: 37,000 SF ADEQUATE: 0 SUBSTANDARD: 0 PROJECT: Construct a Reserve squadron operations facility.

REQUIREMENT: The Reserve Associate squadron is being relocated from March AFB, CA to Travis AFB, CA. A squadron operations facility will provide offices, training rooms, locker rooms, briefing areas and administrative and management areas to support the operation of a Reserve Associate KC-10 flying squadron and its organic maintenance management and training functions. Since the squadron is programmed to move to Travis Air Force Base prior to the completion of this facility, the project includes funds to upgrade two existing sub-standard buildings for interim use.

C URRENT SITUATI O N: No adequate facilities are available at Travis to support this requirement.

ADDITIONAL: Funding is to be provided from the Base Closure Account. There is criteria/scope for this project in part II of Military Handbook 1190, "Facility Planning and Design Guide".

		
1. COMPONE	NT FY 1995 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT DAT	2. DATE
USAFR	(computer generated)	
3. INSTALL	ATION AND LOCATION	
TRAUTE ATD	FORCE BASE, CALIFORNIA	
4. PROJECT		5. PROJECT NUMBER
BASE CLOSU	RE-AFRES SQUADRON OPERATIONS FACILITY	XDAT939013
12. SUPPL	EMENTAL DATA:	
a. Esti	mated Design Data:	
(1)	Status:	
	(a) Date Design Started	93 OCT 01
	(b) Parametric Cost Estimates used to develop of	
	(c) Percent Complete as of Jan 1994	35%
	(d) Date 35% Designed. (e) Date Design Complete	93 DEC 01 94 APR 15
	(e) pare pesign complete	74 AER IJ
(2)	Basis:	
ļ	(a) Standard or Definitive Design -	
	(b) Where Design Was Most Recently Used -	
(3)	Total Cost (c) = (a) + (b) or (d) + (e):	(\$000)
,,,,	(a) Production of Plans and Specifications	(4000)
	(b) All Other Design Costs	
	(c) Total	
	(d) Contract	
	(e) In-house	
(4)	Construction Start	94 OCT
	ent associated with this project will be provide opriations: N/A	ed from
ļ		
ļ.		•
	•	
1		
1		
	••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••	

1. COMPONENT	PY 19	95 MILITA	ARY CO	ONSTRUCT	TION PROJECT	D **	. DATE
AIR FORCE		(00	mpute	er gener	rated)	į	
3. INSTALLATION TRAVIS AIR FORCE			NIA		4. PROJECT :		OADS
5. PROGRAM ELEM	ENT 6.	CATEGORY	CODE	7. PRO	JECT NUMBER	8. PROJECT	COST(\$000)
4.18.96		851-147		XDA	953320		300
		9.	cos	ESTIM	TES		

1				
			UNIT	COST
ITEM	U/M	QUANTITY	COST	(\$000)
BASE CLOSURE-UPGRADE ROADS	LS			214
SUPPORTING FACILITIES	ļ.			45
UTILITIES	LS	ł		(15)
PAVEMENTS	LS			(15)
SITE IMPROVEMENTS	LS			(_15)
SUBTOTAL	l			259
CONTINGENCY (10%)	1	ì		_26
TOTAL CONTRACT COST	1	1		285
SUPERVISION, INSPECTION AND OVERHEAD (6%)	Ī			<u>17</u>
TOTAL REQUEST				302
TOTAL REQUEST (ROUNDED)				300
	1			
	ŀ			
	ŀ			
	1			
	1			
		ŀ		
	<u> </u>			

- 10. Description of Proposed Construction: Upgrade existing roads in the new construction area to carry the additional traffic caused by the increase in personnel associated with the KC-10.
- 11. REQUIREMENT: As required.

PROJECT: Repair and upgrade base roads that, due to construction of new facilities dictated by BRAC action, will need repairs because of damage caused by construction activities.

REQUIREMENT: BRAC 93 realignment of March AFB, CA requires over \$150 million of new construction. Daily movement of construction equipment on the main roads will cause severe deteriation and require upgrade. The main roads that will need upgrade Hangar Ave, E St, Vandenburg Rd, and Hickam Ave.

CURRENT SITUATION: The integrity of the existing roadway surfaces are sufficient for the current vehicular traffic. However, the heavy construction traffic associated with the BRAC projects will damage the roads and repairs will be necessary. The new facilities and increase in personnel will also require widening and realigning of some of the roads. IMPACT IF NOT PROVIDED: The construction activity will make the roads unusable. The increased volume on the roads caused by newly assigned personnel supporting the KC-10 realignment will cause a tremendous congestion.

<u>ADDITIONAL</u>: Funding is to be provided from the Base Closure Account. This project is not included in Part II of Military Handbook 1190, "Facility Planning and Design Guide."

3				
1. COMPON	ENT		2. DATE	ł
		FY 1995 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT DATA		ļ
AIR FORCE		(computer generated)		
3. INSTAL	LAT:	ION AND LOCATION		j
				1
		ORCE BASE, CALIFORNIA		
4. PROJEC	T T	ITLE 5.	PROJECT NU	MBER
				J
BASE CLOS	URE-	-UPGRADE ROADS	XDAT953320	
12. SUPP	LEMI	ENTAL DATA:		
a. Est	imat	ed Design Data:		-
_				
(1)		catus:		
		Date Design Started	93 OC	T 01
1		Parametric Cost Estimates used to develop cos	its	Y
I		Percent Complete as of Oct 1993		
1		Date 35% Designed.	94 DE	C 01
l	(e)	Date Design Complete	95 AP	R 01
				i
(2)		asis:		
1		Standard or Definitive Design -		
	(b)	Where Design Was Most Recently Used -	•	·
_				
(3)		otal Cost (c) = (a) + (b) or (d) + (e):	(:	\$000)
]		Production of Plans and Specifications		
j		All Other Design Costs		
		Total		,
	(d)	Contract		ł
	(e)	In-house		
(4)	Cc	onstruction Start	95	JUL
			•	1
		associated with this project will be provided	ITOM	
other app	ropi	riations: N/A		
ł				
l				
1				
Į.				
		•• ·		
1				
ſ				

1. COMPONENT	Y 1995 MILITARY C	ONSTRUCTION PROJECT	2. DATE
AIR FORCE		er generated)	
3. INSTALLATION AND TRAVIS AIR FORCE B			TITLE E-CHILD DEVELOPMENT LAND PURCHASE
5. PROGRAM ELEMENT	6. CATEGORY CODE	7. PROJECT NUMBER	8. PROJECT COST(\$000)
4.18.96	740-884	XDAT953323	2,550

9. COST ESTIMATES

71 0001 D011.0811				
			UNIT	COST
ITEM	U/M	QUANTITY	COST	(\$000)
BASE CLOSURE-CHILD DEVELOPMENT CENTER	1]		
AND LAND PURCHASE	SF	12,000	155	1,860
SUPPORTING FACILITIES				425
UTILITIES	LS	i		(235)
PAVEMENTS	LS]		(65)
SITE IMPROVEMENTS	LS			(100)
LAND PURCHASE	LS			(25)
SUBTOTAL				2,285
CONTINGENCY (5%)		[114
TOTAL CONTRACT COST				2,399
SUPERVISION, INSPECTION AND OVERHEAD (6%)				144
TOTAL REQUEST	ł			2,543
TOTAL REQUEST (ROUNDED)				2,550
		1		Ī
	}			
	1			
	L			

- 10. Description of Proposed Construction: Masonry/concrete child development center, to include building systems, utilities, playground with equipment, and all required support. Includes land acquisition. Air Conditioning: 20 Tons.
- 11. REQUIREMENT: 54,600 SF ADEQUATE: 42,600 SF SUBSTANDARD: 0
 PROJECT: Construct a child development center.

REQUIREMENT: This project is required to provide adequate child development center needs for Travis AFB due to the transfer of KC-10 aircraft from BRAC 93 realignment of March AFB, CA. The relocation of KC-10 aircraft to Travis will require the base to accommodate an additional 100 children in the child development program. It is impossible to add additional square footage to the existing child care center due to facility, traffic and parking congestion. This new facility will be located in the housing area as a satellite child care center and must have facilities and equipment to stand-alone.

CURRENT SITUATION: The total of all currently existing and programmed Child Development Centers thru the regular MILCON program is inadequate to support the relocation of KC-10 aircraft to Travis AFB.

IMPACT IF NOT PROVIDED: Child development programs will be inadequate to support the realignment of KC-10 aircraft to Travis AFB. Many lower ranking military and single parents will not be able to afford the higher costs of off-base centers.

ADDITIONAL: Funding is to be provided from the Base Closure Account. This project meets the criteria/scope specified in Part II of Military Handbook 1190, "Facility Planning and Design Guide."

		
1. COMPONENT		2. DATE
	FY 1995 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT DATA	
AIR FORCE	(computer generated)	
3. INSTALLATIO	N AND LOCATION	
TRAVIC ATR FOR	CE BASE, CALIFORNIA	ļ
4. PROJECT TIT		OJECT NUMBER
1. 1	3. 12	OOLOI KOMBEK
BASE CLOSURE-C	HILD DEVELOPMENT CENTER AND LAND PURCHASE XD	AT953323
12. SUPPLEMEN	TAL DATA:	
a. Estimate	ed Design Data:	
(1) Sta	tus:	
\ - , - · - ·	Date Design Started	93 OCT 01
	Parametric Cost Estimates used to develop costs	Y
	Percent Complete as of Oct 1993	35%
	Date 35% Designed.	93 DEC 01
	Date Design Complete	94 APR 01
1		
(2) Bas		
1	Standard or Definitive Design -	
(p)	Where Design Was Most Recently Used -	
(3) Tot	al Cost (c) = (a) + (b) or (d) + (e):	(\$000)
	Production of Plans and Specifications	(\$000)
•	All Other Design Costs	
, , ,	Total	
, ,	Contract	
	In-house	
` '		
(4) Con	struction Start	94 OCT
	annulated with this must be will be assured as	_
b. Equipment other appropri	associated with this project will be provided fro ations: N/A	·
ocner appropri	actions: N/A	,
ļ		
•		
]
		ĺ
1		
		ĺ
1		
	•• .	

1. COMPONENT									2.	DATE	Ī
	FY	7 1995 HILITA	ARY CO	ONST	RUCTI	ON PRO	NECT	DATA	\		
AIR FORCE		(cc	mpute	er g	enera	ted)					
3. INSTALLATIO	ON ANI	LOCATION			4	. PRO	JECT 1	TITLE	3		
1					B.	ASE CI	OSURE	E-KC-	-10 SQU/	ADRON	i
TRAVIS AIR FO	RCE BA	SE, CALIFOR	AIN			PERAT	IONS/A	MU			
5. PROGRAM EL				7.	PROJE	CT NU	1BER	8. I	ROJECT	COST(\$000)) ((
4.18.96		141-753			XDAT9	53327				9,500	
		9.	COS:	r ES	TIMAT	ES					
									UNIT	COST	
		ITEM				U/M	QUANT	TITY	COST	(\$000)	

ITEM	11.74	QUANTITY	UNIT COST	COST (\$000)
BASE CLOSURE-KC-10 SQUADRON	U/H	QUANTITI	0031	(\$0007
OPERATIONS/AMU	SF	39,200	165	6,468
SUPPORTING FACILITIES				2,045
UTILITIES	LS			(750)
PAVEMENTS	LS			(600)
SITE IMPROVEMENTS	LS			(455)
PREWIRED WORKSTATIONS	EA	40	6,000	(240)
SUBTOTAL	ļ	İ		8,513
CONTINGENCY (5%)				426
TOTAL CONTRACT COST	İ			8,939
SUPERVISION, INSPECTION AND OVERHEAD (62)	Ì			<u>536</u>
TOTAL REQUEST				9,475
TOTAL REQUEST (ROUNDED)				9,500 ·

- 10. Description of Proposed Construction: This facility will follow the design guidance for use as an operational building for planning, briefing, administration; and for tool kit storage, tool crib, support equipment, administration, ready room, and support area for maintenance personnel. Facility will be two-story with standing seam metal roof. Includes prewired workstations.
- 11. REQUIREMENT: 333,463 SF ADEQUATE: 0 SUBSTANDARD: 255,063 SF PROJECT: Construct a Consolidated Squadron Operations/AMU facility. REQUIREMENT: A facility capable of housing all functional elements of an operational squadron to include air crews, aircraft maintainers, life support personnel, administrative staff, command and control personnel, and all organizational supplies and equipment into a single location is required due to the BRAC 93 realignment of March AFB, CA. This consolidation will promote the concept of this squadron being one cohesive unit working to project aircraft for training and mission sorties. CURRENT SITUATION: There is no facility adequate to support a KC-10 Consolidated Sq Ops/AMU function at Travis AFB.

IMPACT IF NOT PROVIDED: If this facility is not constructed, operations, maintenance, and support personnel will continue to be located in separate buildings and will never develop the cohesiveness necessary to gel as an efficient operational organization. Ultimately these factors in conjunction with the substandard working environment could degrade daily operations, adversely affecting the efficiency of the squadron to generate and execute mission sorties effectively.

ADDITIONAL: Funding is to be provided from the Base Closure Account. This project is not included in Part II of Military Handbook 1190, "Facility Planning and Design Guide."

. COMPONEN	FY 1995 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT DATA	2. DATE
IR FORCE	(computer generated)	^
	TION AND LOCATION	
DAVIC AID	FORCE BASE, CALIFORNIA	
. PROJECT		5. PROJECT NUMBER
ASE CLOSUE	LE-KC-10 SQUADRON OPERATIONS/AMU	XDAT953327
2. SUPPLE	MENTAL DATA:	
. Poti-	nated Design Date:	
a. Estin	mated Design Data:	
	Status:	
	a) Date Design Started	93 OCT 01
	b) Percent Complete as of Jan 1994 c) Date 35% Complete	35 2 93 DEC 01
	d) Date Design Complete	94 APR 01
	•	,
	Basis:	
	a) Standard or Definitive Design -b) Where Design Was Most Recently Used -	
•	by where besign was nost Recently used	
	Total Cost (c) = (a) + (b) or (d) + (e):	(\$000
	a) Production of Plans and Specifications	
	b) All Other Design Costs c) Total	
	d) Contract	
	e) In-house	
(4)	Construction Start	94 OC1
		J. 333
	ent associated with this project will be provide	d from
ther appro	opriations: N/A	
		•
•	•	
	••	

March AFB, California, Package

FY 1995 Forms 1391 Family Housing Construction Project Data

1. COMPONENT	FY 1995 MILITARY C	ONSTRUCTION PROJECT	2. DATE
AIR FORCE	-	er_generated)	JAI.A
3. INSTALLATION TRAVIS AIR FORCE	AND LOCATION BASE, CALIFORNIA	4. PROJECT BASE CLOSUR & LAND PURC	E - FAMILY HOUSING
5. PROGRAM ELEME	NT 6. CATEGORY CODE	7. PROJECT NUMBER	8. PROJECT COST(\$000)
4.18.96	711-142	XDAT954303XX	54,553
	9. cos	r ESTIMATES	

9. W31 E311RA1	<u> </u>			
			UNIT	COST
ITEM	U/M	QUANTITY	COST	(\$000)
BRAC FAMILY HOUSING	UN	384	87,413	33,566
SUPPORTING FACILITIES	}			15,680
SITE PREPARATION	LS			(1,747)
ROADS AND PAVING	LS	:		(2,910)
UTILITIES	LS	İ		(5,825)
LANDSCAPING	LS			(1,131)
RECREATION	LS			(1,063)
GARAGES	LS		:	(2,304)
LAND ACQUISITION	LS			(700)
SUBTOTAL				49,246
CONTINGENCY (5%)				2,462
TOTAL CONTRACT COST	-			51,708
SUPERVISION, INSPECTION AND OVERHEAD (5.5%)	Į.			2,844
TOTAL REQUEST	1			54,553
AREA COST FACTOR 1.37				

10. Description of Proposed Construction: Construct 384 housing units including all support facilities to meet whole house/neighborhood standards. Includes land purchase, heating, air conditioning, carpeting, energy conservation features, appliances, garages, site preparation, utilities, roads, landscaping, privacy fencing, and youth recreation facilities.

	NET	Project	\$/	NO.	
UNIT TYPE	AREA	FACTOR	NSF	UNITS	TOTAL COST
JNCO 3BR	1200	1.29	55	302	25,712,280
SNCO 3BR	1350	1.29	5 5	46	4,405,995
CGO 3BR	1350	1.29	55	36	3,448,170
				384	33,566,445

11. PROJECT: Construct 384 family housing units

REQUIREMENT: To provide adequate quarters for Air Force members and their families assigned to this installation as part of the relocation of KC-10 aircraft from March AFB. Land acquisition (101 acres) is necessary.

CURRENT SITUATION: Travis AFB will not have a sufficient number of family housing units to support ongoing realignment/closure actions.

IMPACT IF NOT PROVIDED: Air Force families will be forced to live off

IMPACT IF NOT PROVIDED: Air Force families will be forced to live off base at a greater distance away from the base and/or in unsuitable or expensive housing.

ADDITIONAL: Funding is to be provided from the Base Closure Account. This project meets the criteria/scope specified in Part II of Military Handbook 1190, "Facility Planning and Design Guide."

. COMPONI	FY 1995 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT DATA	2. DATE
IR FORCE	(computer generated)	}
	ATION AND LOCATION	
MDAWTO ATI	FORCE BASE, CALIFORNIA	
. PROJEC	TITLE 15	. PROJECT NUMBER
RAC-FAMI	Y HOUSING	XDAT954303XX
2. SUPP	EMENTAL DATA:	
a. Est	mated Design Data:	
(1)	Status:	
	(a) Date Design Started	93 OCT 01
	(b) Percent Complete as of Jan 1994	35%
	(c) Date 35% Complete	93 DEC 01
	(d) Date Design Complete	94 APR 01
(2)	Basis:	
	(a) Standard or Definitive Design -	
	(b) Where Design Was Most Recently Used -	
(3)	Total Cost (c) = (a) + (b) or (d) + (e):	(\$000
	(a) Production of Plans and Specifications	(4000
	(b) All Other Design Costs	
	(c) Total	
	(d) Contract	
	(e) In-house	
(4)	Construction Start	94 OCT
		•
. Equip	ment associated with this project will be provided copriations: N/A	from
cuer app	opilations. N/A	
	•	
	•	
	•	
·	•	
	•	

```
Tri-Service Family Housing Cost Model
SERVICE AIR FORCE
                      LOCATION TRAVIS AIR FORCE BASE, CALIFORNIA
BASELINE:
          384) ( 1232) ( 55) = $26,020,501
     (No. Units) (ANSF) = 5' Line Cost
PROJECT FACTORS:
    (1.37) (0.96) (0.98) = 1.29
     ( ACF ) (Project Size) ( Unit Size ) = Project Factor
HOUSING COST:
     ( 26,020,501 ) ( 1.29 ) = <u>$33,566,446</u>
( 5' Line Cost ) (Project Factor) = Housing Cost
                 0 ) (1.37) ( 384) = ____
    SUPPORTING COST:
      MANAGEMENT OFFICE
      MAINTENANCE FACILITY
                                                                      0
      SITE PREPARATION
                                                              1,747,000
      ROADS AND PAVING
                                                              2.910.000
      UTILITIES
                                                              5,825,000
      LANDSCAPING
                                                              1.131.000
      RECREATION
                                                              1,063,000
      GARAGES
                                                              2,304,000
      LAND ACQUISITION
                                                                700,000
    Support Cost
                                                             15,680,000
SUMMARY:
      33,566,446 ) + ( 0 ) + ( 15,680,000 ) = $49,246,446
Housing Cost ) + ( Solar Cost ) + ( Support Cost ) = Subtotal
         49,246,446) ( 1.050 ) (1.055) = \frac{$54,552,750}{} Say: \frac{$54,553,000}{}
         Subtotal ) (Contingency) (SIOH) = Project Cost
                                                                         (Round)
    ( 54,553,000 ) / ( 384 ) (1232 ) (1.37 ) = $84
( Project Cost ) / (# of Units) (ANSF ) (ACF ) = Total Project Cost/SF
PROJECT SIZE FACTOR - (# OF UNITS)
                                                     UNIT SIZE - (AVG NET SF)
1 - 4 = 1.15 100 - 199 = 1.00
                                                  600-749 = 1.05
                                                                     1051-1150 = 0.99
5 - 19 = 1.10
                  200 - 299 = 0.98
                                                 750-849 = 1.03
                                                                     1151-1250 = 0.98
20 - 49 = 1.05
                  300 - 499 = 0.96
                                                 850-949 = 1.01
                                                                    1251-1350 = 0.97
                 500+
50 - 99 = 1.02
                                                 950-1050 = 1.00
                                                                   1351+ = 0.96
```

Plattsburgh Air Force Base, New York Package Base Closure and Realignment Detail

BASE REALIGNMENT AND CLOSURE ACCOUNT - 1993 COMMISSION USAF/PLATTSBURGH AFB, NY (DOLLARS IN THOUSANDS)

ONE TIME IMPLEMENTATION COSTS:	FY 1994	FY 1995	FY 1996
Military Construction	0	0	0
Family Housing - Construction	0	0	C
- Operations	0	0	0
Environmental	5,280	0	391
Operation & Maintenance	631	15,245	14,382
Military Personnel - PCS	0	0	5,885
Other	0	0	C
Homeowners Assistance Program	0	0	C
TOTAL ONE-TIME COSTS	5,911	15,245	20,658
Revenues from Land Sales (-)	0	0	C
Funded from Prior Year Balances	0	-15,245	C
BUDGET REQUEST	5,911	0	20,658
FUNDED OUTSIDE OF THE ACCOUNT:			
Military Construction	0	0	C
Family Housing - Operations	0	0	C
Environmental	0	0	C
Operation & Maintenance	0	0	C
Other	0	0	(
Homeowners Assistance Program	0	0	(
TOTAL FUNDED OUTSIDE THE ACCOUNT	0	0	(
SAVINGS:			
Military Construction	0	3,150	(
Family Housing - Construction	0	0	(
- Operations	0	0	(
Operation & Maintenance	0	0	(
Military Personnel	0	5,490	25,060
Other	0	0	(
Civilian ES	. 0	0	-260
Military ES	0	0	-831
TOTAL SAVINGS	0	8,640	25,060
NET IMPLEMENTATION COSTS:	•	2.150	_
Military Construction	0	-3,150	(
Family Housing - Construction	0	0	(
- Operations	5 200	0	20:
Environmental	5,280	15 245	39:
Operation & Maintenance	631	15,245	14,382
Military Personnel - PCS	0	-5,490	-19,17
Other	0	0	(
Homeowners Assistance Program	0	0	(
Revenues from Land Sales (-)	0	0	(
NET IMPLEMENTATION COSTS	5,911	6,605	-4,402

BASE REALIGNMENT AND CLOSURE ACCOUNT - 1993 COMMISSION USAF/PLATTSBURGH AFB, NY (DOLLARS IN THOUSANDS)

(DOLLARD IIV IIIO ODIAN				TOTAL
ONE TIME IMPLEMENTATION COSTS:	FY 1997	FY 1998	FY 1999	FY 94 - 99
Military Construction	0	0	0	0
Family Housing - Construction	0	0	0	0
- Operations	0	0	0	0
Environmental	408	55,519	61,104	122,702
Operation & Maintenance	55,723	0	0	85,981
Military Personnel - PCS	0	0	0	5,885
Other	0	0	0	0
Homeowners Assistance Program	0	0	0	0
TOTAL ONE-TIME COSTS	56,131	55,519	61,104	214,568
Revenues from Land Sales (-)	0	0	0	0
Funded from Prior Year Balances	0	0	0	-15,245
BUDGET REQUEST	56,131	55,519	61,104	199,323
FUNDED OUTSIDE OF THE ACCOUNT:				
Military Construction	0	0	0	0
Family Housing - Operations	0	0	0	0
Environmental	0	0	0	0
Operation & Maintenance	0	0	0	0
Other	0	0	0	0
Homeowners Assistance Program	0	0	0	0
TOTAL FUNDED OUTSIDE THE ACCOUNT	0	0	0	0
SAVINGS:				
Military Construction	0	0	0	3,150
Family Housing - Construction	0	0	0	0
- Operations	0	0	0	0
Operation & Maintenance	. 0	0	0	0
Military Personnel	33,890	34,610	35,390	134,440
Other	18,750	19,150	19,570	57,470
Civilian ES	-260	-260		
Military ES	-837	-837	-837	-837
TOTAL SAVINGS	52,640	53,760	54,960	195,060
NET IMPLEMENTATION COSTS:				
Military Construction	0	0	0	-3,150
Family Housing - Construction	0	0	0	O
- Operations	0	0	•	_
Environmental	408	55,519	•	•
Operation & Maintenance	55,723		_	,-
Military Personnel - PCS	-33,890	-	· ·	•
Other	-18,750	•	-	•
Homeowners Assistance Program	0	_	_	_
Revenues from Land Sales (-)	0	0	0	0
NET IMPLEMENTATION COSTS	3,491	1,759	6,144	19,508

BASE CLOSURE AND REALIGNMENT PACKAGE DESCRIPTION

U.S. Air Force/Plattsburgh Air Force Base, New York

Closure Package: Plattsburgh AFB, New York, is scheduled for closure by FY 1996/4. Funding in 1995 is for caretaker and disposal management team requirements.

One Time Implementation Costs:

Military Construction: N/A

Conjunctively-Funded Construction: N/A

Family Housing Construction: N/A

Family Housing Operations: N/A

Operation and Maintenance: \$15.2 Million

Military Personnel - PCS: N/A

Other Procurement: N/A

Revenue from Land Sales: N/A

Environmental: N/A

Savings: Savings identified are generated by no longer operating the installation. They are included in exhibit BC-02 for display purposes only, and will be realized in other Air Force appropriations.

Homestead Air Force Base, Florida Base Closure and Realignment Detail

BASE REALIGNMENT AND CLOSURE ACCOUNT - 1993 COMMISSION USAF/HOMESTEAD AFB, FL (DOLLARS IN THOUSANDS)

ONE TIME IMPLEMENTATION COSTS:	FY 1994	FY 1995	FY 1996
Military Construction	1,400	4,140	15,200
Family Housing - Construction	0	0	0
- Operations	0	0	0
Environmental	9,445	9,314	2,097
Operation & Maintenance	24,400	3,791	2,955
Military Personnel - PCS	1,081	0	1,494
Other	0	0	0
Homeowners Assistance Program	0	0	0
TOTAL ONE-TIME COSTS	36,326	17,245	21,746
Revenues from Land Sales (-)	0	0	0
Funded from Prior Year Balances	-1,081	-7,931	0
BUDGET REQUEST	35,245	9,314	21,746
FUNDED OUTSIDE OF THE ACCOUNT:	ŕ	·	·
Military Construction	0	0	0
Family Housing - Operations	0	0	0
Environmental	0	0	0
Operation & Maintenance	0	0	0
Other	0	0	0
Homeowners Assistance Program	0	0	0
TOTAL FUNDED OUTSIDE THE ACCOUNT	0	0	O
SAVINGS:			
Military Construction	0	0	C
Family Housing - Construction	0	0	C
- Operations	0	0	C
Operations & Maintenance	0	0	C
Military Personnel	0	4,570	20,850
Other	8,640	19,630	20,090
Civilian ES	. 0	0	C
Military ES	-913	-913	-913
TOTAL SAVINGS	8,640	24,200	40,940
NET IMPLEMENTATION COSTS:			
Military Construction	1,400	4,140	15,200
Family Housing - Construction	0	0	C
- Operations	0	0	0
Environmental	9,445	9,314	2,097
Operation & Maintenance	24,400	3,791	2,955
Military Personnel - PCS	1,081	-4,570	-19,356
Other	-8,640	-19,630	-20,090
Homeowners Assistance Program	0	0	. (
Revenues from Land Sales (-)	0	0	C
NET IMPLEMENTATION COSTS	27,686	-6,955	-19,194

BASE REALIGNMENT AND CLOSURE ACCOUNT - 1993 COMMISSION USAF/HOMESTEAD AFB, FL (DOLLARS IN THOUSANDS)

				TOTAL
ONE TIME IMPLEMENTATION COSTS:	FY 1997	FY 1998	FY 1999	FY 94 - 99
Military Construction	0	0	0	20,740
Family Housing - Construction	0	0	0	0
- Operations	0	0	0	0
Environmental	367	0	0	21,223
Operation & Maintenance	10,953	0	0	42,099
Military Personnel - PCS	0	0	0	2,575
Other	0	0	0	0
Homeowners Assistance Program	0	0	0	0
TOTAL ONE-TIME COSTS	11,320	0	0	86,637
Revenues from Land Sales (-)	0	0	0	0
Funded from Prior Year Balances	0	0	0	-9,012
BUDGET REQUEST	11,320	0	0	77,625
FUNDED OUTSIDE OF THE ACCOUNT:				•
Military Construction	0	0	0	0
Family Housing - Operations	0	0	0	0
Environmental	0	0	0	0
Operation & Maintenance	0	0	0	0
Other	0	0	0	0
Homeowners Assistance Program	0	0	0	0
TOTAL FUNDED OUTSIDE THE ACCOUNT	0	0	0	0
SAVINGS:				
Military Construction	0	0	0	0
Family Housing - Construction	0	0	0	0
- Operations	0	0	0	0
Operation & Maintenance	0	0	0	0
Military Personnel	28,210	28,810	29,450	•
Other	20,530	20,970	21,430	111,290
Civilian ES	0	0	0	0
Military ES	-913	-913	-913	-913
TOTAL SAVINGS	48,740	49,780	50,880	223,180
NET IMPLEMENTATION COSTS:				
Military Construction	0	0	0	20,740
Family Housing - Construction	0	0	0	0
- Operations	0	0	0	0
Environmental	367	0	0	21,223
Operation & Maintenance	10,953	0	0	42,099
Military Personnel - PCS	-28,210	-28,810	-29,450	-109,315
Other	-20,530	-20,970	-21,430	-111,290
Homeowners Assistance Program	0	0	0	0
Revenues from Land Sales (-)	0	0	0	0
NET IMPLEMENTATION COSTS	-37,420	-49,780	-50,880	-136,543

BASE CLOSURE AND REALIGNMENT PACKAGE DESCRIPTION

U.S. Air Force/Homestead Air Force Base, Florida

Closure Package: Homestead AFB, Florida will realign in FY 1994/2. Requirements in 1995 are due to construction at receiving bases, and environmental cleanup at Homestead.

One Time Implementation Costs:

Military Construction:

Location	Project Title	Fiscal Year of Award	Amount (\$000)
FY 1994			
Pensacola NAS	Water Survival Training Facility	1994	1,400
Total 1994	·		
FY 1995			1,400
Kelly AFB	IAAFA Instructor Facility	1995	1,300
Kelly AFB	IAAFA Small Aircraft Hanger	1995	1,600
Kelly AFB	Alter IAAFA Nose Docks	1995	840
Lackland AFB	IAAFA Armory/Storage (Camp Bullis)	1995	400
Total 1995			4,140

Conjunctively-Funded Construction: N/A

Family Housing Construction: N/A

Family Housing Operations: N/A

Operation and Maintenance: \$3.8 Million

Military Personnel - PCS: N/A

Other Procurement: N/A

Revenues from Land Sales: N/A

Environmental; \$9.3 Million

Savings: Savings identified are generated by no longer operating the installation. They are included in BC-02 for display purposes only, and will be realized in other Air Force appropriations.

Homestead AFB, Florida Package

FY 1994 Forms 1391 Military Construction Project Data

1. COMPONENT			2. DATE
	FY 1994 MILITARY C	ONSTRUCTION PROJECT	DATA
AIR FORCE	(comput	er generated)	
3. INSTALLATION A	ND LOCATION	4. PROJECT	TITLE
		BASE CLOSUR	E - WATER SURVIVAL
NAVAL AIR STATION	PENSACOLA, FLORID	A TRAINING FA	CILITY
5. PROGRAM ELEMEN	T 6. CATEGORY CODE	7. PROJECT NUMBER	8. PROJECT COST(\$000)
8.57.96	171-621	KYJL963900	1,400
	9. cos	T ESTIMATES	

			UNIT	COST
ITEM	U/M	QUANTITY	COST	(\$000)
BASE CLOSURE - WATER SURVIVAL TRAINING	İ			
FACILITY	LS			1,064
TECHNICAL TRAINING CLASSROOM	SF	8,400	91	(764)
SLIDE FOR LIFE/DOCK	LS			(300)
SUPPORTING FACILITIES	1	ŀ		180
UTILITIES	LS			(60)
SITE IMPROVEMENTS	LS			(60)
PAVEMENTS	LS			(60)
SUBTOTAL				1,244
CONTINGENCY (5%)				62
TOTAL CONTRACT COST				1,306
SUPERVISION, INSPECTION AND OVERHEAD (6%)				78
TOTAL REQUEST	1			1,384
TOTAL REQUEST (ROUNDED)	- }			1,400
•	- 1			
	-			
	i	ł		

- 10. Description of Proposed Construction: Addition to Survival Training Facility. Concrete foundation and slab, steel frame, masonry walls, standing seam metal roof. Utilities, alarm systems, communications systems, and parking. Includes classroom, instructor and administrative space, training aids storage and maintenance areas. Extend existing dock and increase height of slide for life. Provide all necessary support. Air Conditioning: 25 Tons.
- 11. REQUIREMENT: 8,400 SF ADEQUATE: 0 SUBSTANDARD: 0

 PROJECT: Construct addition to Survival Training Facility for USAF Water
 Survival School. Extend dock and add height to existing slide for life.
 Survival Training Facility is FY-94 Navy MILCON project.

REQUIREMENT: Realignment of Homestead AFB. Project is required to provide adequate facilities necessary to consolidate USAF and USN water survival courses at NAS Pensacola. An Interservice Training Review Organization study determined that consolidating the USAF and USN Water Survival Courses at NAS Pensacola was the most cost effective method of providing a permanent site for the USAF Water Survival School formerly located at Homestead AFB. The US Navy currently has an FY94 MILCON project to construct a new facility for their land and water survival schools at NAS Pensacola, but the new facility will not have sufficient space to accommodate the added USAF school requirements. This project provides the classroom, instructor, administrative and equipment storage space needed for the consolidated course. This project will also increase the height and length of the "Slide for Life" in order to meet the Air Force Standards.

CURRENT SITUATION: After Hurricane Andrew demolished the USAF Water Survival School facilities at Homestead AFB, Florida, the school

NUMBER

temporarily relocated to Tyndall AFB, Florida. The course is currently being taught at Tyndall AFB with school personnel working and teaching in substandard facilities, with additional required space being provided by rented trailers. These facilities are totally inadequate, detracting from the learning environment and forcing school personnel into extensive workarounds to compensate for the substandard facilities. Also, the facilities available at Tyndall severely limit the number of students that can be taught. Most of the facilities necessary for a quality learning and working environment, including adequate boat docks and maintenance shops, some classrooms, shower facilities, and equipment maintenance space are available now, and will be available in the new Survival Training Facility when it is completed, at NAS Pensacola. However, the FY94 MILCON does not have sufficient space to fully satisify the consolidated school requirements, nor is adequate space available in other facilities for this additional requirement.

IMPACT IF NOT PROVIDED: USAF Water Survival School personnel will be required to work out of substandard facilities (rented trailers) to be provided as a workaround at NAS Pensacola pending completion of this project. Also, adequate classroom and equipment storage space will not be available, detracting from the training environment. Failure to increase the height and length of the "Slide for Life" will significantly decrease the effectiveness of the parachute letdown portion of the training. ADDITIONAL: Funding is to be provided from the Base Closure Account. There is no criteria/scope for this project in Part II of Military Handbook 1190, "Facility Planning and Design Guide".

Ta		
1. COMPONE	· · · · I	2. DATE
	FY 1994 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT DAT	:A
AIR FORCE	(computer generated)	
3. INSTALI	ATION AND LOCATION	
<u> </u>		
NAVAL AIR	STATION PENSACOLA, FLORIDA	
4. PROJECT		5. PROJECT NUMBER
		J. IROCECI NORBER
PACE CTOCK	RE - WATER SURVIVAL TRAINING FACILITY	*** ***
BASE CLOSE	RE - WAIER SURVIVAL IRAINING FACILIII	KYJL963900
12. SUPPI	EMENTAL DATA:	
a. Esti	mated Design Data:	
(1)	Status:	
	(a) Date Design Started	93 OCT 01
	(b) Parametric Cost Estimates used to develop of	costs Y
	(c) Percent Complete as of Jan 1993	•
ł	(d) Date 35% Designed.	93 DEC 01
	(e) Date Design Complete	94 APR 01
	·	
121	Basis:	
(2)	(a) Standard or Definitive Design -	
	(b) Where Design Was Most Recently Used -	ļ
۱		
(3)	Total Cost (c) = (a) + (b) or (d) + (e):	(\$000)
	(a) Production of Plans and Specifications	
	(b) All Other Design Costs	
•	(c) Total	
	(d) Contract	
1	(e) In-house	į
_		+
(4)	Construction Start	94 JUL
b. Equipa	ent associated with this project will be provide	ed from
	opriations: N/A	
ocher appr	opriacions. Wa	·
i		1
]		
1		,
		j
		1
		!
		• •
1		
i	••	
1		

Homestead AFB, Florida Package

FY 1995 Forms 1391 Military Construction Project Data

		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·								
1. COMPONENT									2.	DATE
į	FY 1995 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION				PRO	DJECT	DATA	. 1		}
AIR FORCE										
3. INSTALLAT	ON AN	LOCATION		4.	PRO.	JECT I	ITLE			
]				BAS	E C	LOSURE	: - I	AAFA		1
KELLY AIR FO						CTOR F				
5. PROGRAM ET	LEMENT	6. CATEGORY CODE	7. PRO.	JEC 1	וטא ז	MBER	8. P	ROJEC	T C	OST(\$000)
			ļ			- 1				ļ
8.57.96		171-627	MBP	<u> 3953</u>	3510					1,300
		9. COS	T ESTIM	ATES	3					
]	İ	UNIT	ר ֿ	COST
		ITEM			U/M	QUANT	YTI	COST	1	(\$000)
BASE CLOSURE	- IAA	FA INSTRUCTOR					İ			
FACILITY					SF	8,3	300	1	115	955
SUPPORTING FA	ACILIT	IES					ļ			210
UTILITIES					LS	1				(100)
SITE IMPROV	VEMENT	S			LS					(55)
PAVEMENTS					LS		Ī			(55)
SUBTOTAL										1,165
CONTINGENCY	(5%)	•								58
TOTAL CONTRAC	CT COS	r				i				1,223
SUPERVISION,	INSPE	CTION AND OVERHEAD	D (6%)				ĺ		į	73
TOTAL REQUEST	r					•			ļ	1,296
TOTAL REQUES:	r (ROU	NDED)					i			1,300
.										_,
						l				
}						}				1
1						1	Ì			
L						1	į			
				_						

10. Description of Proposed Construction: Reinforced concrete foundation and slab, masonry walls, metal frame and standing seam metal roof. Mechanical equipment space, utilities, parking and other necessary support. Includes space for instructor and administrative personnel. Air Conditioning: 25 Tons.

11. REQUIREMENT: 8,300 SF ADEQUATE: 0 SUBSTANDARD: 0

PROJECT: Construct an instructor and administrative support facility.

REQUIREMENT: Realignment of Homestead Air Force Base. A suitably sized and located facility is required to provide office space for 48 instructors and administrative personnel supporting the flightline portion of the Inter-American Air Forces Academy (IAAFA) curriculum located at Kelly AFB.

CURRENT SITUATION: When Hurricane Andrew destroyed Homestead AFB, IAAFA temporarily relocated to Lackland AFB, with their flightline courses relocating to adjacent Kelly AFB. As part of the interim beddown, IAAFA was granted use of ramp space and nose docks on the Kelly AFB flightline. However, no adequate facilities are available on Kelly AFB to provide the necessary technical training laboratory/shop and instructor space to support the flightline portion of the IAAFA curriculum.

IMPACT IF NOT PROVIDED: IAAFA instructors will be required to continue working out of the temporary administrative type facilities provided as an interim beddown measure.

<u>ADDITIONAL</u>: Funding is to be provided from the Base Closure Account. There is no criteria/scope for this project in Part II of Military Handbook 1190, "Facility Planning and Design Guide".

1. COMPONENT	FY 1995 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT DAT	2. DATE
AIR FORCE	(computer generated)	P
3. INSTALLATI	ON AND LOCATION	
KELLY AIR FOR	CE BASE, TEXAS	
		5. PROJECT NUMBER
BASE CLOSURE - IAAFA INSTRUCTOR FACILITY		MBPB953510
12. SUPPLEMENTAL DATA:		
a. Estimated Design Data:		
(1) St	atus:	
	Date Design Started	93 OCT 01
(ъ)	Percent Complete as of Jan 1994	35%
	Date 35% Complete	93 DEC 01
(9)	Date Design Complete	94 APR 01
(2) Ba	oje:	
· ·	Standard or Definitive Design -	
	Where Design Was Most Recently Used -	
(3) To	tal Cost (c) = (a) + (b) or (d) + (e):	(\$000)
	Production of Plans and Specifications	. (4000)
	All Other Design Costs	
	Total	
	Contract	
(e)	In-house	
(4) Co	nstruction Start	94 OCT
b. Equipment associated with this project will be provided from		
other appropriations: N/A		
•		
	•• .	

,										
1. COMPONENT									2.	DATE
1	F	7 1995 MILITA		_	_	OJECT	DATA	\		
AIR FORCE			mpute	r gener						
3. INSTALLATI	ON ANI	LOCATION			4. PRO					
					BASE C			AAFA	SMA	LL
KELLY AIR FOR					AIRCRA					
5. PROGRAM EL	EMENT	6. CATEGORY	CODE	7. PROJ	ECT NU	MBER	8. P	ROJEC	T C	OST(\$000)
		•	- 1							
8.57.96		211-111			<u> 1953511</u>					1,600
		9.	. COST	ESTIM/	TES					
İ								UNIT		COST
		ITEM			U/H	QUANT	CITY	COST		(\$000)
BASE CLOSURE	- IAA	FA SMALL AIR	CRAFT			1				
HANGAR					SF	8,0	000	1	50	1,200
SUPPORTING FA	CILIT	IES			1		ı		- 1	240
UTILITIES					LS		ł		- 1	(80)
SITE IMPROV	EMENT:	S			LS					(80)
PAVEMENTS		•			LS					(80)
SUBTOTAL					1	1	- 1			1,440
CONTINGENCY (52)								ĺ	72
TOTAL CONTRAC		r				Į.			- [1,512
SUPERVISION,			ERHEAD	(6%)						91
TOTAL REQUEST				(1,603
TOTAL REQUEST		NDED)				}				1,600
	. ,	,								2,000
		•							1	

10. Description of Proposed Construction: Concrete foundation and floor slab, metal frame and skin, insulation, mechanical, electrical and fire protection systems. Includes open bay hangar space, battery shop, and supporting classroom, administrative and storage space. Necessary utility, pavement and site support.

Air Conditioning: 5 Tons.

11. REQUIREMENT: 21,100 SF ADEQUATE: 0 SUBSTANDARD: 13,100 SF PROJECT: Construct an aircraft maintenance hangar.

REQUIREMENT: Realignment of Homestead Air Force Base. An adequate hangar, properly sized and configured, and containing the necessary fire protection and aircraft support systems, is required to support the Inter-American Air Forces Academy (IAAFA) curriculum. The hangar will provide space for IAAFA to maintain their aircraft, and to teach the aircraft maintenance portion of their curriculum. The facility requires 6,000 SF of open bay hangar space, and 2,000 SF of supporting classrooms, administrative and storage space.

CURRENT SITUATION: When Hurricane Andrew destroyed Homestead AFB, IAAFA temporarily relocated to Lackland AFB, with their flightline courses relocating to adjacent Kelly AFB. As part of the interim beddown, IAAFA was granted use of ramp space and two existing nose docks, containing 13,100 SF of space, at Kelly AFB. Also as a part of the interim beddown, to help meet IAAFA's requirement of approximately 21,000 SF of hangar space, a 6,000 SF pre-engineered building is being constructed as an interim aircraft weather shelter to assist IAAFA in maintaining their aircraft. The two existing nose docks can be altered to fully meet IAAFA requirements. However, the new pre-engineered building will be a shell functioning as an interim weather shelter, and cannot be modified into a

1. COMPONENT				2. D/	TE
	FY 1995 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJE	CT DATA	A		
AIR FORCE	(computer generated)				
	ION AND LOCATION	_	•		
	RCE BASE, TEXAS				
4. PROJECT T	ITLE		5. PRO	JECT	NUMBER
BASE CLOSURE	- IAAFA SMALL AIRCRAFT HANGAR		MBP	B953	511

fully functional facility suitable for teaching IAAFA's aircraft maintenance courses. This project will provide the remaining hangar, classroom, administrative and storage space IAAFA needs to fully meet curriculum and aircraft maintenance requirements. The interim aircraft shelter will be converted into an IAAFA equipment (including Aerospace Ground Equipment) storage and maintenance facility.

IMPACT IF NOT PROVIDED: IAAFA will not be able to fully meet curriculum requirements. Aircraft maintenance will be delayed, and some courses will not be taught.

<u>ADDITIONAL</u>: Funding is to be provided from the Base Closure Account. There is no criteria/scope for this project in Part II of Military Handbook 1190, "Facility Planning and Design Guide".

		10 0400
1. COMPONENT	FY 1995 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT DATA	2. DATE
AIR FORCE	(computer generated)	
3. INSTALLAT	ION AND LOCATION	
PETTY ATE BO	RCE BASE, TEXAS	
4. PROJECT T		5. PROJECT NUMBER
	- IAAFA SMALL AIRCRAFT HANGAR	МВРВ953511
BASE CLOSURE	IAB A JUNDO ALKONE I LEEVE	
12. SUPPLEM	ENTAL DATA:	
a. Estima	ted Design Data:	
	tatus:	
) Date Design Started	93 OCT 01
) Percent Complete as of Jan 1994	35%
) Date 35% Complete	93 DEC 01 94 APR 01
(0) Date Design Complete	94 AFR UI
(2) E	asis:	
, , , , ,) Standard or Definitive Design -	
) Where Design Was Most Recently Used -	
(3) 1	Cotal Cost (c) = (a) + (b) or (d) + (e):	(\$000)
	Production of Plans and Specifications	(4000)
	All Other Design Costs	
	e) Total	
) Contract	
(•	e) In-house	
(4)	Construction Start	94 OCT
b. Equipmen	nt associated with this project will be provide priations: N/A	ed from
ocher appro	Diffections. N/A	
·		
	•	
		·
	•	
}		
}	••	
1		

1. COMPONENT FORCE		ONSTRUCTION PROJECT Der generated)	2. DATE
3. INSTALLATION AND KELLY AIR FORCE BASE		4. PROJECT TI BASE CLOSURE NOSE DOCKS	TLE - ALTER IAAFA
5. PROGRAM ELEMENT	6. CATEGORY CODE	7. PROJECT NUMBER 8	PROJECT COST(\$000)
8.57.96	211-175	MBPB953512	840

9. COST ESTIMAT	ES			
			UNIT	COST
ITEM	M/U	QUANTITY	COST	(\$000)
BASE CLOSURE - ALTER IAAFA NOSE DOCKS	SF	13,100	48	629
SUPPORTING FACILITIES	İ			90
UTILITIES	LS	1		(<u>90</u>)
SUBTOTAL	1			719
CONTINGENCY (10%)				72
TOTAL CONTRACT COST	1			791
SUPERVISION, INSPECTION AND OVERHEAD (6%)	- 1			47
TOTAL REQUEST	:	1		838
TOTAL REQUEST (ROUNDED)	ļ			840
	1			
, ·	1 .			
	1	1		
	- 1			
	l_			

- 10. Description of Proposed Construction: Install Aqueous Film Forming Foam (AFFF) fire protection systems, replace siding, insulate walls, construct storage room, install hoist and aircraft power systems in two existing nose docks.
- 11. REQUIREMENT: 21,100 SF ADEQUATE: 0 SUBSTANDARD: 13,100 SF

 PROJECT: Alter two existing nose docks to meet requirements for courses in the Inter-American Air Forces Academy (IAAFA) curriculum.

 REQUIREMENT: Realignment of Homestead Air Force Base. IAAFA requires

approximately 21,000 SF of hangar space to provide instruction and maintenance space for the aircraft used in their aircraft maintenance courses. Two existing nose docks, totaling 13,100 SF, are available, but do not meet safety and functional requirements. This project upgrades those two nose docks to meet current fire protection standards, and provides the required functionality.

CURRENT SITUATION: When Hurricane Andrew destroyed Homestead AFB, IAAFA temporarily relocated to Lackland AFB, with their flightline courses relocating to adjacent Kelly AFB. As part of the temporary beddown, IAAFA was granted use of two existing nose docks totalling 13,100 SF. However, these nose docks had not recently been used as aircraft hangars, and therefore lack the necessary fire protection systems. They also do not have the necessary functionality, such as insulation, storage, electrical systems, and hoists, to fully meet IAAFA requirements. This project will install the necessary fire protection systems, and will add the functionality required for IAAFA to fully meet curriculum requirements.

IMPACT IF NOT PROVIDED: IAAFA will be required to hangar fueled aircraft in facilities that do not meet IAAFA fire protection standards. Also, the hangars will become so unbearably hot in the summer that instructing

				_
1. COMPONENT	1	DU GONCODIIONTON TOO	P.C	2. DATE
IR FORCE		RY CONSTRUCTION PROJ mputer generated)	ECT DATA	
	ION AND LOCATION	mputer generated)		<u> </u>
	RCE BASE, TEXAS			
. PROJECT T	ITLE		5. PF	OJECT NUMBER
ASE CLOSURE	- ALTER IAAFA NOSE	DOCKS	ME	PB953512
other require curriculum re ADDITIONAL: There is no c	ed functionality wi equirements. Funding is to be partitionally criteria/scope for	ll become extremely ll also hinder IAAFA rovided from the Bas this project in Part ng and Design Guide"	from meeting e Closure Ac	count.
	•			
•				
	• • •	•		
		•		
		•		
•				

Page No

1. COM	PONEN		2. DATE
		FY 1995 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT DATA	
AIR FO		(computer generated)	
3. INS	TALLA	TION AND LOCATION	
KPT.T.V	ATD E	ORCE BASE, TEXAS	
4. PRO			. PROJECT NUMBER
BASE C	LOSUR	E - ALTER IAAFA NOSE DOCKS	MBPB953512
12. s	UPPLE	MENTAL DATA:	
a.	Estim -	ated Design Data:	
	(1)	Status:	
	(a) Date Design Started	93 OCT 01
	-	b) Parametric Cost Estimates used to develop co	sts Y
		c) Percent Complete as of Oct 1993	35%
		d) Date 35% Designed.	93 DEC 01
	(e) Date Design Complete	94 APR 01
	(2)	Basis:	
	•	a) Standard or Definitive Design -	
		b) Where Design Was Most Recently Used -	•
	: `	and the second s	
	(3)	Total Cost (c) = (a) + (b) or (d) + (e):	(\$000)
		a) Production of Plans and Specifications	
		b) All Other Design Costs	
	(c) Total	
		d) Contract	
	(e) In-house	
	(4)	Construction Start	94 OCT
b. Eq	uipme	ent associated with this project will be provided	i from
		priations: N/A	. 1
			•
	•		
1			
		••	
İ			

1. COMPONENT										2.	DATE
	F	7 1995 MILITA	ARY CO	ONSTRUCT	MOI	PRO	JECT	DATA			Ì
AIR FORCE			ompute	er gener	ate	d)					
3. INSTALLATI	ON ANI	LOCATION			4.	PRO.	JECT 1	FITLE			Į.
Ì					1		OSURI			ARM	ORY/
CAMP BULLIS,							FAC:				
5. PROGRAM EI	EMENT	6. CATEGORY	CODE	7. PROJ	JECT	טא י	1BER	8. P	ROJE	CT C	OST(\$000)
				Ì							
8.57.96		171-627		MPLS				ļ			400
		9	. cos:	r estim	ATES	<u> </u>	·	 i	10174	<u></u>	G00m
		7.004			İ				UNI	_	COST
DAGE OF COURS	TAAT	ITEM	DOD 4.63			U/M	QUAN	TIII	cos:		(\$000)
BASE CLOSURE	- IAA	ra akmuki/ 5.	LUKAG	S	1	SF	,	000	١.,	150	300
FACILITY SUPPORTING FA	. CTI TT	TEC			I	21	2,	ן טטט		וטכו	60
a '	ACILII.	162				LS	Ì				(20)
UTILITIES SITE IMPROV	revenie.	•			•	LS				1	(20)
PAVEMENTS	EMEN 1	•			1	LS	ļ			,	(<u>20</u>)
SUBTOTAL						ro	ŀ				360
CONTINGENCY	(57)										_ <u>18</u>
TOTAL CONTRAC	•	т			l		Į.	- 1			378
SUPERVISION,	_		ERHEA	D (6%)							23
TOTAL REQUEST		011011 1210 011		(0~)	- 1						401
TOTAL REQUEST		NDED)									400
	. (1.00.	,			1						
					ļ						
					}						
					Ì						
					ł						
10 December	•	7 keeeeed 2				: - £				<u> </u>	

10. Description of Proposed Construction: Reinforced concrete foundation and floor slab, reinforced concrete walls and ceiling, metal roof structure. Includes weapons storage and maintenance, and Air Base Ground Defense equipment storage space. Mechanical equipment space, alarm systems, utilities, parking and other necessary support.

Air Conditioning: 5 Tons.

11. REQUIREMENT: 2,000 SF ADEQUATE: 0 SUBSTANDARD: 0

PROJECT: Construct an armory and equipment storage facility at Camp
Bullis, Texas, for the Inter-American Air Forces Academy (IAAFA).

REQUIREMENT: Realignment of Homestead Air Force Base. A suitably sized and located weapons storage and maintenance facility, plus storage space for training equipment used in IAAFA's Air Base Ground Defense course, is required at Camp Bullis, Texas, a US Army post.

CURRENT SITUATION: When Hurricane Andrew destroyed Homestead AFB, IAAFA temporarily relocated to Lackland AFB. One of the courses in the IAAFA curriculum is a course in Air Base Ground Defense. The only location providing terrain suitable for the course is at Camp Bullis, Texas, a US Army installation located about 45 miles north of Lackland AFB. All of the weapons used by IAAFA in this course are presently in courtesy storage in an overcrowded armory at Lackland AFB. The weapons and other equipment (tents, field gear) are then transported to Camp Bullis when needed for the course (approximately 45 days per year). The requirement to transport all the weapons and equipment 45 days per year is a logistics challenge, and presents a security risk for the numerous high-risk weapons (M-16s, M-60 machine guns, M-203 grenade launchers) required to be transported on the crowded San Antonio highways. Suitable facilities meeting IAAFA's space needs and Air Force weapons storage security requirements are not

1. COMPONENT AIR FORCE	FY 1995 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJE (computer generated)	CT DATA
3. INSTALLAT	ION AND LOCATION	
CAMP BULLIS,	TEXAS	
4. PROJECT T	ITLE	5. PROJECT NUMBER
BASE CLOSURE	- IAAFA ARMORY/ STORAGE FACILITY	MPLS953242

available at either Lackland AFB or from the US Army at Camp Bullis, Texas.

IMPACT IF NOT PROVIDED: IAAFA's weapons will continue to be stored in an overcrowded armory, presenting daily accountability problems, as well as limiting proper maintenance on the weapons due to lack of space. Also, IAAFA will continue to make the 90-mile round trip approximately 45 days per year to transport the numerous weapons and other equipment required in the Air Base Ground Defense course from Lackland AFB to Camp Bullis, thus continuing the security risk involved in transporting the weapons on crowded public highways.

<u>ADDITIONAL</u>: Funding is to be provided from the Base Closure Account. There is no criteria/scope for this project in Part II of Military Handbook 1190, "Facility Planning and Design Guide".

1. COMPO	NENT	PU 1005 WILTEARY CONGERUCTION DROTTER DATE	2. DATE
AIR FOR		FY 1995 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT DATA (computer generated)	3
3. INSTA	LLATIC	ON AND LOCATION	
CAMP BUT			
4. PROJI	CT TI	TLE STATE OF THE S	5. PROJECT NUMBER
BASE CLO	SURE -	IAAFA ARMORY/ STORAGE FACILITY	MPLS953242
12. SUI	PLEMEN	VTAL DATA:	
a. Es	timate -	ed Design Data:	
(1		itus:	02 000 01
		Date Design Started Percent Complete as of Jan 1994	93 OCT 01 35%
		Date 35% Complete	93 DEC 01
		Date Design Complete	94 APR 01
(2	2) Bas		
	(a) (b)	Standard or Definitive Design - Where Design Was Most Recently Used -	
(:		tal Cost (c) = (a) + (b) or (d) + (e): Production of Plans and Specifications	(\$000)
		All Other Design Costs	
		Total	
		Contract	
	(e)	In-house	
(4	4) Cor	nstruction Start	94 OCT
L			
		associated with this project will be provided	d from
other a	propri	iations: N/A	
ļ			
}			
			·
1			
		•• •	
l		•	

O'Hare International Airport Air Force Reserve Station, Illinois Package Base Closure and Realignment Detail

BASE CLOSURE AND REALIGNMENT PACKAGE DESCRIPTION U.S. Air Force/O'Hare International Airport Air Force Reserve Station, Illinois

Closure Package: The Commission recommended closing O'Hare ARS as proposed by the City of Chicago and relocate the assigned Air Reserve Component units to the Greater Rockford Airport, or another location acceptable to the Secretary of the Air Force (in consultation and agreement with the receiving location), provided the City of Chicago can demonstrate that it has the financing in place to cover the full cost of replacing facilities (except for FAA grants for airport planning and development that would otherwise be eligible for federal financial assistance to serve the needs of civil aviation at the receiving location), environmental impact analyses, moving, and any added costs of environmental cleanup resulting from higher standards or a faster schedule that DoD would be obliged to meet if the base did not close, without any cost whatsoever to the federal government, and further provided that the closure/realignment must begin by July 1995 and be completed by July 1998. Chicago would also have to fund the cost of relocating the Army Reserve activity, or leave it in place. If these conditions are not met, the units should remain at O'Hare International Airport. No funding requirements exist for O'Hare in 1995.

One Time Implementation Costs:

Military Construction: N/A

Conjunctively Funded Construction: N/A

Family Housing Construction: N/A

Family Housing Operations: N/A

Operation and Maintenance: N/A

Military Personnel - PCS N/A

Other Procurement: N/A

Revenues From Land Sales: N/A

Environmental: N/A

Savings: Savings identified are generated by no longer operating the installation. They are included in exhibit BC-02 for display purposes only, and will be realized in other Air Force appropriations.

Gentile Air Force Station, Dayton, Ohio Base Closure and Realignment Detail

BASE REALIGNMENT AND CLOSURE ACCOUNT - 1993 COMMISSION USAF/GENTILE AFS, OH (DOLLARS IN THOUSANDS)

ONE TIME IMPLEMENTATION COSTS:	FY 1994	FY 1995	FY 1996
Military Construction	0	0	0
Family Housing - Construction	0	0	0
- Operations	0	0	0
Environmental	0	0	0
Operation & Maintenance	150	0	0
Military Personnel - PCS	0	0	0
Other	0	0	0
Homeowners Assistance Program	0	0	0
TOTAL ONE-TIME COSTS	150	0	0
Revenues from Land Sales (-)	0	0	0
Funded from Prior Year Balances	0	0	0
BUDGET REQUEST	150	0	0
FUNDED OUTSIDE OF THE ACCOUNT:			
Military Construction	0	0	0
Family Housing - Operations	0	0	0
Environmental	0	0	0
Operation & Maintenance	0	0	0
Other	0	0	0
Homeowners Assistance Program	0	0	0
TOTAL FUNDED OUTSIDE THE ACCOUNT	0	0	0
SAVINGS:			
Military Construction	0	0	0
Family Housing - Construction	0	0	0
- Operations	0	0	0
Operation & Maintenance	0	0	0
Military Personnel	0	0	0
Other	0	0	. 0
Civilian ES	. 0	0	0
Military ES	0	0	0
TOTAL SAVINGS	0	0	0
NET IMPLEMENTATION COSTS:			
Military Construction	0	0	0
Family Housing - Construction	0	0	0
- Operations	0	0	0
Environmental	0	0	0
Operation & Maintenance	150	0	0
Military Personnel - PCS	0	0	0
Other	0	0	0
Homeowners Assistance Program	0	0	. 0
Revenues from Land Sales (-)	0	0	0
NET IMPLEMENTATION COSTS	150	0	0

BASE REALIGNMENT AND CLOSURE ACCOUNT - 1993 COMMISSION USAF/GENTILE AFS, OH (DOLLARS IN THOUSANDS)

				TOTAL
ONE TIME IMPLEMENTATION COSTS:	FY 1997	FY 1998	FY 1999	FY 94 - 99
Military Construction	0	0	0	C
Family Housing - Construction	0	0	0	(
- Operations	0	0	0	(
Environmental	0	0	0	(
Operation & Maintenance	0	0	0	150
Military Personnel - PCS	0	0	0	(
Other	0	0	0	(
Homeowners Assistance Program	0	0	0	(
TOTAL ONE-TIME COSTS	0	0	0	150
Revenues from Land Sales (-)	0	0	0	(
Funded from Prior Year Balances	0	0	0	(
BUDGET REQUEST	0	0	0	150
FUNDED OUTSIDE OF THE ACCOUNT:				
Military Construction	0	0	0	C
Family Housing - Operations	0	0	0	C
Environmental	0	0	0	C
Operation & Maintenance	0	0	0	C
Other	0	0	0	C
Homeowners Assistance Program	0	0	0	(
TOTAL FUNDED OUTSIDE THE ACCOUNT	0	0	0	O
SAVINGS:				
Military Construction	0	0	0	0
Family Housing - Construction	0	0	0	C
- Operations	0	0	0	C
Operation & Maintenance	0	0	0	0
Military Personnel	0	0	0.	
Other Civilian RO	0	0	0	0
Civilian ES	0	0	0	0
Military ES	0	0	0	0
TOTAL SAVINGS	0	0	0	0
NET IMPLEMENTATION COSTS: Military Construction	•	^	•	•
Family Housing - Construction	0	0	0	0
- Operations	0	0	0	0
- Operations Environmental	0	0	0	0
Operation & Maintenance	0	0	0	150
Military Personnel - PCS	0	0	0	150
Other	0	0	0	0
Homeowners Assistance Program	0	0	0	(
Revenues from Land Sales (-)	0	0	0	(
NET IMPLEMENTATION COSTS	_	_	·	_
	0	0	0	150
EXHIBIT BC-02				

BASE CLOSURE AND REALIGNMENT PACKAGE DESCRIPTION

U.S. Air Force/Gentile Air Force Station, Dayton Ohio

Closure Package: The Commission recommended the closing of Gentile Air Force Station, except for space required to operate the Defense Switching Network. Specifically, the Defense Electronics Supply Center (DESC) at Gentile Air Force Station will close and relocate to Columbus, Ohio. The Defense Logistics Agency will be responsible for the programming of closure and realignment actions. The Air Force will manage programming for disposal, environmental, and cleanup actions. No funding requirements exist in 1995.

One Time Implementation Costs:

Military Construction: N/A

Conjunctively Funded Construction: N/A

Family Housing Construction: N/A

Family Housing Operations: N/A

Military Personnel - PCS: N/A

Operation and Maintenance: N/A

Other Procurement: N/A

Revenues From Land Sales: N/A

Environmental: N/A

Savings: Savings identified are generated by no longer operating the installation. They are included in exhibit BC-02 for display purposes only, and will be realized in other Air Force appropriations.

Newark Air Force Base, Ohio Base Closure and Realignment Detail

BASE REALIGNMENT AND CLOSURE ACCOUNT - 1993 COMMISSION USAF/NEWARK AFB, OH (DOLLARS IN THOUSANDS)

ONE TIME IMPLEMENTATION COSTS:	FY 1994	FY 1995	FY 1996
Military Construction	0	0	0
Family Housing - Construction	0	0	0
- Operations	0	0	0
Environmental	4,718	0	376
Operation & Maintenance	502	0	29,935
Military Personnel - PCS	0	0	262
Other	0	0	1,053
Homeowners Assistance Program	0	0	0
TOTAL ONE-TIME COSTS	5,220	0	31,626
Revenues from Land Sales (-)	0	0	0
Funded from Prior Year Balances	0	0	0
BUDGET REQUEST	5,220	0	31,626
FUNDED OUTSIDE OF THE ACCOUNT:			
Military Construction	0	0	0
Family Housing - Operations	0	0	0
Environmental	0	0	0
Operation & Maintenance	0	0	0
Other	0	0	0
Homeowners Assistance Program	0	0	0
TOTAL FUNDED OUTSIDE THE ACCOUNT	0	0	0
SAVINGS:			
Military Construction	0	0	0
Family Housing - Construction	0	0	0
- Operations	0	0	0
Operation & Maintenance	0	0	0
Military Personnel	0	460	2,100
Other	0	0	610
Civilian ES	. 0	0	0
Military ES	0	-92	-92
TOTAL SAVINGS	0	460	2,710
NET IMPLEMENTATION COSTS:			
Military Construction	0	0	0
Family Housing - Construction	0	0	0
- Operations	0	0	0
Environmental	4,718	0	376
Operation & Maintenance	502	0	29,935
Military Personnel - PCS	0	-460	-1,838
Other	0	0	443
Homeowners Assistance Program	0	0	0
Revenues from Land Sales (-)	0	0	0
NET IMPLEMENTATION COSTS	5,220	-460	28,916

BASE REALIGNMENT AND CLOSURE ACCOUNT - 1993 COMMISSION USAF/NEWARK AFB, OH (DOLLARS IN THOUSANDS)

				TOTAL
ONE TIME IMPLEMENTATION COSTS:	FY 1997	FY 1998	FY 1999	FY 94 - 99
Military Construction	0	0	0	0
Family Housing - Construction	0	0	0	0
- Operations	0	0	0	0
Environmental	346	55,265	61,280	121,985
Operation & Maintenance	0	0	0	30,437
Military Personnel - PCS	0	0	0	262
Other	0	0	0	1,053
Homeowners-Assistance Program	0	0	0	C
TOTAL ONE-TIME COSTS	346	55,265	61,280	153,737
Revenues from Land Sales (-)	0	. 0	0	. (
Funded from Prior Year Balances	0	0	0	C
BUDGET REQUEST	346	55,265	61,280	153,737
FUNDED OUTSIDE OF THE ACCOUNT:				
Military Construction	0	0	0	C
Family Housing - Operations	0	0	0	Ċ
Environmental	0	0	0	Ċ
Operation & Maintenance	0	0	0	(
Other	0	0	0	(
Homeowners Assistance Program	0	0	0	(
TOTAL FUNDED OUTSIDE THE ACCOUNT	0	0	0	(
SAVINGS:				
Military Construction	4,980	0	0	4,980
Family Housing - Construction	0	0	0	(
- Operations	0	0	0	(
Operation & Maintenance	0	0	0	
Military Personnel	2,840	2,900	2,970	11,270
Other	2,750	4,880	4,990	13,230
Civilian ES	0	0	0	-
Military ES	-92	-92	-92	-92
TOTAL SAVINGS	10,570	7,780	7,960	29,480
NET IMPLEMENTATION COSTS:				
Military Construction	-4,980	0	0	-4,980
Family Housing - Construction	0	0	0	(
- Operations	0	0	0	
Environmental	346	55,265	61,280	•
Operation & Maintenance	0	0	0	30,43
Military Personnel - PCS	-2,840	•	-	•
Other	-2,750			•
Homeowners Assistance Program	0	0		
Revenues from Land Sales (-)	0	0	0	(
NET IMPLEMENTATION COSTS	-10,224	47,485	53,320	124,25

EXHIBIT BC-02

142

BASE CLOSURE AND REALIGNMENT PACKAGE DESCRIPTION

U. S. Air Force Depot/Newark Air Force Base, Ohio

Closure Package: The Commission recommended Newark AFB, Ohio, for closure. The Aerospace Guidance and Metrology Center depot will be closed; some workload will move to other depot maintenance activities including the private sector. Actions in 1995 to support the conversion of the base to civilian use consist of environmental requirements.

One Time Implementation Costs:

Military Construction: N/A

Conjunctively Funded Construction: N/A

Family Housing Construction: N/A

Family Housing Operations: N/A

Military Personnel - PCS: N/A

Operation and Maintenance: N/A

Other Procurement: N/A

Revenues From Land Sales: N/A

Environmental: N/A

Savings: Savings identified are generated by no longer operating the installation. They are included in exhibit BC-02 for display purposes only, and will be realized in other Air Force appropriations.

U. S. Air Force Program Management Summary Base Closure and Realignment Detail

BASE REALIGNMENT AND CLOSURE ACCOUNT - 1993 COMMISSION USAF/PROGRAM MANAGEMENT (DOLLARS IN THOUSANDS)

ONE TIME IMPLEMENTATION COSTS:	FY 1994	FY 1995	FY 1996
Military Construction	20,040	0	0
Family Housing - Construction	9,300	0	0
- Operations	0	0	0
Environmental	5,400	15,772	7,788
Operation & Maintenance	5,419	6,581	20,000
Military Personnel - PCS	0	0	0
Other	0	0	0
Homeowners Assistance Program	0	0	0
TOTAL ONE-TIME COSTS	40,159	22,353	27,788
Revenues from Land Sales (-)	0	0	0
Funded from Prior Year Balances	0	-6,581	0
BUDGET REQUEST	40,159	15,772	27,788
FUNDED OUTSIDE OF THE ACCOUNT:			
Military Construction	0	0	0
Family Housing - Operations	0	0	0
Environmental	0	0	0
Operation & Maintenance	0	0	0
Other	0	0	0
Homeowners Assistance Program	0	0	0
TOTAL FUNDED OUTSIDE THE ACCOUNT	0	0	0
SAVINGS:			
Military Construction	0	0	0
Family Housing - Construction	0	0	0
- Operations	0	0	_, 0
Operation & Maintenance	0	0	0
Military Personnel	0	0	0
Other	0	0	0
Civilian ES	0	0	0
Military ES	0	0	0
TOTAL SAVINGS	0	0	0
NET IMPLEMENTATION COSTS:			
Military Construction	20,040	0	0
Family Housing - Construction	9,300	0	0
- Operations	0	0	0
Environmental	5,400	15,772	7,788
Operation & Maintenance	5,419	6,581	20,000
Military Personnel - PCS	0	0	0
Other	0	0	0
Homeowners Assistance Program	0	0	0
Revenues from Land Sales (-)	0	0	0
NET IMPLEMENTATION COSTS	40,159	22,353	27,788

BASE REALIGNMENT AND CLOSURE ACCOUNT - 1993 COMMISSION USAF/PROGRAM MANAGEMENT (DOLLARS IN THOUSANDS)

				TOTAL
ONE TIME IMPLEMENTATION COSTS:	FY 1997	FY 1998	FY 1999	FY 94 - 99
Military Construction	0	0	0	20,040
Family Housing - Construction	0	0	0	9,300
- Operations	0	0	0	0
Environmental	0	0	0	28,960
Operation & Maintenance	55,715	14,757	9,643	112,115
Military Personnel - PCS	0	0	0	0
Other	0	0	0	C
Homeowners Assistance Program	0	0	0	C
TOTAL ONE-TIME COSTS	55,715	14,757	9,643	170,415
Revenues from Land Sales (-)	0	. 0	0	. 0
Funded from Prior Year Balances	0	0	0	-6,581
BUDGET REQUEST	55,715	14,757	9,643	163,834
FUNDED OUTSIDE OF THE ACCOUNT:				
Military Construction	0	0	0	C
Family Housing - Operations	0	0	0	(
Environmental	0	0	0	(
Operation & Maintenance	0	0	0	(
Other	0	0	0	(
Homeowners Assistance Program	0	0	0	(
TOTAL FUNDED OUTSIDE THE ACCOUNT	0	0	0	C
SAVINGS:				
Military Construction	0	0	0	(
Family Housing - Construction	0	0	0	(
- Operations	0	0	0	(
Operation & Maintenance	0	0	0	(
Military Personnel	0	0	0	. (
Other .	0	0	0	(
Civilian ES	0	0	0	(
Military ES	0	0	0	(
TOTAL SAVINGS	0	0	0	(
NET IMPLEMENTATION COSTS:				
Military Construction	0	0	0	20,040
Family Housing - Construction	0	0	0	9,300
- Operations	0	0	0	(
Environmental	0	0	0	28,960
Operation & Maintenance	55,715	14,757		112,115
Military Personnel - PCS	0	0	0	(
Other	0	0	0	(
Homeowners Assistance Program	0	0	0	(
Revenues from Land Sales (-)	0	0	0	C
NET IMPLEMENTATION COSTS	55,715	14,757	9,643	170,415

145

BASE CLOSURE AND REALIGNMENT PACKAGE DESCRIPTION

U. S. Air Force/Program Management

Closure Package: This exhibit displays overall one-time implementation costs which are not categorized by closure base, and combine the costs of program management as a result of the recommendations of the Defense Base Closure and Realignment Commission. These costs generally include military construction, planning and design, environmental studies, and headquarters management requirements. In 1995, program management requirements are limited to operation and maintenance, and environmental.

One Time Implementations Costs:

Military Construction: N/A

Conjunctively-Funded Construction: N/A

Family Housing Construction: N/A

Family Housing Operations: N/A

Operation and Maintenance: \$6.6 Million

Other Procurement: N/A

Revenues from Land Sales: N/A.

Environmental: \$15.8 Million

Savings: Savings are included in exhibit BC-02 for display purposes only, and will be realized in other Air Force appropriations.

Program Management Package

FY 1994 Forms 1391 (Military Construction Project Data)

									
1. COMPONENT FY 1994 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT DATA AIR FORCE (computer generated)									
	N AND LOCATION	pucer Aus.			JECT 1	TTTE	-		-
3. INSTALLATIO	N AND LOCATION							AND	1
	BASE CLOSURE-PLANNING AND								
VARIOUS LOCATI	ONS			IGN					
5. PROGRAM ELE	MENT 6. CATEGORY C	ODE 7. PR	OJECT	' NUI	MBER	8. F	ROJECT	r cos	T(\$000)
						1			Ī
9.12.11 010-211 BCL94ADDED 20,040								,040	
1 2:33:33		COST ESTI							
		0001 2011			1		UNIT		COST
	v mm/			11 0/	OTTARE	r T GPUZ		- 1 /	
<u> </u>	ITEM		——∤		QUAN	1111	COST		\$000)
BASE CLOSURE-P	LANNING AND DESIGN	ſ		LS				i	
SUBTOTAL			ł		l				- 1
TOTAL CONTRACT	COST		i		1			- 1	ì
TOTAL REQUEST		-	į		l			- [
TOTAL REQUEST	(DOIMED)				1				20,040
TOTAL MEGOESI	\				1			'	20,0-10
					1				ŀ
	•		l		Į.				l
1			1]				1
ł								- 1	
									1
•					ł				l
					Ì			- 1	j
	•		-		i			- 1	
					l			- 1	
			i		ŀ				
			1		ì		Ì	1	ì
]			- 1	
					1			- 1	İ
İ					1			- 1	1
ļ				<u> </u>			L		
	ion of Proposed Con								
	ectural and engine								
the Air Force	Base Closure and R	<u>lealignmen</u>	t Mil	lita	ry Co	nstr	uction	Pro	gram.
	ENT: As required.			-					
PROJECT: Planning and Design.									
	Planning and Design	n funde e	TO T		-od f	~- d	ocian .	a E	
	the FY 94, FY 95,	and FY 90	Base	s CI	osure	and	Keali	gnmei	nt
Program (BRAC									ļ
ADDITIONAL: I	Funding is to be pr	rovided fr	om th	he B	ase C	losu	re Acc	ount	
	-	•							
1									
									1
1									
1									l
									ļ
1									
1									l
1									
•									
1									-
1									
1									1
1		•,							
Į.			••						1
1									

Program Management Package

FY 1994 Forms 1391 (Family Housing Construction Project Data)

1. COMPONENT	F	7 1994 h	(ILITARY CO			OJECT	DATA	1	2 .	DATE
AIR FORCE (computer generated)										
3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION 4. PROJECT TITLE										
	BASE CLOSURE-PLANNING AND								ן ע	
VARIOUS LOCATIONS DESIGN										
5. PROGRAM E	LEMENT	6. CATI	EGORY CODE	/. PKO.	ECT N	MREK	8. 1	KOTEC	I C	081(\$000)
0.200								<u>^</u> 1		
9.12.11 010-211 BCL94HOUSING 9,300 9. COST ESTIMATES								~		
			9. 005	I ESTIM	7152	 -		IDIT	- 1	- COCT
						QUAN	TITY	UNIT COST		COST (\$000)
BASE CLOSURE	-PLANN	ING AND	DESIGN		LS	ł				
SUBTOTAL										
TOTAL CONTRA	CT COS	Ţ		-	}	1			ì	}
TOTAL REQUES]			1	I
TOTAL REQUES:	r (ROU	NDED)			l	Ì		l	į	9,300
1					- 1	1		ŀ	- 1	I
ļ					Ì	1		Ì	j	ŀ
					}			1	4	1
					1			1	1	1
					ı					l
					1]	ŀ	
1.										l
,					ļ				- 1	1
					Ĭ				- 1	
					ļ	1			- 1	
1						}				i
					[l		l		Į.
10. Descrip	tion o	f Propo	sed Constr	uction:	The	funds	TAGU	ested.	271	
finance arch										
the Air Forc										rgii 101
Construction			c and mear	-9		<u>.</u>	y	21003	Б	
11. REQUIRE			nired.							
PROJECT: Fa										
REQUIREMENT:				unde ar	2 70011	ired f	Or 4	esian	of	new
Family Housi		_	_		•			_		1 h
Program (BRA			11 J7 A		, wast	01036	C &	na ves	5	21MIGITE
ADDITIONAL:			o he nrowi	ded fro	n the	Race (lloen	A	·^:-	,,
		5 L3 L	- ne broat		115		, L V S U	re ucc	Jul	•••
Į.										
1										
1										
•										İ
1										
1										
			•,							
										ļ
1										ļ
				•	• ,					ſ
										1